H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup><sub>H.D. 1</sub>

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1

#### PART I

2 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that House Resolution 3 No. 142, adopted during the regular session of 2016, requested 4 the state procurement office to review Hawaii's procurement 5 process in comparison with the federal government's procurement 6 process. The purpose of the review was to examine ways that the 7 State could improve its procurement process by better understanding the efficient and effective ways in which the 8 9 federal government conducts procurement.

10 The state procurement office contracted with an independent third party to conduct the requested review, which was submitted 11 12 to the legislature in January 2020. In conducting the review, the independent contractor completed a detailed document review, 13 14 interviewed a diverse group of stakeholders, and conducted a 15 thorough analysis of the data collected. The report identified 16 sixteen recommendations to closer align the state procurement 17 process to the federal procurement process.

### 2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMS0

# H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	Acco	rdingly, the purpose of this Act is to:
2	(1)	Enact statutory changes to implement certain
3		recommendations made by the state procurement office's
4		review of Hawaii procurement laws conducted pursuant
5		to House Resolution No. 142, Regular Session of 2016;
6		and
7	(2)	Require the state procurement office to submit a
8		report to the legislature regarding the progress it
9		has made toward addressing certain recommendations
10		made by the review.
11		PART II
11 12	SECT	PART II ION 2. The legislature finds that the federal
12	governmen	ION 2. The legislature finds that the federal
12 13	governmen and unifo	ION 2. The legislature finds that the federal t routinely captures vendor performance in a structured
12 13 14	governmen and unifo when futu	ION 2. The legislature finds that the federal t routinely captures vendor performance in a structured rm way. This information can be accessed and utilized
12 13 14 15	governmen and unifo when futu responsib	ION 2. The legislature finds that the federal t routinely captures vendor performance in a structured rm way. This information can be accessed and utilized re procurements need to assess a vendor's
12 13 14 15 16	governmen and unifo when futu responsib The	ION 2. The legislature finds that the federal t routinely captures vendor performance in a structured rm way. This information can be accessed and utilized re procurements need to assess a vendor's ility. The State does not have a comparable system.
12 13 14 15 16 17	governmen and unifo when futu responsib The II-2 of t	ION 2. The legislature finds that the federal t routinely captures vendor performance in a structured rm way. This information can be accessed and utilized re procurements need to assess a vendor's ility. The State does not have a comparable system. purpose of this part is to implement recommendation

## 2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMSO

# H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	SECTION 3. Chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
2	amended by adding a new section to part III to be appropriately
3	designated and to read as follows:
4	" <u>§103D-</u> Past performance database. (a) The state
5	procurement office shall implement and administer a past
6	performance database with regard to state contractors.
7	(b) The state procurement office shall adopt rules
8	pursuant to chapter 91 to establish:
9	(1) Information required to be included in the past
10	performance database; provided that the information
11	shall include:
12	(A) The name of the state contractor;
13	(B) The date of the project;
14	(C) The size of the project;
15	(D) A brief description of the project;
16	(E) The responsible managing employees for the
17	<pre>project;</pre>
18	(F) Whether the project was timely completed or not;
19	(G) The project's authorized budget; and

2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMS0

# H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	(H) The positive or negative difference between the
2	final cost of the project and the project's
3	authorized budget, if any;
4	(2) Procedures to inform a contractor of the information
5	contained in the past performance database about that
6	contractor; and
7	(3) Procedures for a contractor to contest the information
8	contained in the past performance database about that
9	contractor."
10	SECTION 4. Section 103D-104, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
11	amended as follows:
12	1. By adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted
13	and to read:
14	""Past performance" means available recent and relevant
15	performance of a contractor on state contracts that shall be
16	considered in a responsibility determination within the
17	relevance of the current solicitation, including the
18	considerations of section 103D-702(b)."
19	2. By amending the definition of "responsible bidder or
20	offeror" to read:

# 2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMS0

### H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	""Responsible bidder or offeror" means a person who has the
2	capability in all respects to perform fully the contract
3	requirements, and the integrity and reliability [which] that
4	will assure good faith performance $[-,]$ , pursuant to the
5	responsibility determination standards adopted by the policy
6	board."
7	SECTION 5. Section 103D-302, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
8	amended by amending subsection (f) to read as follows:
9	"(f) Bids shall be evaluated based on the requirements set
10	forth in the invitation for bids. These requirements may
11	include criteria to determine acceptability such as inspection,
12	testing, quality, workmanship, delivery, and suitability for a
13	particular purpose. Those criteria that will affect the bid
14	price and be considered in evaluation for award shall be <u>as</u>
15	objectively measurable $[\tau]$ as possible, such as discounts,
16	transportation costs, $[and]$ total or life cycle costs $[-,]$ , and
17	the bidder's past performance on state contracts of similar
18	scope, including but not limited to notices of deficiencies and
19	failure to complete a procurement contract. The invitation for
20	bids shall set forth the evaluation criteria to be used. No

# 2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMSO

#### H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1 criteria may be used in bid evaluation that are not set forth in 2 the invitation for bids." 3 SECTION 6. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 4 amended by amending subsection (g) to read as follows: 5 "(g) Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose 6 proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous, 7 taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set 8 forth in the request for proposals[-], which shall include the 9 offeror's past performance on state contracts of similar scope, 10 including but not limited to notices of deficiencies and failure 11 to complete a procurement contract. No [other factors or] 12 criteria [shall] may be used in the evaluation [-] that are not 13 set forth in the request for proposals. The contract file shall contain the basis on which the award is made." 14 15 SECTION 7. Section 103D-306, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows: 16 17 "(a) A contract may be awarded for goods, services, or 18 construction without competition when the head of a purchasing 19 agency determines in writing that there is only one source for 20 the required good, service, or construction, the determination 21 is reviewed and approved by the chief procurement officer, the

