A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO SENTENCING.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The purpose of this Act is to amend Hawaii's 2 extended term sentencing law to address issues raised in recent 3 federal court opinions and rulings on the right to a jury trial. 4 These opinions, Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466, 120 S.Ct. 2348, 147 L.Ed.2d 435 (2000), Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 5 6 296, 124 S.Ct. 2531, 159 L.Ed.2d 403 (2004), United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220, 125 S.Ct. 738, 160 L.Ed.2d 621 (2005), and Cunningham v. California, 549 U.S. , 127 S.Ct. 856, 166 8 9 L.Ed.2d 856 (2007), have held that any fact, other than prior or concurrent convictions, that increases the penalty for a crime 10 11 beyond the ordinary statutory maximum must be submitted to a 12 jury and proven beyond a reasonable doubt. 13 On February 20, 2007, the United States Supreme Court denied the State's petition for a writ of certiorari in Frank v. 14 Kaua, 549 U.S. , 127 S.Ct. 1233, 167 L.Ed.2d 144 (2007) and 15 granted a writ of certiorari in Maugaotega v. Hawaii, 549 U.S. 16

, 127 S.Ct. 1210, 167 L.Ed.2d 37 (2007). In granting the

17

```
writ of certiorari in Maugaotega, the United States Supreme
1
2
    Court vacated the judgment of the Hawaii supreme court and
3
    remanded the case to the Hawaii supreme court for further
    consideration in light of the recently decided Cunningham case.
4
    After further consideration in light of the Cunningham case, the
5
6
    Hawaii supreme court issued an opinion in State v. Maugaotega,
7
    P.3d , 2007 WL 2823760, Oct. 1, 2007 (No. 26657), which
8
    held that statutes governing Hawaii's extended term sentencing
    are unconstitutional because they require a judge rather than a
9
10
    jury to find facts, other than those of prior or concurrent
    convictions, necessary to enhance a defendant's sentence beyond
11
12
    the ordinary or standard term authorized by the jury's verdict.
13
         However, the Hawaii supreme court declined to exercise its
14
    inherent judicial power to order, on remand, that a jury be
    empanelled to find the facts necessary to impose an extended
15
16
    term of imprisonment. The court explained that it had done so
17
    because, when the legislature attempted, through Act 230,
18
    Session Laws of Hawaii 2006, to conform the extended term
    sentencing scheme to the requirements set forth by the United
19
    States Supreme Court, it did not vest in the jury the power to
20
21
    find the requisite facts but had instead directed that the court
    retain this responsibility. The end result of these cases is
22
```

1 that the ability of the state courts to impose an extended term of imprisonment upon a discrete class of defendants is 2 critically impaired and that convicted persons who pose a danger 3 to the public can not be sentenced to an extended term of 4 5 imprisonment even though such a term may be both appropriate and 6 necessary. 7 The purpose of this Act is to amend Hawaii's extended term 8 sentencing statutes to ensure that the procedures used to impose 9 extended terms of imprisonment comply with the requirements set 10 forth by the United States Supreme Court and Hawaii supreme court. The legislature intends that these amendments apply to 11 any case that requires resentencing because of the decisions in 12 13 the Apprendi, Blakely, Booker, Cunningham, and Maugaotega cases. It is not the purpose of this Act to confer upon a defendant who 14 has previously been sentenced to an extended term the right to 15 be resentenced under the new procedures in this Act, unless the 16 17 defendant is otherwise legally entitled to be resentenced. As 18 the Hawaii supreme court held in State v. Gomes, 107 Haw. 308, 19 113 P.3d 184 (2005), the Apprendi rule itself does not retroactively apply to those cases in which the defendant's 20 21 conviction became final prior to the United States Supreme

