

**STATE OF HAWAII**  
**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH**  
P. O. Box 3378  
Honolulu, HI 96801-3378  
doh.testimony@doh.hawaii.gov

WRITTEN  
TESTIMONY ONLY

**Testimony COMMENTING on HB1088 HD3  
RELATING TO COSMETICS**

SENATOR MIKE GABBARD, CHAIR  
SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND ENVIRONMENT

Hearing Date: 3/15/2021

Room Number: Videoconference

1 **Fiscal Implications:** This measure will impact the priorities identified in the Governor's  
2 Executive Budget Request for the Department of Health's (Department) appropriations and  
3 personnel priorities.

4 **Department Testimony:** The Department appreciates the opportunity to provide testimony  
5 offering comments on HB1088 HD3. The Food and Drug Branch (FDB) is responsible for  
6 assuring cosmetics are properly labeled and safe for use by consumers through the enforcement  
7 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) Chapter 328, "Food, Drugs, and Cosmetics". However,  
8 the subject of the bill is not designed to address the safety or labeling of the cosmetic sold to the  
9 consumer but rather the manner in which it was developed for market. Chapter 328, HRS, is  
10 directed at product quality control and consumer protection and is not an ideal fit for provisions  
11 seeking to address animal testing in the development of new cosmetic ingredients.

12 The Department supports the development and use of alternatives to whole-animal testing as  
13 well as adherence to the most humane methods available within the limits of scientific capability  
14 when animals are used for testing the safety of cosmetic products. However, the regulation of  
15 cruelty-free cosmetics would require oversight by a department or agency that is well-versed in  
16 matters involving animal testing methods and animal rights or that can effectively enforce the  
17 prohibition of manufacturers from importing for sale cosmetics that contain a new ingredient that  
18 has been tested on animals. These matters are outside the jurisdiction of FDB and the scope of  
19 Chapter 328, HRS.

1 Should the legislature enact these amendments, FDB respectfully requests that the amendments  
2 be placed in HRS Chapter 321, as originally intended, to allow county prosecutors the ability to  
3 pursue potential violators as provided for in the measure.

4

5 **Offered Amendments:** None.

6 Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/12/2021 6:22:07 AM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Cathy Goeggel	Testifying for Animal Rights Hawai'i	Support	No

Comments:

Please pass HB1088; it is in keeping with actions taken by states and countries around the globe.



Animal Defenders International

6100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1150, LOS ANGELES, CA 90048. Tel: +1 323 935 2234 Fax: +1 323 935 9234  
www.adiusa.org usa@ad-international.org

**In support of Hawaii SB345 SD2 / HB1088 HD3**

**Animal Defenders International** offers the following in strong support of [SB345 SD2](#) / [HB1088 HD3](#), which seek to end the import and sale of cosmetic products that have been tested on animals, consistent with similar prior measures passed in California, Nevada, Illinois, and the European Union. ADI prefers the clearer language of [SB345 SD2](#), as [HB1088 HD3](#) still contains the vague descriptor “*in a cruel manner*”, which could complicate enforcement and is not part of those other similar measures, previously passed elsewhere, that are specifically referenced in both bills.

We extend our many thanks to the bill sponsors ~ Senators Gabbard, Lee, and Shimabukuro, and Representatives Belatti, Gates, Hashimoto, Ichiyama, Kapela, LoPresti, Lowen, Marten, Matayoshi, McKelvey, Mizuno, Morikawa, Nakamura, Perruso, Wildberger, and Yamane ~ for their leadership on this issue. We hope this informs your review and we ask you to support an end to cruel and unnecessary animal testing for cosmetics.

ADI investigations expose terrible torment endured by animals in cosmetics testing, including racks of rabbits restrained in stocks while products are dripped into their eyes, and guinea pigs suffering raw and inflamed skin lesions. These tests typically involve:


- Repeat dose toxicity - a product may be pumped down an animal’s throat or applied to its skin, or the animal might be forced to inhale it - to observe chronic, long-term effects on organs.
- Skin sensitization - may involve skin abrasions and deliberately causing painful damage to assess potential allergic reactions to substances with contact.
- Carcinogenicity - animals are exposed and monitored for cell changes during or after exposure, that could lead to the development of cancer.
- Reproductive toxicity - animals are exposed to substances before and/or during pregnancy to test for poisonous effects, upon the animal’s ability to reproduce, as well as damage to the fetus or its development.

