



**STATE OF HAWAII
OFFICE OF ELECTIONS**

802 LEHUA AVENUE
PEARL CITY, HAWAII 96782
elections.hawaii.gov

SCOTT T. NAGO
CHIEF ELECTION OFFICER

TESTIMONY OF THE
CHIEF ELECTION OFFICER, OFFICE OF ELECTIONS
TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY AND HAWAIIAN AFFAIRS
ON SENATE BILL NO. 2334, SD 1
RELATING TO ELECTION AUDITS

March 15, 2024

Chair Tarnas and members of the House Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs, thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on Senate Bill No. 2334, SD 1. This bill reduces the number of randomly selected precincts employing an electronic voting system that the Chief Election Officer is required to conduct a post-election, pre-certification audit from 10% to 5%, and clarifies that the Chief Election Officer must audit all elections in the randomly selected precincts as a condition of using an electronic voting system to create an electronic tally of ballots.

The post-election audits are conducted to confirm the results and ensure the accuracy and integrity of the election. Post-election audits are conducted on a sample of ballots and contests and are not a hand tally recount. Pursuant to HRS §16-42, we audit 10% of precincts randomly selected by Official Observers. The Official Observers then select a contest from each randomly selected precinct. We would also note that if a discrepancy is detected as an outcome of the audit, the Chief Election Officer may expand the audit to include additional contests and/or precincts. It takes approximately 6 days after each election to conduct the statewide audit in this manner and must be completed before the results are certified.

Our testimony on this measure focuses on the increased time required to audit all contests on the ballots associated with 5% of the precincts. Specifically, each election between 2014 and 2022 has had an average of 249 ballot types, which generally correspond with individual precincts. Each precinct has had an

average of 12 contests of which 1 would be selected for the audit. The current law's requirement of auditing 10% of precincts thus results in approximately 25 district/precincts being selected for the audit. As previously noted, an audit of this scope takes approximately 6 days to complete.

To the extent the present bill reduces the requirement from 10% of precincts to 5% of precincts but increases the number of contests from 1 per precinct to all contests, which is 12 on average, we estimate the amount of time necessary to complete such an audit will be approximately 36 days, unless there is a significant increase in staffing and funding to support such an audit.¹

Against this backdrop, we would recommend if the law were to be amended, that any change in the auditing process not result in more than 6 days being reasonably necessary to complete it. For example, with the required percentage of 5% of precincts to audit, at least 3 contests per precinct of which there would be at least 1 statewide contest and 1 countywide contest audited, along with ensuring that these contests would involve at least 1 contest on each side of the ballot. Based on the 2024 General Election, this would work out to approximately 45 contests. (i.e. 9 contests Hawaii, 6 Maui, 6 Kauai, and 24 Honolulu). While this would increase the number of contests audited, we envision that we could still be able to complete it within 6 days. We would also note that the number of days required is of concern because of the requirements related to the signature curing deadline 5 business days after the election and the contest for cause deadline 13 days after the primary election.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on Senate Bill No. 2334, SD 1.

¹ Specifically, while going from 10% to 5% would reduce the audit time in half if only 1 contest continued to be audited per precinct, thus resulting in 3 days (i.e. 6 days/2), the increase from 1 contest to 12 results in a significant increase (i.e. $[6 \text{ days} / 2] * 12 = 36 \text{ days}$).

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 1:19:48 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Camron Hurt	Common Cause Hawaii	Comments	In Person

Comments:

Dear Chair and Committee members,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on behalf of Common Cause Hawaii.

We strongly recommend that you take a different tack with the changes proposed for Section 16-42, Hawaii Revised Statutes, regarding provisions for post-election audits. We agree with Chief Election Officer Scott Nago’s concerns, in his previous testimony on SB2334, about the burden and complexity of extending the current audit method to all races on all the different ballots in various precincts – particularly before certification.

We recommend that Hawaii’s post-election audit focus on random *batches* of ballots, rather than precincts. We recommend that the audit check two races – one statewide, one countywide – rather than all the races on all the audited ballots. If the batches are randomly selected, then auditing 50 batches – rather than 10% of precincts – could provide a post-election audit that is more robust, and easier to administer, than Hawaii’s current practice.

