

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/18/2021 10:06:08 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Isabel Espiritu	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha,

We the people of Hawaii do not want Resolution SCR71 to pass. We should be able to fly without showing any proof of any medical records. This is medical discrimination, unethical and a direct violation on our constitutional rights.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 4:12:11 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Lily Gavri	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Everything that is going on with Covid as well as the entire medical and pharmaceutical industry is built on the germ theory of disease by Louis Pasteur which proposes that particles in the air are the cause of disease. This has never been proven in accordance with Koche's postulates which are the principles by which microorganisms are scientifically identified and isolated.

They are:

1. The microorganism must be identified in all individuals affected by the disease, but not in healthy individuals.
2. The microorganism can be isolated from the diseased individual and grown in culture.
3. When introduced into a healthy individual, the cultured microorganism should cause disease.
4. The microorganism must then be re-isolated from the experimental host, and found to be identical to the original microorganism.

This has never been done with a virus.

What they do when trying to identify a virus is they take a healthy cell, starve and poison it and when it dies they claim the leftover particles of the dead cell are newly formed "viruses"

"The CDC has NEVER isolated and purified ANY alleged "virus." They simply isolate certain particles that are a natural part of the human body's processes and place that

isolated particle into a petri dish wherein they mix it with monkey kidney cells and chemicals and starve the cell whereby it begins to break down. Then they falsely claim the final condition of the orchestrated concoction is a result of the isolated particle that they misleadingly allege is a "virus."

They make excuses and make up stories. Modern day science has drifted from a method of identifying provable truths to a belief system much like a religion. Let's call it scientism where masking is a cult like oxygen deprivation ritual and symbol of devotion to it.

Kary Mullis the inventor of the PCR test who is no longer with us to tell us that his test is being used wrong said that it is not a test for pathogens. It's a research tool. This test is allowed to go up to 45 cycles in some countries to amplify fragments of dead cells, exosomes, bits of leftover DNA or RNA, cellular debris and call it Covid. In germ theory the normal dying process of the cell is labeled as a nefarious invader and when they say the virus is mutating, it's not, it's the fact that these particles look different from one individual to the next.

The terrain model by Antoine Bechamp:

What disease really is, is the accumulation of toxic waste in the body at which point our own cells pleomorph as in change to eat and get rid of the garbage that our body produces due to unhealthy lifestyle. When the toxicity reaches a critical level a reaction occurs to rid the body of this waste in the form of a cold, flu or disease. Blaming a virus for disease is like blaming a fly that is attracted to a pile of garbage and saying that the fly is the one who made the garbage.

It is the internal terrain of the body that is the cause of disease due to toxemia and acidosis which the current germ theory calls "susceptibility." We have 40% obesity and 60% chronically ill in America. The government has never cared about these statistics before or mandated healthy food or the removal of toxins/chemicals/poisons from the food. We know that most of the people that died from "covid" had pre existing conditions, co morbidities or were obese. Not once did an expert on tv take the opportunity to teach the public about true health. Exercise, fresh air, sunshine, clean food and water. Why not? Because there is no money to be made unlike Big Pharma who is a medical mafia that profits off of us (humans) being sick by selling us drugs that

only suppress symptoms of disease without actually addressing or healing the root cause of the problem and pumping us full of vaccines which have been discovered to actually cause disease, not cure or prevent it. This is why Antoine Bechamps work is suppressed and the terrain model is not taught. I recently spoke with a pediatric nurse who had never even heard of it before.

Over 1,700 deaths and almost 40,000 injuries from this vaccine so far reported on VAERS which only represents about 1% of the actual injuries and deaths. The companies making these vaccines are not liable yet have all been found guilty of falsifying science, fraud and killing people and have had to pay out billions so the fact that you are trying to coerce people into getting an experimental, non FDA approved emergency use authorized gene therapy so they can come to Hawaii for vacation and skip quarantine is beyond words.

I recently watched a speech by Del Bigtree at a rally on Oahu a few days ago. He said that 1. Michael Yeadon who was Vice President Pfizer came out and said that this vaccine could make women infertile and 2. that in the animal trials for this vaccine the animals did not die right after being vaccinated but the next time they encountered the "virus" the antibodies that were created by the vaccine actually helped the virus into the cell, helped it proliferate throughout the body creating a cytokine storm resulting in severe issues and death. The human trial is happening right now.

Later that day at the same rally I saw a horrific scene of police officers looking like sinister masked zombies arresting people that were doing nothing wrong in front of their kids at a peaceful protest in Kapiolani park. Over what? Not social distancing or wearing masks while thousands of tourists were doing the same at the beaches? Over arbitrary rules based on lies? It's despicable. Mask wearing equals lack of oxygen equals loss of brain cells which those police officers might have been suffering from.

Where is the bill that ends all of this insanity and lets us have our lives and freedoms back?

I am personally tired of seeing what is happening to humanity. I am tired of the covid scam that this government agreed to and decided to inflict on its people and probably practiced for beforehand.

I know that many people are just following orders and doing what their bosses tell them and their bosses are doing what their bosses tell them and it goes all the way like that to the top of this pyramidal structure with each tier not knowing or being privy to the information of the tier above, not knowing any more than they are allowed to know. At the top of the pyramid are the richest people in the world. The information that has circulated about them is that they are vile, do not care about humans and are trying to kill us off. After WW2 the US government took in almost 2,000 nazi scientists and changed their names. Since then sickness, disease and obesity has climbed exponentially with no government interference. This entire agenda is depopulation or population control. All of you that are trying to push these bills through really need to rethink what you're doing. It's time to stop following and obeying these orders by whoever is issuing them, wake up out of this culturally induced coma, exercise our free will and god given rights and stop enforcing and complying with this medical tyranny that is reminiscent of nazi Germany.

References:

<https://thebigvirushoax.com/viruses>

<https://dailyexpose.co.uk/2020/12/10/inventor-of-pcr-test-pcr-is-not-a-reliable-test-for-viruses/>

The Blood and Its Third Element - [Antoine Bechamp](#)

Bechamp or Pasteur?: A Lost Chapter in the History of Biology - Ethel D. Hume

Virus Mania: How the Medical Industry Continually Invents Epidemics, Making Billion-Dollar Profits At Our Expense - Torsten Engelbrecht

The Dream and lie of Louis Pastuer - R.B Pearson

Good-Bye Germ Theory: ending a century of medical fraud - Dr. William P Trebing

The Contagion Myth

Why Viruses (including "Coronavirus") Are Not the Cause of Disease - [Thomas S. Cowan](#), [Sally Fallon Morell](#)

Toxemia Explained - [John H. Tilden](#)

<https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/resources/infographic/chronic-diseases.htm>

<https://hpi.georgetown.edu/rxdrugs/>

<https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html>

<https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hestat/obesity-adult-17-18/obesity-adult.html>

<https://medalerts.org/vaersdb/findfield.php?TABLE=ON&GROUP1=AGE&EVENTS=ON&VAX=COVID19&DIED=Yes>

<https://medalerts.org/vaersdb/findfield.php?TABLE=ON&GROUP1=CAT&GROUP2=AGE&EVENTS=ON&VAX=COVID19>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_largest_pharmaceutical_settlements

<https://www.cnbc.com/2020/12/16/covid-vaccine-side-effects-compensation-lawsuit.html>

<https://www.instagram.com/p/CMqYEU9nt04/>

<https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/johnsonandjohnson-cancer/>

<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-johnson-johnson-talc/johnson-johnson-sets-aside-almost-4-billion-for-talc-verdict-filing-shows-idUSKBN2AN07W>

https://money.cnn.com/2011/04/08/news/companies/Johnson_sec_bribery_charge/index.htm

<https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/johnson-johnson-pay-more-22-billion-resolve-criminal-and-civil-investigations>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Paperclip#:~:text=Operation%20Paperclip%20was%20a%20secret,primarily%20between%201945%20and%201959.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/20/2021 12:23:54 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
tiana lolotai	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha,

Please know allowing this may seem small but it could lead to something bigger than what it is. When I seen this bill. It makes me worried for my freedom. For our people of Hawaii. To give this kind of incentative with a vaccine that has been pushed through and fast tracked with no FDA approval is crazy! This vaccine isn't just a vaccine. It's more than that, it's an MRNA. To pressure people into getting is so heart breaking. Please let us KEEP OUR MEDICAL FREEDOM. My body my choice right? Let us keep that going.

thank you for your time!

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 9:51:25 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
allison	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

To whom this may concern,

I oppose Scr71! I have medical exemptions and cannot get the covid vaccine. So I can't travel freely as someone who can. This is absolutely absurd! This is against the United States Constitution. You took a oath to serve the people! The people need to be free! All people even those with medical exemptions! Travel needs to open up for everyone!

Please think about the people with medical exemptions, religious exemptions

for one day it could be you.