The tide is turning; a 2015 Gallup poll revealed more than two-thirds of Americans are concerned about animals suffering in research. A 2015 Nielson poll found most consumers considered the “*not tested on animals*” moniker to be the most important packaging claim; 43% were willing to pay more for such products. At least 140 personal care products companies have endorsed the *Humane Cosmetics Act*, a federal bill similar to Hawaii’s [SB345 SD2](#) / [HB1088 HD3](#). The EU Parliament has called for a worldwide ban on animal testing for cosmetics. The *US Food & Drug Administration* announced its collaboration – the *Non-Animal Cosmetic Safety Assessment Globally* - formed among stakeholder groups with the goal of globally implementing non-animal cosmetic safety assessment by 2023.

As it stands, cosmetics companies must meet cruelty-free requirements for 1.5 billion consumers in the global marketplace. Hundreds of cruelty-free cosmetic companies now thrive in North America, and the market increasingly demands humane products.

**We urge you to support HI [SB345 SD2](#) / [HB1088 HD3](#) ~ it’s time to end cruel and unnecessary animal testing for cosmetics.**

Respectfully,

  
Christina Scaringe, General Counsel  
**Animal Defenders International**  
[www.ad-international.org](http://www.ad-international.org)

March 15, 2021 1pm

Senate Agriculture and Environmental Protection Committee

**RE: SUPPORT FOR HB1088 HD3 with amendments; RELATING TO COSMETICS**

Submitted By: Inga Gibson, Pono Advocacy, on behalf of Cruelty Free International  
[PonoAdvocacy@gmail.com](mailto:PonoAdvocacy@gmail.com), 808.922.9910

---

Dear Honorable Chair Gabbard and Members of the Committee,

We respectfully urge your **support of HB1088 HD3 with the below 2 amendments**, which would prohibit the import for profit, sale, and offer for sale of any cosmetic in the State if the final product or any component of the final product was developed or manufactured using animal testing performed on or after 1/1/2022. Effective 1/1/2024.

- 1) Per the request of DOH, Page 2, line 16, **change from Chapter 328 to Chapter 321** as Chapter 328 only governs cosmetics related to human health, whereas, Chapter 321 is a broader section:

**"§3218- Cosmetics; animal testing; cruelty; prohibition.**

- 2) Delete reference to our state animal cruelty statute as the testing of ingredients on animals DOES NOT occur in Hawaii and thus could not be substantiated as meeting our state laws definition of cruelty:

Page 3, line 2 strike, ...**supplier of the manufacturer, on or after January 1, 2022 2024, in a cruel manner, as identified in section 711-1109.**

Modern cosmetic safety testing standards and practices no longer require the use of animals. These new testing methods are also more reliable, effective and efficient. Three states, California, Nevada and Illinois have already enacted this same legislation, along with more than 30 countries. Manufacturers have therefore already discontinued cosmetic testing on animals. This measure would simply formally align Hawaii with this national trend.

Please see below letters of support from the Personal Care Products Council, representing more than 600 cosmetic companies and manufacturers nationwide, and an additional letter of support from The Body Shop, who is one of the hundreds of companies who have long discontinued the sale of cosmetic products tested on animals,

Please note that this bill:

**DOES NOT prohibit medical or research testing**, only testing for cosmetics, and provides additional exemptions to prevent conflict with any federal laws.

**DOES NOT impact retailers**, only manufacturers, who support this bill. No products will need to be removed from shelves or returned to manufacturers as all current products are grandfathered into the bill.

We expect full compliance from manufacturers therefore, we do not anticipate any enforcement actions.

Thank you for your consideration of this testimony.



## STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF CFI/PCPC ANIMAL TESTING BAN AGREEMENT

In 2019, Cruelty Free International<sup>1</sup>(CFI) and the Personal Care Products Council<sup>2</sup> (PCPC) forged a compromise agreement on state animal testing legislation. The model legislation will ban cosmetics products from being sold in states if those products or their ingredients have been tested on animals. The legislation makes necessary exceptions if, for example, the testing is mandated by federal, state or foreign regulators, and allows companies to rely on existing data from testing that was done to address regulatory requirements for non-cosmetic purposes under specific conditions.

Cosmetics and personal care products companies have been strong leaders in the search for and development of alternative cosmetics testing methods for safety assessments, and have worked with regulators in the U.S. and globally to gain acceptance of these methods. For nearly four decades, PCPC member companies have been at the forefront in significantly reducing the use of animals in product safety testing.