Specifically, we recommend that Section 2 of SB2334 be changed to read as follows:

SECTION 2. Section 16-42, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:

"(b) The chief election officer may rely on electronic tallies created directly by electronic voting systems, in lieu of counting the paper ballots by hand or with a mechanical tabulation system if:

(1) The electronic voting system is subject to inspection, audit, and experimental testing, by qualified observers, before and after the election, pursuant to administrative rules adopted by the chief election officer under chapter 91;

(2) No upgrades, patches, fixes, or alterations shall be applied to the system through thirty days after the election;

(3) The chief election officer conducts a post-election, pre-certification audit ~~of a random sample of not less than [ten] five per cent of the precincts~~ **50 randomly selected batches tabulated by employing** the electronic voting system, to verify that the electronic tallies generated by the system ~~for all elections in those precincts~~ equal hand tallies of the paper ballots ~~generated by the system~~ **for at least one statewide contest and one countywide contest** ~~for all elections in those precincts~~; and

(4) If discrepancies appear in the pre-certification audits in paragraph (3), the chief election officer, pursuant to administrative rules, shall immediately conduct an expanded audit to determine the extent of misreporting in the system."

We also urge the Committee to authorize risk-limiting audit pilots, to be held during 2025, as part of a process to begin using risk-limiting audits to verify election results in Hawaii. Risk-limiting audits, also discussed in CEO Nago's testimony on SB 2334, provide strong evidence that an initial election outcome is correct – or, if the outcome initially announced is not correct, they provide a path to correcting it.

Risk-limiting audits are widely considered “the gold standard” of post-election tabulation audits, and have been recommended by federal agencies and advocacy groups from across the political spectrum. Endorsers include the U.S. Senate Select Intelligence Committee; the Presidential Commission on Election Administration; the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine; the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA); the American Statistical Association; the League of Women Voters of the United States; the Brennan Center for Justice; the Center for Democracy and Technology; National Election Defense Coalition; Protect Democracy; Public Citizen; Verified Voting Foundation; Americans for Tax Reform; R Street Institute; Liberty Coalition; FreedomWorks; Business for America; and, of course, Common Cause.

Colorado, Georgia, Rhode Island, Pennsylvania and Virginia are currently using risk-limiting audits to check their election outcomes, and Texas is planning full statewide use beginning in August 2026. We urge this Committee to authorize pilot risk-limiting audits as a way of familiarizing elections officials with the audits' process and procedures, as sort of a “dress rehearsal” before implementing them in Hawaii.

We also urge the Committee to allow SB2333 to die in Committee. Election security experts recommend using original voter-verified paper ballots for post-election audits rather than copies – and if SB2334 is changed as recommended above, SB2333 will not be needed.

We would be pleased to provide you with additional information, and we appreciate your consideration of our comments.

Sincerely,

Camron Hurt

Informational Testimony on Hawaii SB 2333 and SB2334

Mark Lindeman, Ph.D.

House Committee on Judiciary & Hawaiian Affairs

March 15, 2024

I am the Policy & Strategy Director of Verified Voting, a non-partisan nonprofit organization whose mission is to strengthen democracy for all voters by promoting the responsible use of technology in elections. My own special expertise is in designing and implementing post-election audits to confirm that ballots have been counted accurately. Drawing on that experience, I offer these high-level recommendations for post-election audits in Hawaii.

Audit ballots, not ballot images. To serve their full value, audits should rely on the actual paper ballots that voters could verify, not on digital images that voters cannot authenticate. From a technical perspective, the only way to know whether a ballot image accurately represents the ballot is to refer back to the ballot itself.

Audit batches, not precincts. Because Hawaii is a vote-by-mail state, ballots are scanned in batches of, typically, about 200 ballots at a time. One precinct may be scattered across many scan batches. This challenge is why Hawaii used ballot images in its 2022 audit. However, the voting system can produce vote totals for each scan batch as well as for each precinct. Sampling and hand-counting scan batches is far more efficient and effective than auditing precincts. It is more *efficient* because each batch contains a relatively small number of ballots that already are stored together. It is more *effective* because it provides more comparison points, and it is likely to include ballots from practically all precincts. Auditing 50 scan batches is more informative than auditing 25-30 precincts, and also is less burdensome and more observable.

Audit a few contests, not all contests (for now). Hand-counting more than two or three contests at a time—even for relatively few ballots—becomes substantially more complex and more error-prone. This tends to defeat the purpose of the audit.

Move toward auditing individual ballots—and all contests thereupon—but not yet. Hawaii's vote by mail system makes it possible for the state to follow Colorado's example of randomly sampling and auditing *individual ballots*. For each ballot, an audit team records the votes they see, and then their finding is compared to how the voting system interpreted the ballot. (This is not possible in states where most people vote in person, because the voting systems shuffle the digital records to protect voter privacy.) This highly efficient approach makes it possible for Colorado to conduct **risk-limiting audits** of selected statewide and local contests in every county, as well as spot-check audits of every contest on every ballot in the audit sample. Risk-limiting audits can provide strong assurance that reported winners really got more votes.