Allison

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 7:08:04 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Ashriel Healohamele Fishman	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha House of the Senate,

I, Ashriel Fishman, respectfully and with supporting evidence, oppose SCR71, and request that you, Hawaii's Senate, also oppose SCR71. While not always the case, from generally viewing the history of resolutions being passed, they can and some have become bills further down the road. If SCR71 was passed, it would have negative consequences for the present but even more negative consequences for the near future. Presently, the passing of SCR71 would render the 10-day quarantine of those who have received the COVID-19 injections redundant due to several reasons supported by science. First, the mRNA COVID-19 injections do not fit the legal or medical definitions of a vaccine; second, the COVID-19 injections have not undergone the same tests in clinical trials that traditional vaccines go through, and thus cannot be medically or scientifically validated as a vaccine; and third, the COVID-19 injections do not protect those who've gotten it from getting SARS-CoV-2, rendering receiving the injections pointless and by extension rendering the quarantine pointless, since it would be protecting no one. Elaborating on the first point of why the 10-day quarantine would be pointless and negatively impact the present, is because the COVID-19 injections do not meet the legal and medical definitions of a vaccine, the medical definition including that a vaccine is supposed to improve one's immune response to infection or limit a person from getting infection, posing an urgent question: what exactly is the classification of this mRNA COVID-19 injection? Expert Dr. Judy Mikovits, who has done 40 years of research in microbiology, specializing in virology, and pioneering immunotherapy for retroviral conditions, says that the COVID-19 injection is a gene therapy. It's mechanism is to go into every cell in the body and have the cells code for the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, which will then hopefully trigger an immune response. The problem is that natural mRNA itself is meant by Mother Nature to rapidly degrade, and the PEGylated lipid nano coating on the synthetic mRNA in these injections prevents it from degradation. In Mother Nature, mRNA is the body's way to regulate genes and is also a danger signal and is meant to degrade rapidly, which is why it's not found freely in the body. The PEG (polyethylene glycol) coating on the synthetic mRNA prevents degradation and expresses an endogenous gammaretrovirus in the human genome called syncytin, meaning the danger signal is not turned off in the body for an indefinite amount of time, and when syncytin is expressed abberently, it induces a cytokine storm and literally results in inflammatory conditions that primarily

affect the brain and spinal chord, and causing severe adverse reactions such as multi organ failure, idiopathic thrombocytopenia, Parkinson-like symptoms, multiple sclerosis, and more. Because syncytin helps cells stick together, it is essential in the creation of the placenta for pregnancy. Thus, those who have been injected with this (men and women alike) are at risk for infertility. Indeed, since pregnant women have gotten the COVID-19 injections, we've seen mid-term and late-term miscarriages. We know that mid-term miscarriage is a rare event, thus the COVID-19 injections have played a role in this, as you can view on the VAERS site. The PEG coating on the synthetic mRNA is an ingredient that many of the population (10-15% or more) is allergic to and can cause anaphalaxis. We have already, on the news, heard of these adverse events resulting in the deaths of the healthy woman from Utah (died of organ failure hours after her second dose of mRNA injection), the Florida OBGYN with no prior medical history (died from idiopathic thrombocytopenia two weeks post injection), and countless more people who have posted videos (which are quickly taken down) of themselves experiencing other symptoms (total body shakes). The envelope of the COVID-19 injection is taken from the HIV virus and is expressed in the spike protein, it is known to cause immune dysregulation and dysregulation of the endocannabinoid system, which is the system that turns down the inflammatory response--thereby leaving people in pain and with no natural bodily defense to help turn down the pain. All of these biological and biochemical mechanisms are part of the third reason, that these mRNA injections do not protect those who get it from contracting SARS-CoV-2, but drive deadly inflammatory conditions that are lethal at worst or render the susceptible populations who take it disabled. Without getting into the biological mechanisms, the mRNA shots by Moderna and Pfizer also cause antibody dependent immune enhancement (ADE), and pathogenic priming, both of which also trigger an autoimmune response.

The reason why we do not hear about these doctors and scientists is because the organizations, stakeholders, and businesses promoting the mRNA COVID-19 injections all have conflicts of interest and are doing what they can (including purging social media accounts, setting up online slander sites against these experts with honest research that opposes their narrative, taking down their YouTube videos, etc.) to silence them so the public does not find out the truth. It is quite obvious that scientists such as Dr. Judy Mikovits (and others) pose a threat to the organizations, stakeholders, and businesses with conflicts of interest, otherwise why go out of the way to purge their social media accounts, put them on house arrest, ruin their career, etc? Dr. Jacob Puliyel had done a great presentation on how vaccine reaction was redefined in order to hide evidence of harm. I will include the link to his presentation, and all of the scientific information I am sharing, at the end of this written testimony. In conclusion: the 10-day quarantine is redundant because the COVID-19 injections do not offer and were not designed to offer protection from infection, so those who have gotten it can still be infected and infect others; and the survival rate of most of the population is after contracting SARS-CoV-2 is about 99.97%; the COVID-19 injection itself is not a vaccine in the legal or medical definition of vaccine. In addition, it is clearly dangerous and presently has caused almost 40,000 adverse events as reported on the VAERS site, not helpful are that the people who are promoting it all have conflicts of interest with each other. Objectively, as a Democracy and supporters of equality and justice it is our due diligence to have

room for debate and ask questions: should we support the narrative of those who have such conflicts of interest in any way, when already this injection has proven to be harmful? Do we change our system to accommodate the delivery of such a new biotechnology with many more negative than positive side effects, and doesn't even provide immunity like a vaccine should? I think the first step is to listen to the experts like Dr. Judy Mikovits, Dr. Mercola, Dr. Jacob Puliyel, and others, who have long experience in science and medicine, and are refusing to be bullied by the media and the organizations and businesses purposely harming an innocent public. Like the other 16 states, Hawaii too needs to "follow the science".

Passing SCR71 would also negatively affect the future because it would promote discrimination based on one's vaccination status, thereby violating our Civil Rights and creating an apartheid, and by extension violating our civil liberties by restricting our God-given freedoms. The science clearly shows that the injections do not provide protection against SARS-CoV-2, thus the government micromanaging the people who have and have not received the injection, hooking up our vaccination status and other health information to a centralized system that can be viewed by anyone, plus the use of apps like CommonPass, violates our civil liberties and rights to privacy. Our civil liberties protects our freedom from arbitrary interference in one's pursuits by individuals or by government. Because the mRNA injections are not vaccines and do not protect us, the government keeping track of everyone whose received them as well as those who haven't received them, and enforcing laws that deny access to our freedoms based on that, encroaches upon these liberties. There is in no way any pandemic exception for our civil liberties to be restricted: for one, the treatment for COVID-19 doesn't work, and two, the 99.7% survival rate does not classify this as a pandemic, thus we should have no restrictions. There is also scientific evidence, which have been long-standing, against the prolonged use of mask-wearing in cases where it doesn't have to be used and the harm that overusage can cause. I will provide resources for that as well. The pandemic has really ushered in authoritarian-like acts by the government and corporations, and in no way does a pandemic mean the reset of our current system to a totalitarian system. In summary, because the COVID-19 injections do not protect us from getting SARS-CoV-2 and because SARS-COV-2 has a 99.7% survival rate, the government enforcing SCR71 and other resolutions and bills related to vaccination/freedoms violates our civil liberties and is unlawful because our Civil Rights that protect us against discrimination are protected by the law.

The inflated cases of the "positive" results this past year were due to the PCR test being used at cycles greater than 35, and when it is cycled over 35 times, it's accuracy decreases by 97%, meaning that the statistics were highly inflated this past year. The cases since 2021 have been dropping because one hour after President Biden was elected, the WHO reduced the PCR test cycle threshold to the normal range. The PCR test cannot tell if the person who may have had COVID-19 has a current infection or a past infection, and because some common illnesses are related to SARS-COV-2, it would generate a false positive result. The creator of the PCR test himself said it should not be used as a diagnostic tool. The gold standard for testing for this is an antibody test, with samples taken from several places of the body, which is something

that hasn't been done. My point with saying this extra information is because I think my Senate and House (i.e. the electees I chose to represent me), as a Democracy, should be open to different valid points of view and have room for that kind of talk and debate with experts and the public. It is the foundation of democracy. The amount of "cancelling" and censorship being done in our federal government right now and with the aid of Big Tech is a defining characteristic of fascism and communism, and so is micromanaging others through quarantine and contact tracing, violating the civil liberties I've already mentioned.

Here are resources for you to peruse and I hope you do so, they are references to the things I've mentioned:

1. Dr. Mercola interviews Dr. Judy Mikovits about how the COVID-19 injections and their biological mechanisms once inside the body, the symptoms we're likely to see, and the populations likely to be the most affected by these injections, watch the video and/or read the article. Read the references at the bottom of the page too:

<https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2021/01/31/covid-19-vaccine-gene-therapy.aspx>

2. I mentioned that there is major censorship going on regarding COVID-19 origins as well as the mRNA injections' mechanisms and safety for it, here Dr. Mercola exposing the major people behind it, read the references at the bottom of the page too:

https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2021/02/16/publicis-groupe.aspx?ui=f1108e89bede503d38ea525ba1a61606abdbe3e84a4dd429bcc8b9086ec00bba&sd=20200809&cid_source=dnl&cid_medium=email&cid_content=art1HL&cid=20210216&mid=DM806330&rid=1085782810

3. This is just a brief article about the 16 states who are now following the science and not letting authoritarian suggestions come into their policies around COVID19:

<https://www.aier.org/article/16-states-are-now-following-the-science/>

4. On explaining why and how COVID-19 mRNA injections are not vaccines:

https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2021/02/09/coronavirus-mrna-vaccine.aspx?ui=7e2d94c1d8b80edc86cc9561f4142d30ad042036a4181a43037c18470651b970&sd=20210111&cid_source=dnl&cid_medium=email&cid_content=art1HL&cid=20210209_HL2&mid=DM799847&rid=1080121455

5. I encourage you to watch all of the videos in this conference (NVICs Fifth International Public Conference on Vaccination), but this is where Dr. Jacob Puliyl says how vaccine reactions are redefined to erase evidence of harm:

<https://www.protectinghealthandautonomyinthe21stcentury.com/conference-live>

6. A list of doctors and scientists signing a document that questions the safety of the mRNA vaccines, and is open to other doctors and scientists to sign:

<https://doctors4covidethics.medium.com/urgent-open-letter-from-doctors-and-scientists-to-the-european-medicines-agency-regarding-covid-19-f6e17c311595>

7. On the science of why masks are harmful, written by a physician. Peruse the references at the end of that article too:

<https://www.technocracy.news/noted-physician-makes-definitive-moral-case-against-mask-mandates/>

8. Here's a video of Dr. Mercola interviewing Sheriff Richard Mack, a Constitutional Sheriff, who educates the public on and protects people's civil liberties and how the Sheriff is supposed to protect the people from the government in the case the government does not have the people's best interests at heart:

<https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2020/10/25/richard-mack-sheriff-civil-liberties.aspx>

Other good sources of honest information are:

Children's Health Defense
Dr. Mercola
National Vaccine Information Center
Dr. Judy Mikovits
Dr. Robert Rowen
The Epoch Times
Catherine Austin Fitts (Solari Report)
Principia Scientific
Physicians for Informed Consent
America's Frontline Doctors

(There are more but there's a few for now)

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 12:58:16 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kerri Yeakey	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Oppose SCR71

This is medical discrimination! Civil rights need to be withheld!!!