The legislation reflects months of discussions between PCPC and CFI, and represents a shared commitment to address the safety of humans and animals, while preserving access to so many products that consumers trust and rely on every day.

The cosmetics industry has long worked toward eliminating the use of animal testing, and this legislation is an effective measure that balances our common goal to make cosmetics animal testing obsolete globally.

Reviewed 1/13/2021

---

<sup>1</sup> Cruelty Free International is the leading organization working to create a world where nobody wants or believes we need to experiment on animals. Our dedicated team are experts in their fields, combining award-winning campaigning, political lobbying, pioneering undercover investigations, scientific and legal expertise and corporate responsibility. Educating, challenging and inspiring others across the globe to respect and protect animals, we investigate and expose the reality of life for animals in laboratories, challenge decision-makers to make a positive difference for animals, and champion better science and cruelty free living.

<sup>2</sup> Based in Washington, D.C., the Personal Care Products Council (PCPC) is the leading national trade association representing the global cosmetic and personal care products companies. Founded in 1894, PCPC's 600 member companies manufacture, distribute and supply the vast majority of finished personal care products marketed in the U.S. As the makers of a diverse range of products millions of consumers rely on and trust every day – from sunscreens, toothpaste and shampoo to moisturizer, lipstick and fragrance – personal care products companies are global leaders committed to product safety, quality and innovation.



January 27, 2021

**RE: Strong Support for HB1088 Hawaii Cruelty Free Cosmetics Act**

Aloha Speaker Saiki,

The Body Shop is pleased to support the Hawaii Cruelty Free Cosmetics Act (HB1088). HB1088 will prohibit the sale of any cosmetic product that has been tested on animals after the date January 1, 2022 thus bringing Hawaii in line with California, Nevada and Illinois as well as with over 40 countries which already prohibit the sale of new animal-tested cosmetics.

As a company that has relied on non-animal safety tests to ensure our products meet cosmetic safety needs, we have direct experience with the utility and success of these modern approaches. With a plethora of ingredients available that have already been proven safe as well as the growth in modern non-animal safety tests available, there is no reason to test cosmetic products or ingredients on animals. Moreover, we know that being cruelty free is deeply important to our customers.

Eliminating the sale of animal tested cosmetics in Hawaii is a move that is welcomed by our company and one that will help the move the US in the right direction helping to ensure that animals are no longer harmed for the sake of cosmetics anywhere in the world.

We respectfully urge your support for HB1088.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink on a light beige rectangular background. The signature reads "Nicolas Debray" in a fluid, cursive script.

Nicolas Debray  
President, Americas  
The Body Shop





March 12, 2021

Senate Committee on Agriculture and the Environment  
Hawaii State Capitol

**RE: Support for HB 1088 Cruelty Free Cosmetics**

Aloha Chair Gabbard, Vice Chari Nishihara and Committee members.

On behalf of Cruelty Free International, I am pleased to support HB 1088 Hawaii Cruelty Free Cosmetics Act, which will prohibit cosmetic manufactures from selling any cosmetic in the state if it was developed or manufactured using an animal test performed after the date of enactment.

We do suggest a few changes that would bring the bill back closer to its original form. We would like to see the date of enactment begin January 1, 2022 –the bill does not impact retailers and manufacturers are already complying with these testing stipulations in 3 other states and internationally. A January 1, 2022 would also match the recently passed legislation in Virginia. We also ask that that reference to the state anti-cruelty statute be removed as it not necessary for this legislation - in general animals used in laboratories are not covered by state anti-cruelty laws and the actual testing described in the bill would take place outside of the state.

The legislation makes exceptions for special safety concerns, drugs used in cosmetics, foreign testing requirements, and allows companies to rely on existing data from testing that was done to address regulatory requirements for non-cosmetic purposes under specific conditions. We worked very hard with industry stakeholders to reach an agreement on these points that consider the complexities of the industry while achieving a primary and shared goal of ensuring that cosmetics are not the cause of new animal testing.

Non-animal testing methods spare significant numbers of animals from pain, distress, and death. And crucially, non-animal testing methods have higher relevance for humans compared to tests in animals, and thus to ensure a better protection of human health. Not only do companies have these modern tests at their disposal they also have thousands of existing cosmetic ingredients with histories of safe use and existing safety data that can be utilized without further testing.