2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMS0

### H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	written determination is posted in the manner described in rules
2	adopted by the policy board, a review of past performance has
3	been conducted, and no objection is outstanding. The written
4	determination, any objection, past performance evaluations
5	relied upon, and a written summary of the disposition of any
6	objection shall be included in the contract file."
7	SECTION 8. Section 103D-310, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
8	amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
9	"(b) Whether or not an intention to bid is required, the
10	procurement officer shall determine whether the prospective
11	offeror has the financial ability, resources, skills,
12	capability, and business integrity necessary to perform the
13	work. For $[\frac{\text{this}}{\text{the}}]$ the purpose $[\frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{100}]$ of making a responsibility
14	determination, the procurement officer shall possess or obtain
15	available information sufficient to be satisfied that a
16	prospective offeror meets the applicable standards. The
17	procurement officer shall consider past performance of the
18	offeror as it applies to a responsibility determination for the
19	current solicitation. The officer, in the officer's discretion,
20	may require any prospective offeror to submit answers, under
21	oath, to questions contained in a standard form of questionnaire

# 2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMS0

Page 7

### H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

to be prepared by the policy board. Whenever it appears from 1 answers to the questionnaire or otherwise, that the prospective 2 offeror is not fully qualified and able to perform the intended 3 work, a written determination of nonresponsibility of an offeror 4 5 shall be made by the head of the purchasing agency, in accordance with rules adopted by the policy board. The 6 unreasonable failure of an offeror to promptly supply 7 information in connection with an inquiry with respect to 8 9 responsibility may be grounds for a determination of nonresponsibility with respect to such offeror. The decision of 10 the head of the purchasing agency shall be final unless the 11 offeror applies for administrative review pursuant to section 12 13 103D-709." 14 PART III SECTION 9. The legislature finds that the State allows for 15 price negotiations only when all bids exceed available funds and 16 a re-solicitation with revised scope is not possible. 17 In contrast, the federal government has a broader scope for price 18

19 negotiations. Specifically, the federal process allows

20 negotiations to occur for construction bids when the winning bid

### 2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMS0

### H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

is higher than the price the government believes it should be 1 based on its internal estimate. 2 3 The purpose of this part is to implement recommendation II-3 of the state procurement office's review of Hawaii 4 procurement laws by giving the head of a purchasing agency the 5 option to negotiate an adjustment of an otherwise successful bid 6 for construction procurements to closer align with an internal 7 8 project price estimation. 9 SECTION 10. Section 103D-302, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 10 amended as follows: 11 1. By amending subsection (a) to read: 12 "(a) Contracts shall be awarded by competitive sealed 13 bidding except as otherwise provided in section 103D-301. 14 Awards of contracts by competitive sealed bidding may be made after single or multi-step bidding. Competitive sealed bidding 15 16 does not include negotiations with bidders after the receipt and opening of bids[-], except for construction procurement that 17 18 meets the criteria in subsection (h)(2). Award is based on the 19 criteria set forth in the invitation for bids."

## 2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMSO

# H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	2. H	By amending subsection (h) to read:
2	"(h)	The contract shall be awarded with reasonable
3	promptness	s by written notice to the lowest responsible and
4	responsive	e bidder whose bid meets the requirements and criteria
5	set forth	in the invitation for bids[ $\cdot$ In the event all];
6	provided	that if:
7	(1)	$\underline{\text{All}}$ bids exceed available funds as certified by the
8		appropriate fiscal officer, the head of the purchasing
9		agency responsible for the procurement in question is
10		authorized in situations where time or economic
11		considerations preclude resolicitation of work of a
12		reduced scope to negotiate an adjustment of the bid
13		price, including changes in the bid requirements, with
14		the low responsible and responsive bidder, in order to
15		bring the bid within the amount of available funds[ $\pm$ ];
16		and
17	(2)	The lowest responsive and responsible bid for a
18		construction procurement significantly differs from
19		the amount estimated by the State for that project,
20		and the estimated amount was developed prior to the
21		opening of any bids for that project, the head of the

2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMSO

H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	purchasing agency may engage in negotiations with the
2	low bidder to ensure the bid amount is reasonable and
3	realistic for the scope of the construction project.
4	The negotiations may include the reduction of the bid
5	amount or an increase in the bid amount to align with
6	the State's estimate; provided that the bid does not
7	raise the low bidders' bid to an amount that makes it
8	no longer the low bid. If the negotiation with the
9	low bidder does not result in any change to the bid
10	amount, the original bid amount shall continue to be
11	used."
12	PART IV
13	SECTION 11. The state procurement office shall submit a
14	report to the legislature no later than twenty days prior to the
15	convening of the regular session of 2022 regarding the progress
16	it has made toward addressing recommendations from parts I, II,
17	and III of the procurement policy review conducted pursuant to
18	House Resolution No. 142, Regular Session of 2016, and include
19	any proposed legislation necessary to implement those
20	recommendations.

2021-1347 HB1385 HD1 HMSO

### H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup> H.D. 1

1	PART V
2	SECTION 12. This Act does not affect rights and duties
3	that matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that
4	were begun before its effective date.
5	SECTION 13. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
6	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
7	SECTION 14. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2112.

## H.B. NO. <sup>1385</sup><sub>H.D. 1</sub>

#### Report Title:

Procurement; State Procurement Office; Construction Procurement Policy Review

#### Description:

Implements certain recommendations of the procurement policy review conducted pursuant to House Resolution No. 142, Regular Session of 2016. Requires the state procurement office to submit a report to the legislature regarding its progress in implementing the procurement policy review recommendations. Effective 7/1/2112. (HD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.