Hawai'i Convention Center
1801 Kalākāua Avenue, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96815
kelepona tel 808 973 2255
kelepa'i fax 808 973 2253
kahua pa'a web hawaii-tourism-authority.org

David Y. Ige
Governor

John De Fries
President and Chief Executive Officer

Statement of
JOHN DE FRIES

Hawai'i Tourism Authority
before the
SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS

Tuesday, March 23, 2021
1:10 PM
State Capitol
via videoconference

In consideration of
SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 71

Chair Nishihara, Vice Chair English, and members of the Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental, and Military Affairs: the Hawai'i Tourism Authority (HTA) **offers comments** on SCR71, which urges the governor to allow travelers arriving at points within Hawai'i, including interisland travelers, to bypass the mandatory 10-day quarantine if they provide proof that they have been fully vaccinated.

We agree with the intent for the state to make it more efficient for trans-Pacific and interisland travelers who have been vaccinated against COVID-19. This will benefit both residents and visitors who are arriving at points within the state. It will make it easier for vaccinated residents to travel both out of state and interisland without having to worry about finding a testing site and paying for a COVID-19 test in order to bypass the 10-day quarantine. It will also encourage multi-island visitation, which helps to boost visitor spending and drive Hawai'i's economic recovery.

However, as a state agency, we defer to the governor, mayors and the Hawai'i Department of Health, which rely on guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, to make the decisions regarding protocols for COVID-19 vaccinated travelers.

We appreciate the opportunity to **offer comments** on SCR71.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/18/2021 7:52:42 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Anna Morozov	Testifying for Aloha Festival	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly oppose this division of United States Citizens due to EXPERIMENTAL VACCINE! There is no proof that it works! You can't legally prove that people who received it will not be positive!

This is an OUTRAGEOUS ARTICLE!

ARE YOU SUPPORTING GERMAN NAZI CONCEPT OF DIVIDING HUMANS?



P.O. Box 253, Kunia, Hawai'i 96759
Phone: (808) 848-2074; Fax: (808) 848-1921
e-mail info@hfbf.org; www.hfbf.org

MARCH 23, 2021

HEARING BEFORE THE
SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND
MILITARY AFFAIRS

TESTIMONY ON SCR 71

URGING THE GOVERNOR TO ALLOW TRAVELERS ARRIVING AT POINTS WITHIN HAWAII, INCLUDING INTERISLAND TRAVELERS, TO BYPASS THE MANDATORY TEN-DAY QUARANTINE IF THEY PROVIDE PROOF THAT THEY RECEIVED BOTH DOSES OF A COVID-19 VACCINE

Via Videoconference
1:10 PM

Aloha Chair Nishihara, Vice-Chair English, and Members of the Committee:

I am Brian Miyamoto, Executive Director of the Hawaii Farm Bureau (HFB). Organized since 1948, the HFB is comprised of 1,800 farm family members statewide and serves as Hawaii's voice of agriculture to protect, advocate and advance the social, economic, and educational interests of our diverse agricultural community.

The Hawaii Farm Bureau supports SCR 71, which urges the Governor to allow travelers arriving at points within the State, including interisland travelers, to bypass the mandatory ten-day quarantine if they provide proof that they received both doses of a COVID-19 vaccine; and for the State to make clear to travelers that other COVID-19 related protocols established by the State and counties continue to apply to arriving travelers to Hawaii, including interisland travelers, notwithstanding their vaccinations.

HFB supports efforts to safely allow for the reopening of Hawaii's economy. We believe that SCR 71 is a reasonable way to safely allow visitors and returning Hawaii residents to avoid unnecessary self-quarantine. Allowing travelers that have been completely vaccinated with the COVID-19 vaccine to be exempt from self-quarantine will ease travel confusion and make the process smoother and more efficient for everyone. Easing the travel restrictions for fully vaccinated individuals is an important step in safely opening up our economy.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify on this important subject.



March 19, 2021

Senator Clarence Nishihara, Chair
Senator Kalani English, Vice Chair
Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs
Hawaii State Legislature

Support for SCR71

Dear Senator Nishihara, Senator English and Members of the Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our testimony on SCR71. We **support** providing vaccinated travelers with the opportunity to bypass the state's quarantine process, as we believe it will be an important tool as we continue to safely rebuild our tourism economy.

KCRA is a collection of master-planned resorts and hotels, situated north of the Kona International Airport which represents more than 3,500 hotel and timeshare accommodations and an equal number of resort residential units. This is approximately 35 percent of the visitor accommodations available on the Island of Hawai'i. KCRA member properties annually pay more than \$25 million in TAT, \$25 million in GET and \$11 million in county property taxes. KCRA members employ more than 5,000 Hawaii Island residents.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Stephanie P. Donoho". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Stephanie Donoho, Administrative Director



Chamber of Commerce HAWAII

The Voice of Business

**Testimony to the Senate Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental, and
Military Affairs
Tuesday, March 23, 2021 at 1:10 P.M.
Written Testimony**

**RE: SCR 71, URGING THE GOVERNOR TO ALLOW TRAVELERS ARRIVING AT
POINTS WITHIN HAWAII, INCLUDING INTERISLAND TRAVELERS, TO BYPASS THE
MANDATORY TEN-DAY QUARANTINE IF THEY PROVIDE PROOF THAT THEY
RECEIVED BOTH DOSES OF A COVID-19 VACCINE.**

Chair Nishihara, Vice-Chair English, and Members of the Committee:

The Chamber of Commerce Hawaii ("The Chamber") **supports** SCR 71.

The Chamber is Hawaii's leading statewide business advocacy organization, representing 2,000+ businesses. Approximately 80% of our members are small businesses with less than 20 employees. As the "Voice of Business" in Hawaii, the organization works on behalf of members and the entire business community to improve the state's economic climate and to foster positive action on issues of common concern.

Given Hawaii's reliance on tourism, we must find a way to bring people back to our state and breathe life back to our local businesses. As Hawaii continues to stay on track to administer 900,000 vaccines by May 1 and nationally reaching millions more, we appreciate the resolution to ease travel arrival restrictions.

An exemption from the post-arrival mandatory self-quarantine requirement for travelers who have completed the COVID-19 vaccination would help to streamline the process for interisland and domestic travelers when they arrive in Hawaii whether they are traveling for leisure or for work.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.



Testimony of
Mufi Hannemann
President & CEO
Hawai'i Lodging & Tourism Association

Senate Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental, & Military Affairs
Senate Concurrent Resolution 71: Urging the Governor to allow travelers arriving at points within Hawai'i, including interisland travelers, to bypass the mandatory ten-day quarantine if they provide proof that they received both doses of a COVID-19 vaccine.

Chair Nishihara and members of the Committee, mahalo for the opportunity to submit testimony on behalf of the Hawai'i Lodging & Tourism Association, the state's largest private sector visitor industry organization.

The Hawai'i Lodging & Tourism Association—nearly 700 members strong, representing more than 50,000 hotel rooms and nearly 40,000 lodging workers — strongly supports this measure and its intent. Since the initial announcement of the COVID-19 vaccine, there has been discussion of a “vaccine passport” that would allow travelers to be exempt from mandatory testing and/or quarantine. It is our organization's position that we must make travel to Hawai'i as easy and hassle-free as possible for healthy, vaccinated travelers.

However, we would have favored a vaccine exemption that went through the legislative process as a bill as it would have carried the force of law. This is precisely why we strongly supported measures like HB1286 and suggested language that would have established a vaccine passport. If the science is showing that the key to keeping both travelers and our community safe lies with vaccination, why wouldn't we want this to have the force of law- especially if the Executive Branch is not inclined to issue a statewide mandate in this regard?

Despite this, HLTA supports Senate Concurrent Resolution 71.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer this testimony.



LATE

KINGDOM CONVOY
BRINGING CHRIST TO YOU

3-22-21

To: Chair Clarence Nishihara, Co-Chair Kalani English and members of the PSM Committee

From: Margaret Mejia, *Kingdom Convoy*

Re: SCR71, Urging the Governor to allow travelers to bypass the quarantine if they provide proof of a Covid-19 Vaccine.

First of all, **SCR71 is discrimination** against those who have by choice or for other reasons have not received the Covid-19 Vaccine. For this reason alone, this resolution should not pass. Each individual should have the choice to get a vaccine or not to get a vaccine. It should not be forced upon anyone. **Governor David Ige's window to declare an "Emergency" expired 60 days after his first Emergency Proclamation in March 2021.** Therefore, Governor Ige no longer has a legal right to put any restrictions upon the people of Hawai'i.

Second, the ***Center for Disease Control*** themselves have stated, "Some people who are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 will still get sick because **the vaccines are not 100% effective** against COVID-19 illness."¹ Therefore, since the vaccines are not 100% effective, they should not be used as a "measuring stick." The ***Center for Disease Control*** also said, "**Evidence is limited on how the new COVID-19 variants will affect how COVID-19 vaccines work in real-world conditions.** CDC has systems in place **to monitor** how common these variants are and to look for the emergence of new variants. **CDC will continue to monitor variants** to see if they have any impact on how COVID-19 vaccines work in real-

world conditions.”² Since the **CDC** has limited evidence and are still monitoring these vaccines, they cannot be used as a “measuring stick.”