Passage of HB 1088 would bring Hawaii in line with nearly 40 countries and now four US states [California, Illinois, Nevada and recently Virginia] that prohibit the sale of new animal-tested cosmetics. Moreover, ending animal testing for cosmetics is an issue that unites Americans across generations and political affiliations. A 2019 SurveyUSA poll revealed that nearly 8 out of 10 of poll respondents said that they would support a law that would prohibit animal testing for cosmetics. When broken down by self-reported party affiliation 83% of Democrats, 72% of Republicans, and 80% of Independents support or strongly support a law.

History has shown that state activity often leads to changes at the federal level. State efforts on this issue have already and helped inform a way forward for the national approach as the agreements reached on state legislation were adopted into the federal Humane Cosmetics Act. The Hawaii Cruelty Free Cosmetics Act reflects the interests of industry, consumers and animal protection organizations and would make Hawaii one of the first states to create a cruelty free cosmetics market and help to move the rest of the nation in the right direction.

Mahalo,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Monica Emberton", written in a cursive style.

Head of Public Affairs -North America  
Cruelty Free International



## Hawaiian Humane Society

People for animals. Animals for people.

2700 Waialae Avenue Honolulu, Hawaii 96826  
808.356.2200 • [HawaiianHumane.org](http://HawaiianHumane.org)

Date: March 12, 2021

To: Chair Senator Mike Gabbard  
Vice Chair Senator Clarence Nishihara  
and Members of the Committee on Agriculture and Environment

Submitted By: Stephanie Kendrick, Public Policy Advocate  
Hawaiian Humane Society, 808-356-2217

RE: Testimony in support of HB 1088, HD3: Relating to Cosmetics  
Monday, March 15, 2021, 1 p.m., Via Videoconference

---


Aloha Chair Gabbard, Vice Chair Nishihara and Committee Members,

On behalf of the Hawaiian Humane Society, thank you for considering our support of House Bill 1088, HD3, which bans the import for profit, sale, and offer for sale of any cosmetic in the state if the final product or any component of the final product was developed or manufactured using animal testing performed on or after 1/1/2022.

Hawaiian Humane believes the use of animals for experimentation should be permitted only when there are no feasible alternatives and only when the experiment is believed likely to produce new and substantial information. That is certainly not the case in testing the chemicals used in cosmetics where modern alternatives are cheaper, faster and can better predict human reactions. These animal-free techniques are required in European Union countries as well as a growing number of states, including California, Nevada and Illinois. We support HB1088, HD3, with the amendments proposed by Cruelty Free International. The provisions of this measure are well vetted, supported by many in the cosmetics industry itself, and include exemptions to address threats to human health.

There is no evidence that any local cosmetics manufacturers will be affected by this law, except that they will no longer have to compete against manufacturers who abuse animals in pursuit of profits. We urge the committee to pass HB1088, HD3, with the proposed amendments. Mahalo for your consideration.

Love Life!



HB 1088, HD3 RELATING TO COSMETICS  
Senate Committees on Agriculture and Environment, Judiciary,  
And Commerce and Consumer Protection  
March 13, 2021, 1:00pm State Capitol

Corporate Office  
P.O. Box 1166  
Kailua, HI 96734  
Phone: (808) 484-5890  
Fax: (808) 484-5896  
corporate@downtoearth.org

#### Oahu Locations

Honolulu  
2525 South King Street  
Honolulu, HI 96826  
Phone: (808) 947-7678  
Fax: (808) 943-8491  
honolulu@downtoearth.org

Kailua  
573 Kailua Road  
Suite 101  
Kailua, HI 96734  
Phone: (808) 262-3838  
Fax: (808) 263-3788  
kailua@downtoearth.org

Pearlridge  
98-211 Pali Momi Street  
Suite 950  
Aiea, Hawaii 96701  
Phone: (808) 488-1375  
Fax: (808) 488-4549  
pearlridge@downtoearth.org

Kapolei  
4460 Kapolei Parkway  
Kapolei, Hawaii 96707  
Phone: (808) 675-2300  
Fax: (808) 675-2323  
kapolei@downtoearth.org

Kakaako  
500 Keawe St.  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
Phone: (808) 465-2512  
Fax: (808) 465-2305  
kakaako@downtoearth.org

#### Maui Location

Kahului  
305 Dairy Road  
Kahului, HI 96732  
Phone: (808) 877-2661  
Fax: (808) 877-7548  
kahului@downtoearth.org