(continued)

However, these ballot-level audits do involve larger procedural changes than batch audits. Election officials deserve time to design, test, and refine ballot-level audits thoughtfully and carefully in the best interests of Hawaii's voters. In the meantime, batch audits of paper ballots can provide a solid measure of assurance in vote counts.

I am grateful for the opportunity to share these thoughts with you. I and my colleagues would be delighted to work with Hawaii legislators and election officials on implementation specifics.

Sincerely yours,



Mark Lindeman
Policy & Strategy Director
Verified Voting



SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/12/2024 3:29:19 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ralph Cushnie	Individual	Oppose	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

The elections are for the people. Accuracy is the most important factor. Changing audits for the convenience of the Office of Elections is unacceptable. The will erode public trust and confidence in our elections. Please do not pass this bill.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 7:14:30 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
April Handog	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 7:50:02 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Andy Crossland	Individual	Oppose	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I **oppose** this Bill and I urge all committee members to **VOTE NO**.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 7:59:50 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Stephanie Maldonado	Individual	Oppose	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

Why would uou want to minimize auditing? Again, contributing to more voter fraud

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:06:45 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Brendan Ajolo	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

oppose bill

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:07:42 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Justin Kaawa	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

opens up the possibilities for FRAUD

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:09:40 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Shawnie Campbell	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:15:31 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Timothy Ashton	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Two very similar bills to oppose. PAPER BALLOTS AND HAND COUNTED AUDITS. I oppose.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:16:09 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
David E Shormann	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Opposed.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:02:47 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Kaiulani Bowers	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this Bill because we should not reduce post-election audits from the original paper ballots to images

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:25:40 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mary Smart	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Retaining current audit requirements is the only way to ensure voter confidence in the final results of an election audit. Do not lower the number of precincts, make sure the precincts selected are random, and only the original ballot is the acceptable media for an audit.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:14:41 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
kamakani de dely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:22:26 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mallory De Dely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:35:47 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Susan Dedely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 10:07:13 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Deven English	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill, no reduction in audit from 10%. no ballot images, original paper ballot

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 12:08:35 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Joy Dillon	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Aloha JHA Committee Members,

I strongly oppose this bill and urge you to vote NO. We need MORE election audit percentages, NOT LESS. Electronic images should not be allowed, only original paper.

Out election processes and security are at risk right now and our legislators should be proposing more election security measures, not less.

Please vote NO on this bill. Thank you for your consideration.

Joy Dillon

Hilo Resident

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 12:26:14 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
David Ruiz	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

We need to keep originals not easily manipulated digital copies.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 12:07:24 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
David Williams	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Strongly oppose this bill. Electronic images are not good Enough. actual hard paper copies are needed to ensure fair elections.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 2:23:49 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Lesha Mathes	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

5% is not enough for a good clear measure. 10% is minimum needed. It needs to be the paper ballots not just images. Let's keep it clear and simple! Less chance of fraud.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 3:29:35 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Vivek Pathela	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

OPPOSE. Never use ballot images, no electronics. Audit ONLY original paper ballots!

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 4:07:37 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
THOMAS KENT	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Keep the audit at 10%, dont' change it to 5%.

I oppose this bill.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 4:26:58 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
CHESTER LUM	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Glitches can occur in machines at any time and cause the scanned image to reflect a vote that is not the intent of the voter. Re-running that scanned image multiple times will only result in counting the faulty vote. If there are any discrepancies between the paper ballot count and the machine count, then it will be caused by a machine glitch.

It has not been shown that not less than ten per cent of the precincts employing the electronic voting system, to verify that the electronic tallies generated by the system for all elections in those precincts equal hand tallies of the paper ballots generated by the system for all elections in those precincts for the past election cycles. Therefore, there is no justification for lowering the current standard below ten per cent.

Accuracy should never be compromised in the election process.

SB2334 should be tabled.

Once again, thank you for allowing me to submit testimony opposing this bill.

Chester Lum

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 7:59:39 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
James R Cabodol Jr	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

OPPOSE,OPPOSE,OPPOSE

ORIGINALS TOO STOP ELECTION FRAUD!!!!

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:28:31 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Sharron VanDeusen	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I OPPOSE SB2334.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:43:11 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Karen Mountain	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill. Why would we except images of ballots over the originals? Images can be manipulated. In an era of AI and photo manipulation apps, heighten cybercrimes, the legislative branch should be protecting our vote, not allowing for possible manipulation. This "voter integrity" measure seem to offer less integrity then protections. Why do other institutions require ORIGINAL birth certificates, not copies, original sealed and certified college transcripts, orginial and not expired ID's to pick up certain perscriptions, 2 forms of ID for passport and other applications. It is because images can be manipulated.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 11:31:21 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jennifer Cabjuan	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose this bill. Prove you can audit the vote according to the previous law before changing methods again. Audit with paper ballots. No ballot images please!