Also, health experts agree that **healthy people should not be quarantined at all**. Since there is a 99%+ covid recovery rate for people between the ages of 0-69, and a 94.6% covid recovery rate for those 70+, these people should be allowed to travel without restrictions.³

In addition, covid PCR tests are accurate to test for covid. **Kary Mullis, who invented PCR tests said**, “These tests cannot detect free, infectious viruses at all, they can only detect proteins...**the tests can detect particles of viruses but not viruses themselves.**”⁴

Lastly, **covid is not asymptomatic**. There is no scientific evidence that covid can be spread among those that have no symptoms.⁵

In conclusion, travelers have always traveled at their own risk and just because there are sick people in the hospital with covid doesn't mean that all travelers should be restrained from traveling unless they comply with restrictions. To require travelers to take a mandatory PCR covid-19 test, a covid vaccine or other medically invasive tests is not constitutional and also goes against the **Declaration of Independence** that allows each individual the right to “life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.” One individual may be happy to stay at home, while another individual may choose to travel. The point is that each individual should have the liberty to choose what is right for him/her.

1. [COVID-19 Vaccines Work | CDC](#) , Accessed on 3-22-21
2. [COVID-19 Vaccines Work | CDC](#) , Accessed on 3-22-21
3. Cdc.gov quote taken from www.ForOurRights.org.
4. Kary Mullis quote taken from www.ForOurRights.org.
5. World Health Organization quote taken from www.ForOurRights.org.

Sincerely,

Minister Margaret Mejia

Kingdom Convoy

P.O. Box 700945

Kapolei, HI 96709

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 10:46:58 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kathryn Johnson, LCSW, DCSW	Testifying for A healthcare provider	Oppose	No

Comments:

Dear Senators,

My name is Kathryn Johnson and I am a resident and voter in the State of Hawaii, as well as a mental health provider in private practice in the County of Kauai. I am writing to you to express my **STRONG OPPOSITION** to SCR71.

I am extremely concerned about the idea of allowing vaccinated individuals to bypass our safe travels program. All of the vaccines authorized for emergency use clearly state that they are effective at reducing symptoms of disease and hospitalization, however that there is no data re: their ability to reduce infection or transmission. Therefore, what we know about the vaccines is that they may keep the vaccinated individual from becoming ill, but they DO NOT keep the individual from contracting the infection and spreading it to others. This means that vaccinated individuals can become asymptomatic spreaders. Therefore, allowing them to enter Hawaii without quarantine or a negative test is an extremely risky proposition. A traveler who is experiencing symptoms would know that they are ill and should not travel. Furthermore, if they did not make the ethical decision to stay home, their illness would be detected by the PCR test. A vaccinated individual who is infectious but not experiencing symptoms would not have either of these safeguards in place and therefore could freely move about our islands spreading infection. I do not see any rationale in allowing vaccinated individuals to bypass our safety protocols and I think doing so will put the people of Hawaii in harm's way.

As someone who works in the healthcare field, I am also extremely concerned about the state setting up a system that could coerce individuals into undergo a medical procedure without full informed consent, which is essential for any medical procedure. As these vaccines have not received full fda approval and are instead considered "investigative" in nature by their FDA emergency use authorization until the end of their clinical trials (which are not scheduled until late 2022/ early 2023) when they are then eligible to apply for FDA approval, it is unconscionable to set up a system that rewards individuals for participating in a clinical trial. Like any medical intervention, these vaccines will be contraindicated for a subset of the population (i.e. individuals with autoimmune issues, chronic disease, history of anaphylaxis). It is important that patients

weigh their individual risk/benefits and make their medical decisions under the guidance of their physicians, not to bypass testing/quarantine.

As a mental health provider, I am deeply aware of the importance of safeguarding an individual's protected health information, as it is a critical, daily task in my profession. In fact, should a health care provider fail to do this critical task and thus have a HIPAA violation, I imagine that their license to practice would be suspended or revoked. Therefore, I find it deeply disturbing that per SCR71, an individual would be coerced to provide their private health information so that they may freely travel within the United States.

This is essentially legislating a clear violation of HIPAA by not only allowing non-medical professionals to collect and use protected health information, but also by allowing the free sharing of this protected information between any other entities (governmental or private) that would be necessary to facilitate the program. This is highly problematic and a clear invasion of an individual's right to privacy.

Thank you for your time and attention. I appreciate you hearing my testimony in **STRONG OPPOSITION** to SCR71.

Mahalo nui loa,

Kathryn Johnson, LCSW, DCSW

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 10:34:23 PM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Hearing
Kim Haine	Testifying for Children’s Health Defense - Hawai’i Chapter	Oppose	No

Comments:

Dear Chair Ichiyama and honorable Pandemic Committee members,

OPPOSE SCR71

Resolution SCR71/SR53 is ***unscientific and unethical.***

There are REAL known (thousands of deaths and serious adverse reactions have been reported) and unknown risks with the experimental, ***not yet FDA approved***, exempt from liability, mRNA-gene-therapy injections. It is ***blatant discrimination*** to offer favor, or to penalize anyone who chooses not, or for medical reasons cannot, take these injections.

These “vaccines” have yet to be proven to prevent infection or stop transmission. In fact researchers are concerned that mRNA technology ***can create asymptomatic carriers***, along with other more serious risks.

Based on 2 months of clinical trial data, from only 172 of the 40+ thousand trial participants, the vaccine manufacturers can only claim a possible lessening of symptoms - therefore ***they exist for personal protection only.***

This Resolution, although not a bill, is a clear stepping stone to the “immunity passports” in discussion all over Europe. We are Americans. ***Our Constitution protects our right to travel freely.*** Ethical medicine always provides for voluntary and informed consent.

WHY has our government and public health officials not done more to promote the known and existing therapeutics (HCQ) effective against SARS-CoV-2? Why does our DOH not educate about ways to boost the immune system (vitamin D, zinc, vitamin C, melatonin and avoid sugar, cigarettes, and alcohol) rather encourages the healthy to blindly risk their lives with rushed to market, experimental, pharmaceutical injections, in order to “protect” others whom may not have been so diligent about their own personal health choices?

I am baffled at how any American is considering a future for our children and grandchildren paved with such medical tyranny.

References provided upon request.

With aloha,

Kim Haine

President, CHD - Hawai'i Chapter

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/18/2021 8:11:39 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Andi Nora	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Strongly oppose!

This is discrimination!

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/18/2021 8:26:10 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Gerard Silva	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

We are already protected under the 1st Amendment No America has to show any proof of anything this is the law of the land under the Consituion of the United States of America the Republic.

The Govener can be Removed for Vilation of His Oath Of Office!

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/18/2021 9:42:25 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Teresa Ocampo	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I vehemently oppose this resolution. This reso demonstrates a clear example of government supported discrimination against lawfully abiding HI citizens who chose not to have a medical procedure, ie gene therapy under the guise of vaccination, which is still considered as an experimental, non-FDA approved drug, and is clearly identified as such on each EUA of the Moderna, Pfizer and J&J injections.

COVID has a survivability over 99% for all people over 69 years old and 94.6% for those 70 and older, according to the CDC. Clinical trials on these gene therapies have been conducted for approximately 60 or so days compared to months and even years for typical vaccines which means long term effects are unknown.

Clinical trials only looked at EFFICACY. Clinical trials did NOT evaluate SAFETY because they were not long enough. Claiming these gene therapies are safe is unproven and a flat out lie.

This reso will create an adverse environment for those who chose not to be vaccinated and this appears to be purposeful and manipulative. It will incite greater division and discrimination, creating subclasses of people. It appears that no one did any homework before making such irrational and illogical decisions. We expect much more from you than this.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/18/2021 10:11:19 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Elmer Domingo	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This is clearly unethical to anyone to provide evidence of any tracking system weather they got a shot or not.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/18/2021 9:44:04 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
jacey hooley	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill and any incentivized plan that allows vaccinated people to have more privellages. This is discrimination and is immoral.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 12:22:07 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Yulia Muzychenko	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

The COVID vaccine is still in trial and its effectiveness wasn't proven fully yet. People who take it may have a false sense of security. Just recently it was in news that a person got positive test for COVID even after taking both doses of vaccine, which shows that it is a possibility for someone to have both doses and still be asymptomatic carrier.

in addition, it is discriminatory against those who can't take this vaccine for any reason personal, medical and religious. If we are fighting racism and discrimination in this county, we shouldn't start new discrimination based on giving someone privilege because they decided to take a vaccine which is still in trial period and wasn't 100% proven that it's effective or safe. Let's not be hypocrites and while fighting racism and discrimination, open a new discrimination against people who rightfully choose not to get a vaccine about which effects we don't know enough to push it on every one.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 12:30:43 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Amber Tranetzki	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This is complete discrimination being provided to those that are vaccinated and not extended towards those that are not and extending their medical freedoms to not be vaccinated. To provide incentive also places those not in a minority which will most certainly set the stage for more incentives and more being provided to those who take this vaccine. This vaccine, that hasn't been on the market or in trial for longer than 2 years. The same vaccine that is also causing life-long harm, even death. The same vaccine where many are reporting severe side affects. This data can all be obtained via the vaers reports website. To say the ones who are choosing to not disregard that data and exercise their rights ton whether or not they are going to allow that into our bodies, are less than or should be treated less than the rest is a violation of rights, a violation of the constitution, a violation of the oath taken to serve and protect and to uphold the constitutional rights to each tax paying citizen. Those exercising their medical and religious rights to not vaccinate should also be able to bypass quarantine if a test of clearance is needed then that is negotiable. I oppose. I oppose.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 1:53:03 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Dagmar Chrpova Lau	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This bill is discrimination against people who are not vaccinated, can not be vaccinated or for a reason do not want to be vaccinated.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 5:22:44 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Dmitry Boldyrev	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This is absolutely ridiculous because vaccinated person can still be infected and transmit covid-19. Most of these gene therapy "vaccines" only are designed to reduce symptoms of the disease.

Vaccinated arrivals would pose a great threat to the local populations as the asymptomatic carriers of covid. There's another very important factor to note here, that with arrival of **new variants**, even those who are vaccinated would be in danger of getting sick and dying, as recently noted by top vaccine scientist named Geert Vanden Bossche.

As a vaccine advocate, Bossche believes that vaccines do work on an individual level and can create immunity against the intended pathogen. However, in the aggregate, mass vaccinations of large populations during a pandemic results in a phenomenon known as "immune escape," meaning the virus develops variants which are immune to the available vaccines. This *natural selection* adaptation of the virus results in an even more dangerous spectrum of virus strains *escaping* the bodies of hosts and being reintroduced into the wild, now more dangerous than the original pathogen the vaccines were designed to halt.