Aloha Sen. Mike Gabbard, AEN Chair, Sen. Clarence Nishihara, AEN Vice Chair, Sen. Karl Rhoads, JDC Chair, Sen. Jarrett Keohokalole, JDC Vice Chair, Sen. Rosalyn H. Baker, CPN Chair, Sen. Stanley Chang, CPN Vice Chair, and Committee Members,

#### **Down to Earth Organic and Natural testifies in support of HB 1088, HD3.**

*Down to Earth Organic and Natural has six locations on Oahu and Maui. Since we opened in 1977, we have supported healthy lifestyles and preservation of the environment by selling local, fresh, organic and natural products, and by promoting a healthy, plant-based and vegetarian lifestyle.*

We are in support of HB 1088, HD3, banning the import for profit, sale, and offer for sale of any cosmetic in the State that was manufactured using animal testing performed in a cruel manner.

Down to Earth has been an all-vegetarian store since our first store opened over 40 years ago. We have become a hub for many Hawaii residents that are compassionate to all animals. We appreciate this opportunity to testify on behalf of these community members in support of this bill.

Testing cosmetics on animals involves rubbing the product into the eyes and skin, forced feeding, or "lethal dose" tests. The sale of cosmetics that have been tested on animals has been banned in the European Union, Israel, and India, showing that there are other alternatives. Additionally, all of the cosmetic and natural living products that we sell at our Down to Earth stores are "cruelty-free" and never tested on animals. Cruelty-free products are abundant and preferred by many of our customers.


Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this bill.

Alison Riggs  
Public Policy & Government Relations Manager  
Down to Earth

2525 S. King St., Suite 309  
Honolulu, HI 96826  
Phone (808) 824-3240  
Fax (808) 951-8283  
E-mail: [alison.riggs@downtoearth.org](mailto:alison.riggs@downtoearth.org)

[www.downtoearth.org](http://www.downtoearth.org)





**THE HUMANE SOCIETY**  
OF THE UNITED STATES

**To:** Senator Mike Gabbard, Chair  
Senate Agriculture and Environment Committee members

**In Support Of:** HB 1088

**Date:** March 15, 2021

**Testimony By:** Lindsay Vierheilg, Hawaii State Director, The Humane Society of the United States

Dear Chairman Gabbard and members of the Senate Agriculture and Environment Committee,

I appreciate the opportunity to submit this written testimony on behalf of our Hawaii members and supporters urging the committee to pass HB8, which prohibits a manufacturer from importing for profit, selling, or offering for sale in Hawaii any cosmetic, for which an animal test was conducted or contracted by or on behalf of the manufacturer, or any supplier of the manufacturer, on or after January 1, 2024.

The Humane Society of the United States is very concerned about the inclusion of the language “in a cruel manner, as identified in section 711-1109.” The continued use of animal testing for cosmetics is inherently cruel and unnecessary. However, the language in Section 711-1109 specifically exempts “activities carried on for scientific research governed by standards of accepted educational or medicinal practices.” Furthermore, cosmetics testing on animals is not occurring in the state of Hawaii so this reference to the state’s cruelty code is not appropriate. We urge the committee to remove this language referencing the cruelty code.

We also would recommend that the effective date remain January 1, 2022. This gives industry ample to time to comply and has the support of the Personal Care Products Council (PCPC), the largest cosmetics trade association representing approximately 600 companies in the United States.

There is strong corporate support for ending animal testing for cosmetics. HB 1088 has received letters of support from 3 companies based in Hawaii: Ao Organics Hawaii (Honoka’a), O’o Hawaii (Oahu), and Pure Mana Hawaii (Kealakekua). In addition, the Humane Cosmetics Act, federal legislation to end the production and sale of animal-tested cosmetics, has been endorsed by more than 325 individual companies in the cosmetics industry and was also introduced with the full support of the PCPC.

In traditional animal tests, rabbits, guinea pigs, mice and rats have substances forced down their throats, dripped into their eyes, or smeared onto their skin before they are killed. These test methods are unreliable predictors of human safety. Different species can respond differently when exposed to the same chemicals. Consequently, animal tests may under- or over-estimate real-world hazards to people. In addition, results from animal tests can be quite variable and difficult to interpret.

Fortunately, animal testing for cosmetics is completely unnecessary. There are no animal testing requirements for cosmetic safety substantiation in the United States. Companies can already create great products using thousands of available ingredients that have a history of safe use and do not require new testing. For new ingredients where animal testing may currently be used, many non-animal methods have been, and continue to be, developed. Non-animal methods can combine human cell-based tests and sophisticated computer models to deliver human-relevant results at less cost and in less time than the animal tests.

