



## *The Judiciary, State of Hawai‘i*

### **Testimony to the Thirty-Third Legislature, 2025 Regular Session**

#### **House Committee on Judiciary & Hawaiian Affairs**

Representative David A. Tarnas, Chair

Representative Mahina Poepoe, Vice Chair

Tuesday, March 11, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 325

by

Saifoloi Aganon

Probation Administrator

---

**Bill No. and Title:** Senate Bill No. 304, SD1 Relating to the Judiciary.

**Purpose:** Establishes positions and appropriates funds for Adult Services Probation of the First Circuit Court. Effective 04/23/2057. (SD1)

#### **Judiciary's Position:**

The Judiciary respectfully supports the intent of this bill in establishing permanent positions within the Adult Client Services Branch (ACSB). In June 2024, the American Probation and Parole Association (APPA) published National Standards for Community Supervision which indicated that the optimal caseload distribution according to risk level is Intensive 20:1, Moderate to High Risk 50:1, Low Risk 200:1, and Administrative no limit suggested. Currently, ACSB has made efforts to align with the National Standards recommended by the APPA; however, these standards are difficult to achieve with current caseload sizes.

Currently, the probation officers in the First Circuit supervise a diverse caseload which are comprised of multiple types of cases that include intensive risk to administrative risk cases. The standards are unattainable with the current staffing at ACSB. Therefore, these additional positions would help ACSB move towards aligning with the recommended national best practice standards for community supervision.



Senate Bill No. 304, SD1, Relating to the Judiciary  
House Committee on Judiciary & Hawaiian Affairs  
March 11, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.  
Page 2

The APPA National Standards for Community Supervision made the following commentaries that support this proposal for more staffing, “One study, for example, evaluated the introduction of reduced caseloads – approximately 54 medium-to-high-risk individuals per officer – in an agency with officers who were fully trained in evidence-based practices. The results showed that recidivism fell by 30% where agencies have redistributed caseloads to ensure smaller caseloads of higher-risk individuals” (APPA, pg. 77). Similarly, “Research has confirmed that moderate to high-risk persons on probation on a 50:1 caseload received significantly more office sessions, field visits, employer contacts, telephone check-ins, and substance use disorder and mental health treatment. As a consequence of receiving more services, individuals also had significantly better probation outcomes, including fewer positive drug tests and other technical violations” (APPA, Pg. 78). Reference: Jalbert, Sarah Kuck. 2012. “Reduced Caseloads Improve Probation Outcomes.” *Journal of Crime and Justice* 35 (2): 221-38.

The requested number of positions reflect the current needs of ACSB to move towards the recommended national best practice standards for community supervision.

We would also respectfully request that any appropriations made under this bill, not supplant the Judiciary’s other existing funding and budget requests.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on Senate Bill No. 304, SD1.