In his presentation, Bossche warns that covid-vaccines, "cannot control replication of more infectious CoV variants and may even drive immune escape."

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 9:53:12 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Laura Michele	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This "vaccine" has been making people sick and some die just days later. This makes no sense. This is still an experient and not FDA approved for safety. And we truly do not know if it prevents future strains or even current strain of Covid. Too unscientific. Even the CDC and Vaers has studies that conflict and contradict. Please read past the headlines and dissect this information.

We are opening anyway on Kauai April 5. This is a money and time waster. And there is more than one way to treat this. Western is not the only medicine. Alternative has had great success with prophylactics and treatments when used. Please remember our Hawaiian medicine and what the ancestors taught.

Thank you so much for your time and attention. I realize you must be busy trying to keep up with everyone's input. Please I urge you if you truly care about people's health and welfare, be kind and be aware.

Many blessings.

Laura

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 9:59:20 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jenni Batalucco	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

It is unacceptable that a person need to show proof of vaccine to travel. This virus has above a 99% survival rate. The vaccine has not been tested, and we are going to reward people for putting something unknown into their bodies. This is absurd. As of right now, the rules will be that if you have a negative test you will be able to travel into the Hawaiian Islands. This should be all that is required. To punish those who choose not to vaccinate, or cannot vaccinate for health reasons, is unethical.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 10:27:22 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
simplicity love	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose SCR71. It is discrimination to allow "the haves" that got the untested shot the exclusive right and "the have nots" are given restrictions of quarantine. This is putting people in powerlessness to place their ethics, their health, their dignity last to jump through unconstitutional hoops. This law would be the start of a big brother government. There should be medical freedom of choice without penalties. This shot is worse than the virus!

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 2:49:04 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alexandra Marshall	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Dear Senators,

I'm writing to you today because I strongly oppose this resolution. It is very concerning to me that those who are vaccinated would be granted privileges when these vaccines are still under emergency use authorization and have not been proven safe or effective (additionally, if you check VAERS they seem to be dangerous with many suffering from injury and even death). The CDC and WHO do not even know at this point if the vaccines stop transmission, so if passed this would allow people potentially infected with SARS-CoV2 to not feel very sick, still get on a plane here to HI, bypass the quarantine and testing and infect people they are in contact with on island. Recently there were 3 healthcare workers in Hawai'i who were fully vaccinated and still got sick with the virus, but if this became law then these healthcare workers could have freely gone about their business infecting others just because they were "vaccinated." These are just three people the news is reporting on -- how many more are there? Please do not support this resolution. It's not only discriminatory against those who choose not to be a human experiment, but this will stop the spread of SARS-CoV2 in Hawai'i. Mahalo, Alexandra Marshall

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 2:53:31 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Sarah Daigle	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

There is absolutely No proof that these vaccines do anything to prevent the virus from spreading. On the contrary, it is absolutely scientifically possible, probable and documented, that even with the vaccine people can, will be and still are carriers of the virus, and can still catch the virus. Therefore, there is no reason why vaccinated peoples should have any different rights than those that are unvaccinated. I, Sarah Daigle, 100% advocate against this proposal.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 10:58:29 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Katy Clements	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly oppose SCR71. This is discrimination. People are being forced into receiving an experimental biological agent that has not been FDA approved. This is not right. Everyone should be free to choose what is right for their own health and not be discriminated against because of their choice.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/19/2021 11:24:54 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kristen Kimball	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose this bill on the grounds it is this discriminatory against those who medically cannot take this vaccine. Also, there is no clear evidence that being vaccinated against Covid prevents you 100% from getting covid. It lessens the symptoms which makes it more probable that you will not know you are sick and it raises the potential of you passing it on.

Forcing those who have to travel for work or other essential purposes to quarantine because they are not comfortable injecting something into their body is unconstitutional. It adds a lot of extra expense in an already trying financial time. It prevents people from making an income and caring for their families. Everybody should have the right to control what goes in their bodies, especially when pharmaceutical companies cannot be held liable for damages. I strongly oppose this bill.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/20/2021 8:12:02 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alicia Claytor	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Discrimination

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/20/2021 9:15:32 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Geneve Chong	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly oppose SCR71 as it is discriminatory and against our constitutional right to travel freely.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/21/2021 8:36:32 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kimberlee Woodward	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

The people should not be required to get a vaccine according to the Constitution in order to live their lives freely.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/21/2021 5:24:43 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
MARIO GARCIA	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

- IT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL TO ENFORCE SUCH MEASURES.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 12:05:11 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Susan Pcola_Davis	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

I support this bill ONLY as long as there is a two week lapse between the administration of the 2nd vaccine and arrival.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 9:39:57 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
James R Mann	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose this measure as it is inconsistent with CDC guidance for vaccinated individuals.

The current guidance from the CDC is that vaccinated individuals are still able to catch and transmit the SARS-Cov-2 virus. This guidance is the basis for the recommendation that vaccinated individuals continue to wear masks and practice social distancing and limit their contact with others even after being vaccinated. According to the CDC, while the vaccine may confer protection to the vaccinated individual from serious illness, it does NOT prevent infection.

Unless and until vaccinated individuals are demonstrated to NOT be vectors for community spread they should NOT be exempted from either the negative Covid19 test 72hrs before arrival or the 10 day quarantine. They should be treated the same as unvaccinated individuals with regard to their potential to spread SARS-Cov-2.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 10:37:57 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Anne Miller	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha

I strongly oppose this bill and would hope this is voted against. It is a violation of our medical records and HIPPA. It is also discrimination based on age, race, beliefs, and is unconstitutional.

This Vaccine is under emergency orders and has NOT been approved by the FDA, They have no facts that this will not stop the virus from spreading.

Please do NOT pass this bill.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 11:16:13 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jesika H.	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose SCR71.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 11:17:47 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Lissa Cockett	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha House Pandemic Committee:

Please OPPOSE SCR71 as it is discriminatory to those who freely choose not

to take an emergency, experimental non vaccine. Please note that the covid shots DO NOT

provide immunity but only minimize symptoms if one gets covid. Pushing incentives to get these unproven shots is unlawful, unsafe and unwarranted. Also note that recipients who receive the shots can STILL get covid AND spread it, so SCR71 is NOT logical if the goal is to try and stop the spread.

50,000 medical specialists believe the bodies immune system can successfully fight the mutated virus. And that it is healthier to get the virus vs getting the experimental non vaccine, gene therapy. Symptoms today, are mostly common cold symptoms, or in many cases, false positives being reported, with still a VERY HIGH 99.8% recovery rate. It is time to fully reopen our state without any further delays, shutdowns, and discriminating protocol.

Please do not push through legislation that is not rooted in sound medical science and that fully infringes on the rights protected under the U.S. Constitution.

Mahalo nui for your utmost consideration in standing for Hawai'i citizens rights and that of our nation.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 2:31:46 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Ronnie McCamley	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please DO NOT pass this bill. Any restrictions of movement, travel, or hardship amongst the Hawaii citizens to travel, and including visitors to Hawaii, are contrary to OUR CONSTITUTION and our God-given rights as free people. Our Hawaiian economy is heavily dependent on travel and any imposition such as this bill, will be so unconscionable and have such devastating effects in our economy. I BEG YOU ALL to please DO NOT cripple our economy! Thank you.

March 23, 2021

LATE

Rep. Clarence K. Nishihara, Chair
Rep. J. Kalani English, Vice Chair
Senate Committee Public Safety, Intergovernmental, and Military Affairs

Rep. Karl; Rhoads, Chair
Rep. Jarrett Keokokalole, Vice Chair
Senate Committee on Judiciary

RE: SCR71 **RELATING TO TRAVEL.**

Urges Governor to allow travelers arriving at points within Hawaii, including interisland travelers, to bypass the mandatory ten day quarantine if they provide proof that they received both doses of COVID-19 vaccine

DATE: Tuesday, March 23, 2021
TIME: 1:10 PM
PLACE: Via video conference

Aloha,

My name is Teal Sargent. I am a long term resident on the island of Kaua'i, Hawai'i. I am a mother, Full-time employee at Child and Family Services as a Therapist. It is great pleasure that I write today to **strongly oppose SCR71**, which would allow travelers to Hawaii, as well as, inter island travelers to bypass the 10 day quarantine if proof of COVID-19 vaccine record is provided. I oppose this request because I feel it perpetuates medical discrimination. There are laws protecting an individual's right to medical freedoms in the United States. I feel this request is a threat to individual's medical freedom and discriminates against individuals who choose not to vaccinate. This request also discriminates against those who have already contracted and recovered from COVID-19 whom, shown in research to, have the same or stronger immunity to the COVID-19 virus. I believe tourism is a necessary for Hawaii to regain its economic strength but I do not agree with implementing this request at the cost of an individual's freedom.

I strongly encourage the legislature to oppose SCR71.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify.

Sincerely,
Teal Sargent
P.O. Box 366
Kilauea, Hi 96754

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 5:02:29 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Lisa Kerman	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

March 22, 2021

To the Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs,

I am a full time resident of Kauai and strongly oppose SCR71. If this bill passes, it would infringe upon our personal liberties, civil rights, and is in direct violation of both the Hawaiian Constitution and the U.S. Constitution.

These experimental "vaccines" are not FDA approved, have never gone through the lengthy and much needed animal control studies and we do not yet know either their short or long term affects on the human body.

To make an mRNA experimental vaccine which has never had proper testing, that could have great potential for permanently altering a persons DNA...to make this mandatory in order for one to travel or live freely in society, is not only discriminatory and against the constitution, it will no doubt open up the floodgates to numerous lawsuits.

I'm asking you to oppose SCR71.

Thank you,

Lisa Kerman-P.O.Box 1011-Kilauea-HI-96754

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 10:25:09 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Craig Dansie	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

SCR71 is discriminatory. It favors people that put their trust in an untested mRNA gene therapy drug and punishes travelers that take responsibility for their own health.