HB 1088 contains some exceptions that allow for sale of products with new animal testing under certain conditions including testing: (1) Required by a federal or state agency when there is a specific human health concern and there is no available alternative test or alternative ingredient; (2) Required by a foreign regulatory authority, if no evidence derived from such test was relied upon to substantiate the safety of a cosmetic sold within Hawaii; (3) Conducted on a product or ingredient classified as a drug by the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; and (4) Conducted for non-cosmetic purposes if no evidence derived from such test was relied upon to substantiate the safety of a cosmetic sold within Hawaii without documented evidence that the testing was done to satisfy non-cosmetic testing requirements and the ingredient was in use in a non-cosmetic product for at least 12 months. These limited exceptions recognize the realities of chemical testing laws around the globe while also creating the incentive for cosmetic companies to push for the development and acceptance of additional non-animal test methods.

There has been a global trend toward eliminating cosmetic animal testing. In 2018, California became the first state in the country to ban the sale of cosmetics newly tested on animals followed by Nevada and Illinois in 2019. On March 12, 2021, Virginia became the fourth state to pass such a law and several other states are currently working toward the same. Historically, the European Union (EU) began the trend in 2013 by finalizing a ban on the sale of cosmetics tested on animals, creating the world's largest cruelty-free cosmetics marketplace. This ban compelled cosmetic companies around the world to end animal testing and invest in the development of non-animal alternatives instead. Similar bans have also been enacted in Israel, Norway, India, and Switzerland and are under consideration in several other countries. In order to sell their products in any of these countries, cosmetic companies must already comply with bans on animal testing.

**The Humane Society of the United States urges the committee to amend and pass HB 1088 and help bring about an end to the use of animal testing in cosmetics.**

Sincerely,

Lindsay Vierheilig  
Hawaii State Director  
The Humane Society of the United States  
lvierheilig@humanesociety.org

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/11/2021 4:43:58 PM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
joanna p milo	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

as an individual citizen ..i agree support..jmilo

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/11/2021 5:22:59 PM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Dana Jenkins	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please support this bill!!

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/12/2021 12:50:25 AM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Marian Hussenbux	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

**HB1088 HD3** bans the import for profit, sale, and offer for sale of any cosmetic in the state if the final product or any component of the final product was developed or manufactured using animal testing performed on or after 1/1/2022.

We join with Hawaiian Humane in strongly supporting the bill.

There is no good reason these days for testing the chemicals used in cosmetics products, where there are modern alternatives that are cheaper, faster and can better predict human reactions. These animal-free techniques are required in the European Union as well as a growing number of states, including California, Nevada and Illinois. The provisions of HB1088 are well vetted, supported by many in the cosmetics industry itself, and include exemptions to address specific, properly substantiated threats to human health.



**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/12/2021 4:11:47 AM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Jennifer Chiwa	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Aloha Chairperson Gabbard, Vice Chairperson Nishihara and Members of the Committee on Agriculture and Environment.

Please support HB 1088 HD 3 which would ban the import of cosmetics that involve cruel animal testing. I am strongly against animal testing in cosmetics, and Hawaii, as a leader in animal welfare, should take a stand against this, too. As a bill against cruelty to animals, again please support HB 1088 HD 3.

Mahalo.

Jennifer Chiwa

Makiki

Please, everyone support this bill!

This Is animal cruelty and abuse! It must stop now!

Murdering poor defenseless animals for money by greedy companies who only goal in life is increasing profits!

This bill is long overdue, please do your part to help our loving animal communities around the world.

Pass this bill and make it effective ASAP!

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/12/2021 2:07:21 PM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Susan Eubank	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please stop using animals for any sort of testing for cosmetics etc. It is a cruel practice and should be stopped. I support HB1088.

Thank You.

Susan Eubank

Diamond Head

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/12/2021 6:03:23 PM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Lois Crozer	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Wow, I didn't think we could control this but thumbs up for that. There is no reason anymore to subject God's beautiful creatures to abject cruelty for the sake of cosmetics. Glad we have finally moved on.

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/13/2021 11:07:32 AM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Fern Anuenue Holland	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Aloha Senators. I am writing in support of HB1088. Mahalo! Fern A€ Holland

**HB-1088-HD-3**

Submitted on: 3/13/2021 2:18:49 PM

Testimony for AEN on 3/15/2021 1:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Dana Keawe	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

I support hb1088 hd3