## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO DOG BITES.

## BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

- 1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that dog bites are among
- 2 the top reasons for emergency room visits, ranking higher than
- 3 injuries occurring on motorcycles, to pedestrians, and from
- 4 gunshots. According to a 2018 study, an average of over 4.6
- 5 million people in the United States each year are admitted into
- 6 the emergency department as a result of a dog bite. Many dog
- 7 bite victims are children.
- 8 The legislature further finds that the current State law
- 9 only allows a dog bite victim standing in district court if it
- 10 can be proven to the court that the dog has bitten and injured
- 11 on a prior separate occasion. However, the legislature notes
- 12 there is no systematic tracking system for dog bites in Hawai'i
- 13 and many of the incidences go unreported.
- 14 Therefore, the purpose of this Act is to allow a person who
- 15 has been bitten by a dog to bring legal action against the dog's
- 16 owner without having to prove that the dog has bitten on two
- 17 separate occasions.

1 SECTION 2. Section 142-75, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 2 amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows: 3 "(b) Whenever a dog has bitten a human being [<del>on at least</del> 4 two separate occasions] under circumstances for which none of 5 the exceptions specified in section 663-9.1 apply, any person 6 may bring an action against the owner of the dog in the district 7 court of the judicial circuit in which the owner resides, to 8 determine whether conditions of the treatment or confinement of 9 the dog or other circumstances existing at the time of the bite 10 or bites have been changed so as to remove the danger to other 11 persons presented by [such] the animal. The court, after 12 hearing, may make any order it deems appropriate to prevent the 13 recurrence of such an incident, including but not limited to the 14 removal of the animal from the area or its destruction by its 15 In making its decision, the court may consider: 16 (1) The vicious or dangerous propensities of the animal; 17 The ability of the owner to adequately confine or (2) 18 remove the animal; and 19 (3) The necessity of any destruction of an animal in light 20 of the health, safety, and welfare of the community.

- 1 This section shall not preclude any existing common law
- 2 remedies."
- 3 SECTION 3. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
- 4 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
- 5 SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

## Report Title:

Dog Bites; Duty of Dog Owners; Action Against Owner

## Description:

Removes the requirement to prove that the dog has bitten on two separate occasions for dog bite victims to bring legal action against a dog's owner. (SD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.