The death rate in America from all causes in 2019 and 2020 were the same. You're not fooling everyone with your fake pandemic and fake emergency.

Vote no on SCR71. And while you're at it, get rid of the ridiculous 10 day quarantine, where you try to scare people into consenting to fraudulent PCR tests and house arrest.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 10:46:35 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kathy Sitzberger	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

These “vaccines” do not offer immunity or stop transmission. They only state to reduce symptoms. The misinformation about these vaccines is on a global scale and people believe that they are approved by the FDA when in fact they have only been given Emergency User Authorization. There has not been enough study and testing to determine long term impacts and certainly not to make decisions on forgoing quarantine based on a “vaccine” that does not offer immunity or stop transmission. This would be devastating! This bill must be stopped, and I oppose it and warn of the harm that it can do.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 11:06:34 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
marc haine	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Oppose SCR71

It is unscientific and unethical to grant privileges to people who choose to take these experimental products. They are not FDA approved. The clinical trials are not over. Anyone choosing the vaccine now is part of a huge human experiment. The vaccines may ONLY lessen symptoms. For 99% of people these vaccines offer more RISK than benefit. Stop the discrimination.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 12:07:27 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Maly Gella	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Dear Chair Ichiyama and Pandemic Committee members,

As a Registered Nurse of 24 years I am very troubled on many levels by the fact that this is an option seriously being considered for travel. 1. This vaccine per the manufacturers was only tested to reduce symptoms and Was Not & Has Not shown to prevent infection or stop transmission! This makes these individuals Even More Dangerous to the general population as symptoms are what stop people from socializing, going to work, and being out in the community. 2. We have No idea how long these vaccines last. I see no time limit from time of vaccination to when the individual could bypass quarantine. While I am completely Against the “green pass” Israel has tyrannically Imposed on their citizens, I did see that it is only good for 6 months from vaccination. Vaccination began in December, if the experts think the vaccine may last only 3-6 months then by June-July these vaccines could have zero benefit to the individual. If this is the case all healthy individuals need to be allowed to enter without being quarantined & there is no need for said resolution. 3. We are already seeing an uptick in cases as we increase our vaccination numbers. This is also being seen in many other states/countries with high vaccination uptake. We are moving in the wrong direction if we are to believe there is ANY benefit from these EXPERIMENTAL Vaccines. 4. This is a Blatant and Unacceptable Form of Discrimination! I know of several adverse reactions from colleagues and close friends. One of my nurse friends refused to get the 2nd shot because of her reaction. Another friend’s sister got Bell’s palsy, and a local bus driver went into cardiac arrest, thank God he survived. 5. There are know effective therapeutics which I personally obtained for my husband when he got Covid; Ivermectin, HCQ, and Budesonide. I strongly oppose!

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/22/2021 11:12:36 PM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Renee Dieperink	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I vehemently **OPPOSE** SCR71

Don't make apartheid great again!

This doesn't even make sense from a scientific point since these Covid-19 injections do NOT prevent infection or transmission.

Are you not aware that these vaccines haven't even been licensed yet?
They are still in the experimental phase, and we have no idea what the long-term profile looks like.

Why would someone take a vaccine that offers 95% protection at best, when our own bodies (according to the CDCs own numbers) will survive at a 99.9% rate?

You should change your resolution to mandate a mass education program in health.

EVERYTHING IS UPSIDE DOWN



Experts: " Most infections take place indoors "
Measure? Stay inside!



Experts:" You're not contagious if you have no symptoms."
Measure? Quarantine even if you have no symptoms.



Experts: " There are few infections in bars and restaurants"
Measure? Close the bars and restaurants!



Experts: " Most patients in ICU are overweight."
Measure? Only fast food chains are allowed to remain open, all other restaurants have to close.



Experts: " Face masks offer a form of sham safety."
Measure? Mandatory face masks!



Experts: " This PCR test is not a reliable method of detecting viruses. It cannot distinguish between a live or dead virus, or tell you if you are ill."
Measure? Use the PCR tests!



Experts: "We see no difference in contagions in countries without lockdown."
Measure? Lockdown! And Lockdown again!



Experts: " The immune system weakens due to little contact with bacteria."
Measure? Disinfect everything!



Experts: " Good ventilation and humidity reduces the risk of infection."
Measure? Lock all elderly people inside and keep windows and doors closed. There is no need to ventilate.



Experts: " Outdoor air strengthens the immune system."
Measure? Stay inside!



Experts:" Social contacts, touch and fun is essential for good health. You can't transmit the virus by touch."
Measure? Keep your distance, touching is punishable, pleasant gatherings are prohibited, stay away from the elderly!



Experts:" Movement is very important to keep the immune system healthy."
Measure? Close gyms!



Experts:" We have found resources that are effective in combating the C virus! A 'vaxxin' is therefore unnecessary! In fact, Emergency Use Authorization of a 'vaxxin' is not allowed if there are successful medicines!"
Measure? Censorship of any medicines that work. Too little is known about the side effects. You shouldn't use them off-label!



Experts:" Too little is known about the side effects of new 'vaxxins'. The investigation is not finished yet, it is not safe yet!"

Measure? Vaxxinate as many people as possible.



Experts:" A weaker body may not be able to handle the immune reaction to the 'vaxxin', which could cause one to die. The elderly and the sick were not included in clinical trials."

Measure? Vaxxinate the elderly and sick first!



Experts:" Many elderly people have died suspiciously quickly after the vaxxin. This needs to be investigated first before continuing to 'vaxxinate'."

Measure? This is because of the underlying disease, not the 'vaxxin'. We're increasing vaxxination rates.



Experts:" Something is not right here, and our concerns are not heard. What is going on here?"

Measure? Censorship to all who are critical!



The people:" We disagree with this! We want these experts to be listened to! We protest!"

Measure? Ban on demonstrations, extreme police brutality, fines and exclusion.....

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 12:21:33 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Darrell Gella	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly oppose this resolution. While I disagree with the current system of requiring a negative test prior to boarding, at least all individuals have the same opportunity and no one is discriminated against. This resolution is discriminatory as No one should be forced or coerced into taking an experimental or even an approved vaccine to avoid quarantine. Some people may have had an adverse reaction and could not take the 2nd vaccine, others may not be able to take the vaccine due to a medical condition or allergies, and others may have their own religious, philosophical, or personal reasons. This type of resolution is just a stepping stone to a vaccine passport and this is completely unacceptable.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 1:02:14 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Mei Lyn Brown	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

To All Who This Concerns,

We the people demand that the Government that serves its people and has signed an oath to protect our rights deny this bill in support of vaccine passports for any reason. Something as massive as this for a virus as minor as it is to cure from, doesn't warrant nor therefore require such a thing for any good purposes. This is a Government stretch towards a tyrannical Government system. This is a covert tactic to fool the good and honest people to submit willingly thinking they are doing good when ultimately they are signing up for their own demise. We do not consent. We decline. We oppose. We refuse.

Mrs. Mei Lyn Brown

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 3:36:57 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Dr. Gregory Hungerford	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This bill is DISCRIMINATORY and will create second-class citizens!

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 5:50:37 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Nancy Ravelo	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha. Where is the sanity to approve an EXPERIMENTAL vaccine as a way of saving lives Yesterday I was informed by my stepdaughter that she tested positive and had flu like symptoms for COVID 19 She had received a COVID vaccinations on March 10. If you truly care about people, government should be encouraging healthy living, including nourishing food and banning the spraying of toxic chemicals such as Roundup. When will government display that they are elected to serve the people and not big corporations.

Just in case you don't know what the word EXPERIMENTAL means please look it up
Kapu Aloha

Nancy Ravelo

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 7:41:14 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Maria Rizzo	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I STRONGLY OPPOSE SCR71. I am shocking to know that there are people in the state who believe that legal discrimination should be written into Hawaii law. If the governor and the people involved in introducing this bill to be passed, it will mean that those who are not privileged enough to take 10 extra days off work would not be able to travel for visits to family, to see sick family members, for emergencies, for work, and for vacations. Essentially, those who chose not to take the vaccine and are in a low socio-economic category, would have their freedoms restricted. This committee must be reminded that the three vaccines (Pfizer, Moderna, and Johnson&Johnson) that are being used in the U.S. are NOT FDA APPROVED. Neither the CDC or the FDA or the CEOs of any of the vaccine manufacturers can state with certainty that the vaccines will stop a person from catching or transmitting Covid. To date, there are thousands of adverse reactions and deaths from the vaccines according to VAERS and all of the manufacturers are legally exempt from any liability. In addition, the recovery rate for most ages is very high-near 99%. Those who chose to take the vaccine are welcome to it and indeed it may make them feel safer and may even prevent them from dying or getting serious complications. It may also cause autoimmune diseases and cancer in a few years, according to recent studies. Those people who do a risk analysis for themselves and decline this new medical procedure, which is a patient's RIGHT according to the Affordable Health Care Act, absolutely should not have their right to movement and travel restricted. This is an inhumane proposal. According to the CDC, there are people who should NOT take the vaccine, such as those with anaphylaxis reactions to previous vaccines, allergies to polysorbate, religious beliefs, etc. We must remember that an unvaccinated person is not a danger to the general public and if a person is nervous about being around someone who may not be vaccinated, then they should take their own precautions. If a person is ill or health-compromised then that person should assess for themselves how much risk they would like to take. If a law is written into the books that segregates unvaccinated people and rewards those who chose the experimental vaccine, with freedom, that is a serious infringement upon personal liberty and civil rights and it is a direct violation

of our Hawaii Constitution and the U.S Constitution. I STRONGLY
OPPOSE SCR71.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 8:07:42 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Ali Ameden	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Forcing any medical procedure on anyone is a slippery slope. We are sliding into facsim and medical apartheid. This is a big money making scheme. All these unnecessary tests and vaccines for a seasonal flu with a recovery rate over 99%. In Hawaii 2018 still has more flu deaths than all of "covid" in Hawaii. How did the flu just disappear? We are sick of this lie it must be stopped immediately. All you perpatrators of this dystopian hell, who is paying you off? Is this the life you want your children to live? Biotechnocracy hell? Facial recognition and social credit system? I beg you for all of humanity to end all these "emergency" orders.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 8:56:24 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Julianne King	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

March 23, 2021

House Pandemic Committee

OPPOSE SCR71

Aloha

I strongly oppose SCR71

I am writing because I am concerned about certain populations who have a higher risk for adverse vaccine reactions receiving some of these vaccines.