Court's announcement of that rule in 2000. To the extent that



22

1 this Act applies retroactively, the legislature finds that it 2 does not subject any offender to additional punishment or other 3 disadvantage. 4 SECTION 2. Section 706-661, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 5 amended to read as follows: 6 "§706-661 [Sentence of imprisonment for felony; extended 7 terms. In the cases designated in section 706 662, a person who 8 has been convicted of a felony may be sentenced to an extended 9 indeterminate term of imprisonment. When ordering such a sentence, the court shall impose the maximum length of 10 11 imprisonment which shall be as follows:] Extended terms of 12 imprisonment. The court may sentence a person who satisfies the 13 criteria for any of the categories set forth in section 706-662 to an extended term of imprisonment, which shall have a maximum 14 15 length as follows: (1) For murder in the second degree--life without the 16 17 possibility of parole; (2) For a class A felony--indeterminate life term of 18 19 imprisonment; (3) For a class B felony--indeterminate twenty-year term 20

of imprisonment; and

21

1	(4) For a class C felonyindeterminate ten-year term of				
2	imprisonment.				
3	When ordering an extended term sentence, the court shall impose				
4	the maximum length of imprisonment. The minimum length of				
5	imprisonment for an extended term sentence under				
6	[+]paragraphs[+] (2), (3), and (4) shall be determined by the				
7	Hawaii paroling authority in accordance with section 706-669."				
8	SECTION 3. Section 706-662, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is				
9	amended to read as follows:				
10	"§706-662 Criteria for extended terms of imprisonment. A				
11	[convicted] defendant [may be subject to] who has been convicted				
12	of a felony may be subject to an extended term of imprisonment				
13	under section 706-661[τ] if it is proven beyond a reasonable				
14	doubt that an extended term of imprisonment is necessary for the				
15	protection of the public and that the convicted defendant				
16	satisfies one or more of the following criteria:				
17	(1) The defendant is a persistent offender [whose				
18	imprisonment for an extended term is necessary for				
19	protection of the public. The court shall not make				
20	this finding unless] in that the defendant has				
21	previously been convicted of two or more felonies				

1		Committee at different times when the defendant was
2		eighteen years of age or older $[-]$:
3	(2)	The defendant is a professional criminal [whose
4		imprisonment for an extended term is necessary for
5		protection of the public. The court shall not make
6		this finding unless: in that:
7		(a) The circumstances of the crime show that the
8		defendant has knowingly engaged in criminal
9 .		activity as a major source of livelihood; or
10		(b) The defendant has substantial income or resources
11	·	not explained to be derived from a source other
12		than criminal activity[-];
13	(3)	The defendant is a dangerous person [whose
14		imprisonment for an extended term is necessary for
15		protection of the public. The court shall not make
16		this finding unless] in that the defendant has been
17		subjected to a psychiatric or psychological evaluation
18		that documents a significant history of dangerousness
19		to others resulting in criminally violent conduct, and
20		this history makes the defendant a serious danger to
21		others. Nothing in this section precludes the
22		introduction of victim-related data [in order] to

1		establish dangerousness in accord with the Hawaii
2		rules of evidence[-];
3	(4)	The defendant is a multiple offender [whose criminal
4		actions were so extensive that a sentence of
5		imprisonment for an extended term is necessary for
6		protection of the public. The court shall not make
7		this finding unless: in that:
8		(a) The defendant is being sentenced for two or more
9		felonies or is already under sentence of
10		imprisonment for <u>any</u> felony; or
11		(b) The maximum terms of imprisonment authorized for
12		each of the defendant's crimes, if made to run
13		consecutively, would equal or exceed in length
14		the maximum of the extended term imposed or would
15		equal or exceed forty years if the extended term
16		imposed is for a class A felony[-];
17	(5)	The defendant is an offender against the elderly,
18		handicapped, or a minor [under the age of eight, whose
19		imprisonment for an extended term is necessary for the
20		protection of the public. The court shall not make
21		this finding unless: eight years of age or younger in
22		that:

1	(a)	The defendant attempts or commits any of the
2		following crimes: murder, manslaughter, a sexual
3		offense that constitutes a felony under chapter
4	•	707, robbery, felonious assault, burglary, or
5		kidnapping; and
6	(b)	The defendant, in the course of committing or
7		attempting to commit the crime, inflicts serious
8		or substantial bodily injury upon a person who
9		[is:] has the status of being:
10		(i) Sixty years of age or older;
11	(ii) Blind, a paraplegic, or a quadriplegic; or
12	(i	ii) Eight years of age or younger; and
13	[(c)	Such disability] the person's status is known or
14		reasonably should be known to the defendant[-];
15		<u>or</u>
16	(6) The d	defendant is a hate crime offender [whose
17	impri	sonment for an extended term is necessary for the
18	prote	ection of the public. The court shall not make
19	this	finding unless: in that:
20	(a)	The defendant is convicted of a crime under
21		chapter 707, 708, or 711; and

