



*The Judiciary, State of Hawai‘i*

**Testimony to the Thirty-Third Legislature, 2025 Regular Session**

**House Committee on Finance**  
Representative Kyle T. Yamashita, Chair  
Representative Jenna Takenouchi, Vice Chair

Thursday March 27, 2025, 3:30 p.m.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 308

by  
Saifoloi Aganon  
Probation Administrator

---

**Bill No. and Title:** Senate Bill No. 304, SD1, HD1, Relating to the Judiciary.

**Purpose:** Establishes positions and appropriates funds for Adult Client Services Branch of the First Circuit Court.

**Judiciary's Position:**

The Judiciary respectfully supports the intent of this bill in establishing permanent positions within the Adult Client Services Branch (ACSB). In June 2024, the American Probation and Parole Association (APPA) published National Standards for Community Supervision which indicated that the optimal caseload distribution according to risk level is Intensive 20:1, Moderate to High Risk 50:1, Low Risk 200:1, and Administrative no limit suggested. Currently, ACSB has made efforts to align with the National Standards recommended by the APPA; however, these standards are difficult to achieve with current caseload sizes.

Currently, the probation officers in the First Circuit supervise a diverse caseload which are comprised of multiple types of cases that include intensive risk to administrative risk cases. The standards are unattainable with the current staffing at ACSB. Therefore, these additional positions would help ACSB move towards aligning with the recommended national best practice standards for community supervision.



Senate Bill No. 304, SD1, HD1, Relating to the Judiciary  
House Committee on Finance  
Thursday, March 27, 2025  
Page 2

The APPA National Standards for Community Supervision made the following commentaries that support this proposal for more staffing, “One study, for example, evaluated the introduction of reduced caseloads – approximately 54 medium-to-high-risk individuals per officer – in an agency with officers who were fully trained in evidence-based practices. The results showed that recidivism fell by 30% where agencies have redistributed caseloads to ensure smaller caseloads of higher-risk individuals” (APPA, pg. 77). Similarly, “Research has confirmed that moderate to high-risk persons on probation on a 50:1 caseload received significantly more office sessions, field visits, employer contacts, telephone check-ins, and substance use disorder and mental health treatment. As a consequence of receiving more services, individuals also had significantly better probation outcomes, including fewer positive drug tests and other technical violations” (APPA, Pg. 78). Reference: Jalbert, Sarah Kuck. 2012. “Reduced Caseloads Improve Probation Outcomes.” *Journal of Crime and Justice* 35 (2): 221-38.

The Judiciary appreciates that the requested amended FTE position counts were included in the JDC committee report to reflect the current needs of ACSB.

The Judiciary respectfully requests that any appropriation for this measure not supplant the Judiciary’s other existing funding and budget requests.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.