My son Beau was injured by vaccines according to Dr. Richard Kelley of Kennedy Krieger Institute at John's Hopkins. When Beau was 3 years old we took our son to John's Hopkins where Beau was seen by a metabolic geneticist. He was put through a series of tests for 3 days and Beau was diagnosed with an underlying mitochondrial disorder. According to the metabolic geneticist when Beau was receiving his vaccines, because of his underlying mitochondrial disorder he was unable to manage the stress of the vaccine schedule especially the MMR. He was diagnosed with mitochondrial PDDnos. And a month later diagnosed with autism by a psychiatrist.

He is now 21, 6'3 and has still has autism. He can't be by himself based on his skill level. He has a one on one provided by the state for 10 hours a day Monday through Friday. He will need full time care for the rest of his life.

When we found out about the vaccine injury back in 2003, we were directed by professionals to add our names to the claim of over 5000 families who joined together to receive compensation for their children's vaccine injuries.

The National Vaccine Compensation Program which was established in the late 1980s is the only place families who have been injured can register for compensation since pharmaceutical companies are exempt from liability for vaccine injury. \$.75 cents of each vaccine goes into this compensation program. Because my son was diagnosed with autism he did not qualify for compensation. So now we his parents as well as Hawaii state DOE and the Hawaii state DOH and federal government MEDICAID will be responsible for Beau's care for the rest of his life. As well as the one in 50 kids diagnosed with autism today.

I did a quick search of growth in the pharmaceutical industry in vaccine research. The global Vaccine Market was valued at over USD 32.5 billion in 2015 and is expected to grow at a CAGR of 10.3% over the forecast period. The rising demand for better healthcare infrastructure and high awareness levels of the benefits of immunization are the major factors boosting the market growth.

If you incentivize taking these experimental vaccines, how many of the 1 in 50 kids diagnosed with autism are similarly impacted by an underlying mitochondrial disorder and will either be unable to travel because they can't manage a quarantine or take the vaccines and have an adverse reaction and worsen in behavior and need even more support.

Aloha

Julianne King

320 Poopoo Place

Kailua, HI 96734

808-265-5519

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 8:56:46 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Shaina Wright	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose this bill because it is discrimination! There are medical reasons (allergic to ingredients) and other reason people can not get the vaccine or do not want the vaccine. The vaccine does not stop the person from getting covid or from spreading it so why would they be able to skip quarantine?? They could still be carrying it and spreading it. You would be dicriminating against those who can't get the vaccine or do not want to get it.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:00:46 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
C. Vierra	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This is just the start of further discrimination and divide among us all. It is a terrible slippery slope. I oppose SCR71 and urge you to do so as well.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:04:52 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alexa Lasco	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose SCR71.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:13:14 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Melissa Ching	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please DO NOT make this mandatory for travel with a vaccine. Negative tests are just as good because there's NO PROOF that the vaccine works. Yet, there are so many horrible side effects of the vaccine.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:29:01 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testimony Position	Present at Hearing
Jenny Caban	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I'm submitting my written testimony in opposition of SCR71 as **the current vaccines do not protect against new covid strains**. Therefore, to bypass quarantine simply on the basis that one was vaccinated is shortsighted and will lead to an explosion of new cases.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:13:52 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jennifer McTigue	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN:

1. The COVID 19 Vaccines are not FDA Approved. This is an experiment on Human Beings and YOU will be personally liable for any and all injuries and or deaths by forcing this vaccine on me a living woman whose allegiance is to GOD ALMIGHTY and his son Jesus. This is your NOTICE.
2. All COVID 19 vaccine manufacturers are exempt from Liability. You will not be exempt in your personal capacities. May God rain his fury upon you if you cause injury, harm, or death to me or my family. This is your NOTICE.
3. The COVID 19 vaccine DOES NOT prevent infection or transmission.
4. Please review the testimony and thousands of doctors on the Barrington Declaration with regard to their concerns. You are hereby being NOTICED.
5. It is discrimination and my rights which are endowed by GOD my creator will be infringed. I do not work for the STATE OF HAWAII CORPORATION, AND THE GOVERNOR IS NOT MY FATHER AND YOU ARE NOT IN CONTROL OF THE MINOR ACCOUNTS AND THEREFORE I HAVE REACHED THE AGE OF MAJORITY AND WILL MAKE MY OWN DECISIONS AS YOU ARE NOT MY MASTER. MY ALLEGIANCE IS TO GOD AND MAY HIS FURY RAGE AGAINST YOU IF YOU SO INFRINGE UPON MY DIRECT RELATIONSHIP AND ALLEGIANCE TO GOD AND CHOOSE TO CAUSE INJURY TO THE CHILDREN AND PEOPLE OF HAWAII.

IF YOU PASS THIS BILL YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT I REFUSE TO BE INJECTED BY ANYTHING UNLESS YOU PERSONALLY TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INJURIES OR DEATH THAT MAY BE CAUSED BY YOUR IRRESPONSIBLE AND UNEDUCATED MIND CONTROLLED DECISION MAKING PROCESS.

jennifer ann mctigue of the house of lowe

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:35:35 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alyssa Aylor	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This bill is discriminatory and is a stepping stone to mandatory "vaccine passports". The vaccine does not prevent infection or transmission - so please make this make sense. You will be allowing tourists to bypass the quarantine, yet they can still give the disease to locals/other tourists? This is just the beginning of allowing our medical freedom/privacy be infringed upon.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:37:08 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jacqueline Bosman	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha-

Why, when it comes to a personal medical choice, will some be favored over others (that choose not to get the vaccine)? This seems like discrimination to me.

I strongly ask that you oppose this Right interfering measure!

Best,

Jacqueline

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:46:01 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Amber David	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose SCR71.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:49:52 AM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Rasa Fournier	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

This measure would discriminate against a substantial segment of law abiding citizens and sets an incredibly detrimental precedent that ignores the privacy of health records and individual health choices.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:50:03 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Leia M Latronic	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose this bill because this is literally medical discrimination. A vaccinated person can still spread a virus just as much as a non vaccinated person. Why do they get special treatment? This vaccine also does not prevent infection or transmission of covid 19, it only hides symptoms. So to letting them free from quarantine while others are not, is completely pointless. A vaccinated person does not have more rights than a non vaccinated person, I strongly oppose!

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 9:58:56 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Maiko Leslie	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

To whom may concern.

My name is Maiko Leslie and I reside on the island of Oahu in Hawaii. The purpose of my letter to you is to extend my full support of the proposed Hawaii State (SCR71) bill which prohibits certain discriminatory practices based on an individual's invasive medical test status or vaccination status.

I am a mother of three (3) daughters, all of whom were born in Hawaii and I greatly care for their ability to grow up as healthy contributors to our society. When it comes to protecting our children, no one wants to do more than a parent, so maintaining my right to choose what's best for my keiki should be upheld no different than maintaining our right to freedom of speech.

Personally, I believe, that many vaccines provide more harm long-term than they do at preventing the disease it is designed to prevent. The following are documented facts:

National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 preempts all design-defect claims against vaccine manufacturers (ie – "Big Pharma" companies do not have any liability with vaccines)

"Big Pharma" companies remain the largest lobbyist group in Washington DC directly impacting CDC regulation of vaccines (which calls into question the regulation of vaccines)

Vaccine testing/approvals have more lenient guidelines by the CDC than drugs issued through the FDA (which calls into question the true "safety" of vaccines)

Vaccines have included toxic additives such as Thimerosal which I believe (as well as many others) created autism

Safe vaccine development typically takes several years (often 6 -10 years). The COVID-19 vaccine was developed/approved and issued for use in less than a year. (which calls into question the true safety of this vaccine)

These may or may not move you...but they move me. I want my daughters to grow up healthy. I want them to be able to have keiki of their own. We should have the right to be able to decide what's best for our keiki without it affecting their ability to go to school where they want or work where they want. Therefore, I urge you, to maintain our rights and support Bill (SCR71) when it comes before the Legislature.

Thank you in advance for your support.

Sincerely,

Maiko Leslie

1221 Victoria St, #2801

Honolulu, HI 96814

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 10:02:56 AM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
summer dillberg	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

A vaccinated individual can still contract & spread covid so it's ridiculous to say they can come in freely just because they've had the vaccine. This is a preliminary step to discriminating individuals not vaccinated & a preliminary step to mandating a vaccine that is completely asinine for the reason I stated above. The covid vaccine does not grant immunity to the recipient! It is not the answer so don't discriminate because of it nor push for mandating it. Thank you

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 10:22:15 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
lorene diana	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Many people have already been injured by these new mRNA vaccines which bypassed all animal testing and is now being tested on humans with negative results. No long term safety studies were done. The virus has a 99.7% recovery rate. I should not have my freedoms restricted do to not receiving the vaccine. If you are worried you will get covid you feel free to get the vaccine. My medical decisions should not affect you. I will take my chances with the virus.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 10:02:29 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Sarah Ezcurra	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I am opposed to this bill. It is not acceptable to give special privileges based on private healthcare choices. Not only should private health information not need to be shared, it puts those who are unable to receive the vaccine for legitimate medical reasons at a disadvantage.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 10:28:40 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jackie Jenkins	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly oppose this resolution on the basis that I think it is discriminatory. People who for various reasons cannot get the vaccine will not be able to bypass quarantine. Plus as of now the vaccine doesn't even have FDA approval. How can you force people to get the vaccine under those circumstances?

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 10:29:38 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Dawn Poiani	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Oppose SCR71.