1	(b)	The defendant intentionally selected a victim[τ]
2	•	or, in the case of a property crime, the property
3		that was the object of a crime, because of
4		hostility toward the actual or perceived race,
5		religion, disability, ethnicity, national origin,
6		gender identity or expression, or sexual
7		orientation of any person. For purposes of this
8		subsection, "gender identity or expression"
9		includes a person's actual or perceived gender,
10		as well as a person's gender identity, gender-
11		related self-image, gender-related appearance, or
12		gender-related expression[+], regardless of
13		whether that gender identity, gender-related
14		self-image, gender-related appearance, or gender-
15		related expression is different from that
16		traditionally associated with the person's sex at
17		birth."
18	SECTION 4	. Section 706-664, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
19	amended to rea	d as follows:
20	"§706-66 4	Procedure for imposing extended terms of
21	imprisonment.	(1) Hearings to determine the grounds for
22	imposing exten	ded terms of imprisonment may be initiated by the
	HB LRB 08-0366	-3.doc

- 1 prosecutor or by the court on its own motion. The court shall
- 2 not impose an extended term unless the ground therefor has been
- 3 established at a hearing after the conviction of the defendant
- 4 and [on] written notice [to the defendant] of the ground
- $\mathbf{5}$ proposed[-] was given to the defendant pursuant to subsection
- 6 (2). Subject to the provisions of section 706-604, the
- 7 defendant shall have the right to hear and controvert the
- 8 evidence against the defendant and to offer evidence upon the
- 9 issue[-] before a jury; provided that the defendant may waive
- 10 the right to a jury determination under this subsection, in
- 11 which case the determination shall be made by the court.
- 12 (2) Notice of intention to seek an extended term of
- 13 imprisonment under section 706-662 shall be given to the
- 14 defendant within thirty days of the defendant's arraignment.
- 15 However, the thirty-day period may be waived by the defendant,
- 16 modified by stipulation of the parties, or extended upon a
- 17 showing of good cause by the prosecutor. A defendant previously
- 18 sentenced to an extended term under a prior version of this
- 19 chapter shall be deemed to have received notice of an intention
- 20 to seek an extended term of imprisonment.
- 21 (3) If the jury, or the court if the defendant has waived
- 22 the right to a jury determination, finds that the facts



- 1 necessary for the imposition of an extended term of imprisonment
- 2 under section 706-662 have been proven beyond a reasonable
- 3 doubt, the court may impose an indeterminate term of
- 4 imprisonment as provided in section 706-661."
- 5 SECTION 5. This Act shall apply to all sentencing or
- 6 resentencing proceedings pending on or commenced after the
- 7 effective date of this Act, whether the offense was committed
- 8 prior to, on, or after the effective date of this Act. A
- 9 defendant whose extended term of imprisonment is set aside or
- 10 invalidated shall be resentenced pursuant to this Act upon
- 11 request of the prosecutor. This Act shall not entitle a
- 12 defendant who has previously been sentenced to an extended term
- 13 to be resentenced pursuant to the procedures set forth in this
- 14 Act unless the defendant is otherwise legally entitled to be
- 15 resentenced.
- 16 SECTION 6. If any provision of this Act, or the
- 17 application thereof to any person or circumstance is held
- 18 invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
- 19 applications of the Act, which can be given effect without the
- 20 invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions
- 21 of this Act are severable.

1	SECTION 7.	Statutor	y material	to be	repealed	is	bracketed
	DICTION 1.	Deacacor	y maccinatar		TCPCUTCU		DIGCICCC

- 2 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
- 3 SECTION 8. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

4

INTRODUCED BY:

Report Title:

Extended Term Sentencing; Jury Determinations

Description:

Requires jury to determine facts necessary to impose an extended term of imprisonment under section 706-662, HRS, unless right to jury determination is waived, in which case determination is to be made by judge. Requires facts to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.