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 12:00:57 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Paula	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Aloha,

ERIC, SHOULD NOT EXIST.

WE THE PEOPLE should vote under paper ballots and not under voting machines.

WE NEED TO HAVE SECURE ELECTIONS!

Mahalo

Paula Russo

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 4:58:39 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
james wallace	Individual	Oppose	In Person

Comments:

I oppose SB2334. There trying to get rid of paper ballots because its easier to rigg the system and they know that. They want to keep hawaii a blue state. Thats why we are voted once again the most corrupt state in amaerica, with no suprise.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 3:32:00 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Alice Abellanida	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill. It aids the ability to cheat. Vote no.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 5:51:41 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
julie schaus	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose sb2334 sd1

we need to increase our percentages of precinct audits and it must be done with paper to have legitimatcy

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 6:19:09 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Sam schaus	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose sb2334 sd1

increase auditing of actual paper ballots to ensure legitimacy and transparency of our elections

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 6:01:49 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Greg schaus	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose sb2334 sd1

we should be increasing our precinct audit percentages.

and compare to actual paper ballots to ensure legitimacy

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 8:57:27 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Corinne Solomon	Individual	Oppose	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

Aloha House Judiciary Committee Chair Tarnas and Committee Members,

I oppose SB2334 SD1.

There is ample documentation from nonpartisan well respected sources (I can provide these upon request) recommending that post-election precertification audits **randomly audit 10% of all precincts and use the physical paper ballots.** Best practices do not state that you need to randomly audit all races on the ballot, but it may sound like a good idea.

At face value this bill appears well intended.

However, if this bill becomes law, the Office of Elections will claim that the only way to expediently do the audits will be to use ballot images instead of the original physical paper ballots.

Audit a random selection of 10% of precincts and use the original physical paper ballots. We deserve nothing less.

Accuracy and transparency should never be compromised for expediency.

Please oppose SB2334 SD1.

Respectfully submitted,

Corinne Solomon

HD20 resident

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 10:27:20 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Alex Akui	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Opposed

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 10:47:09 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Lora Santiago	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose Bill# SB2334. Use original REAL paper.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 11:02:32 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
dawn lincoln	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Stop this bill immediately. It is not good forward movement for the State. Passage should be blocked.

Your constituents are watching you.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 11:18:51 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Dalene McCormick	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill along with all the other bills where the office of elections does not want audits done as previously written in law when they implemented all mail in ballots. Audit to original paper ballot 100% of the time.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 11:35:14 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Vincent r Golio	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

We want our audits done with the ORIGINAL PAPER BALLOTS because there is this thing called PHOTOSHOP. You better get off your lazy fat political bupkusses and start thinking our way. Because we are your boss. And if you don't do things the right way, you're going to be kicked to the curb. Not that I wouldn't enjoy that immensely, considering what you have done to us, I would prefer to see all of you at the tip of a steel toe workboot looking for a job cutting Scott Nago's yard at his new prison home while he looks out of his cell window in between cuddle time with his cellmates. OPPOSE. Might as well do it now before Trump is reelected and we go to paper ballots and local precincts nationwide. That's when you all will crap your board shorts when you realize your time screwing us all over has ended. OPPOSE. OPPOSE. OPPOSE.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 11:48:47 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
TERI SAVAIINAEA	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose SB2334 SD1.

Thank you,

Teri Kia Savaiinaea

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 12:04:43 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jeanine Acopan	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose!!!

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 2:00:56 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Cheryl Rzonca	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 1:54:30 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Samuel Luke	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Audits must be done with original paper ballots to protect election integrity

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 2:11:46 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Kanoë Willis	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I OPPOSE SB2334 SD1

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 2:07:31 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ryan Willis	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I OPPOSE SB2334 SD1

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 3:14:07 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mary Healy	Individual	Oppose	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I oppose this bill and will give testimony via zoom.

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/15/2024 9:43:02 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Kealohi Hanohano	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose

SB-2334-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/15/2024 8:32:10 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/15/2024 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Doug Pasnik	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill. A system audit does not validate the output of a system by reducing the audit percentage in the interest of efficiency over accuracy. This bill violates the voting system requirements of the Help America Vote Act 2002 & Voluntary Voting System Guidelines (US EAC).