As a resident of Hawaii I Oppose SCR71.

The vaccines have not been proven to prevent spread of Covid and there are new strains circulating. Even though this is not a bill this is a slide into a bill and it is very dangerous. Everyone needs to be screened for Covid before entering the islands. They need to test or quarantine and this should not be relaxed at this stage for vaccinated. These current vaccines are not designed to prevent spread of Covid but to minimize symptoms. Until further data is evident or challenge trials are complete this is a premature effort to reward those that have gotten the vaccine. This also promotes discrimination. Not everyone can get the vaccine and therefore you are giving societal preference to a select group of our population

Thank you for your considerations

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 11:00:53 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
marc thyssen	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha, I would like to state my opposition to SCR71. As 2x Cancer survivor I will not jeopardize my future health in any way with any experimental vaccine. If you pass this then it will discriminate against me and others who choose freedom from taking the vaccine whenever we want to Travel. I love living in Hawaii with Aloha and any Facist style policies such as this have no place here in Paradise. Warmest Aloha, Marc Thyssen

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 11:04:53 AM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Marcelle Liana	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I Strongly OPPOSE SCR71

Mahalo

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 10:44:29 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Geoffrey Sato	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha All,

I am writing to oppose this bill as it discriminates against people who do not want to or cannot take an experimental liability free pharmaceutical product, that has not been approved by the FDA nor tested for safety (we are in phase 3 of mass clinical trials). No one should get privileges or freedoms based on this experimental pharmaceutical product, while others who choose not to have their freedoms restricted or removed entirely

It is also one step closer to mandatory vaccinations, a clear violation of the nuremberg code, as well furthering our devolvement into a fascist state. All for a virus with a 99.9% survival rate. This is lunacy and I stronly oppose this bill.

Thank you,

Geoffrey Sato

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 11:15:34 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Joseph Franson	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please vote no on SCR71.

Mahalo,

Joseph Franson

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 11:27:06 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
michelle andrews	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose because I feel this promotes discrimination.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 11:39:11 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Don King	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose SCR71

The measure would descriminate against people who are choose not to be vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccines.

The current vaccines are authorised under emergency use. They have not been formally approved by the FDA. Most of the vaccines are of a completely new type, newwer used before in humans. They are experimental by definition. There have been deaths and severe reactions to the vaccines.

The vaccines do not prevent infection or spread of covid-19. That makes this measure illogical.

Please do not pass this measure.

Don King

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 12:20:28 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kim Cordery	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha,

I am OPPOSED to SCR71!

This is clearly discriminatory towards those who do not want to subject themselves to a mysterious so called. "vaccine".

This so called "vaccine" has neither been proven to prevent infection

or transmit infection! In the Epoch Times today, 3 "FULLY VACCINATED" HAWAII RESIDENTS test POSITIVE for COVID 19!

Incentivizing people to take or penalizing people for not taking experimental pharmaceutical is "OUTRAGEOUSLY DISCRIMINATORY".

There are Therapeutics that are effective such as Ivermectin, HCQ, zinc and vitamin D.

The Nonsensical solution of encouraging people to take this action of injection with an experimental vaccine will lead to possible hazards for people's health. As already documented that 1800 deaths

and 40,000 adverse reactions have occurred!

This must STOP!... We still need FREEDOM OF SPEECH and CHOICE!

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 12:36:41 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
jennifer noel	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill because it is discriminating against those that choose not to take a vaccine that has not been approved by the FDA and has not even had animal testing. Most vaccines take two to ten years to develop but this vaccine was ready for distribution in about six months.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 1:02:04 PM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jessica Penner	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please say no to SCR71.

The covid vaccines do not prevent transmission (as recent proof shows - 3 recently vaccinated Hawaii residents tested positive after their vaccines).

Allowing people to bypass safety measures based on vaccination status will not prevent them from catching the virus or transmitting the virus to other people.

Please do not start down the path of creating "special" rights for individuals based on medical history or status. This is discriminatory and is a very slippery slope.

Thank you,

Jessica Penner

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 1:01:43 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alan Koons	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I absolutely oppose SCR71. This bill is discriminatory and it is a stepping stone to mandate vaccines, which is also criminal. Giving preference to vaccinated individuals for a virus with an incredibly high survival rate and one that has peaked and plummeted already without mass vaccination is ridiculous. It is also a violation of our right to travel (freedom of movement) liberty upheld by the US Constitution.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 1:24:42 PM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
heather culp	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Aloha ~

I strongly oppose SCR71.

SCR71 promotes discrimination.

If this bill passed it would mean that those who are not privileged enough to take 10 extra days off work would not be able to travel for visits to family, to see sick family members, for work, for emergencies, and for vacations. It could also mean that a person might lose their job entirely if that job required travel but not time to take days off for quarantine.

Essentially, those who chose not to take the vaccine and are in a low socio-economic category, would have their freedoms restricted.

This committee must be reminded that the three vaccines (Moderna, Pfizer, and Johnson&Johnson) that are being used in the U.S. are NOT FDA APPROVED. Neither the CDC or the FDA or the CEOs of any of the vaccine manufacturers can state with certainty that the vaccines will stop a person from catching or transmitting Covid. Also, to date, there are thousands of adverse reactions and deaths from the vaccines according to VAERS and all of the manufacturers are legally exempt from any liability.

Additionally, the recovery rate for most ages is very high-near 99%. Those who chose to take the vaccine are welcome to it and indeed it may make them feel safer and may even prevent them from dying or getting serious complications. However, it may also cause autoimmune diseases and cancer in a few years, according to recent studies. In face, the makers list many side effects, including potential death. Those people who do a risk analysis for themselves and decline this new medical procedure (which is a patient's RIGHT according to the Affordable Health Care Act) absolutely should not have their right to movement and travel restricted. This is an inhumane proposal.

According to the CDC, there are people who should NOT take the vaccine, such as those with anaphylaxis reactions to previous vaccines, allergies to polysorbate, etc... We must remember that an unvaccinated person is not a danger to the general public and if a person is nervous about being around someone who may not be

vaccinated, then they should take their own precautions. If a person is ill or health-compromised then that person should assess for themselves how much risk they would like to take.

If a law is written into the books that segregates unvaccinated people and rewards those who chose the experimental vaccine, with freedom, **that is a very serious infringement upon personal liberty and civil rights and it is a direct violation of our Hawaii Constitution, the U.S Constitution and informed consent under the principles of the Nuremberg code.**

I STRONGLY OPPOSE SCR71.

Sincerely,

Heather Culp

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 1:25:12 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Chelsy Kukahiko	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I OPPOSE this bill/measure to be re-considered in the state of Hawaii. We should not be limited to taking this FDA UNAPPROVED vaccine in order to travel let alone live a normal life. Our kids and their generation is at stake when these kind of bills/measures are taken into consideration. You are limiting our lives by supporting something like this. It is medical tyranny and it is not up to the government to make any forced calls on my health or my children's health.

Mahalo

Chelsy Kukahiko

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 2:46:25 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jessica Gellert	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

It is discriminatory to divide the people into two classes and give one class certain rights. This bill is based on vaccines that aren't even proven to stop the spread of COVID.

I urge lawmakers to oppose this discriminatory and unconstitutional bill.

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 2:51:22 PM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Rita Kama-Kimura	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I join with many others in opposing this SCR71. It appears it's one step closer to discriminating against those that choose not to have the vaccine. To control where we go, what we do, etc.

Currently there is so much confusion and contradiction within the government, to include Dr. Fauci and the CDC. It is being handled so one sided, why are those doctors, scientist, researchers with oppose views and facts censored?

Think about it, there was a vaccine for the flu and yet look at the hundreds of deaths. Where was the panic? Be bold, if someone test negative then accept it, we need to stop operating from a point of fear! This fear is destroying Hawaii!

So I ask you to vote in opposition to moving this bill any further. Stop it now ... Mahalo

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 3:13:11 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Madhava Shakti Moe	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I strongly opposed to this Bill. This Bill will bring up discrimination for personal health decisions and potential liability. Liability for discrimination and liability for vaccine injury if someone was to get the vaccine because the bill would allow them to travel freely. If someone was to get hurt by the vaccine, they could blame it on the coercion of your rules of freely traveling. Furthermore, the vaccine does not prevent one getting the virus, it only lessens the symptoms (if the person was to get the virus). This has been stated by the Covid Vaccine makers and even Fauci. People that has gotten the vaccine may still have the virus and spread it. NO to the BILL!

LATE

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 4:25:03 PM
Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Cheryl R Beralas	Testifying for Kaua'i Republican Party	Oppose	No

Comments:

There is no settled science in support of covid policies -- free countries and free states provide tangible evidence, in short analysis, as well as the government's inconsistent application of its arbitrary rules to this fact.

We will do our best to educate and raise the voices of the people to speak to their representatives.

However, in the meantime, your duty to uphold the constitutional rights of the people, to freely engage in life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness (business, livelihoods) is your oath of office.

SCR71 indicates that we need government permission to be released from unlawful quarantine of the healthy, with unneeded medical intervention of an experimental mRNA inoculation.

I STRONGLY OPPOSE to SCR71 on a constitutional basis that it would be unequal treatment of a free people, and impose experimental medical intervention to assume rights of free movement and patronage of society, which is already INALIENABLE rights:

Inalienable -

not transferable to another or not capable of being taken away or denied;
not [alienable](#):

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance to defend the people's inalienable right to breathe, travel, conduct commerce, visit family, assemble for any lawful reason.

SCR-71

Submitted on: 3/23/2021 5:18:15 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/23/2021 1:10:00 PM

LATE

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Taur Kiggins/Ilse Menger	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

We strongly oppose Resolution SCR71, forcing to have the people of Hawaii to take an **experimental** vaccine, which has not even been approved by the FDA, in order to travel is a violation of our constitutional rights. Even if, after years of clinical studies, the FDA approves this vaccine, we the people, are sovereign individuals and are free to decide what we put in our bodies, this resolution will lead to medical discrimination.

Sincerely,

Ilse Menger and Taur Kiggins