## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO THE PHASEOUT OF PRIVATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES.

### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	PART I
2	SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the State has relied
3	on contracted private, for-profit prisons to house a significant
4	portion of the State's prison population for more than two
5	decades. Unfortunately, the inmates who serve their sentences
6	in these out-of-state facilities are effectively exiled
7	thousands of miles away from their families, friends, and
8	crucial support networks. The impacts of this isolation are
9	felt disproportionately by the native Hawaiian inmates, who are
10	significantly more likely to be transferred to out-of-state
11	prisons than inmates of other ethnicities.
12	More specifically, a 2010 report by the office of Hawaiian
13	affairs, entitled "The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians
14	in the Criminal Justice System", found that out-of-state
15	incarceration results in significant trauma to prisoners and
16	their families. In collecting data and testimony for the
17	report, the office of Hawaiian affairs found that incarceration

- 1 outside of the State often resulted in families being torn
- 2 apart. For example, one former inmate stated that he "lost
- 3 [his] family--wife and kids" when he was forced to serve five
- 4 years of his sentence on the mainland. Other individuals
- 5 involved in the criminal justice system described how they
- 6 witnessed inmates react emotionally when they realized they
- 7 would be moved thousands of miles away from their families
- 8 without being able to tell them goodbye. The report also found
- 9 that when the inmates returned to the State, they were more
- 10 likely to encounter difficulties when reentering society due to
- 11 the lack of adequate reentry programs at the out-of-state
- 12 prisons and the long length of time they spent away from their
- 13 support networks and Hawaii culture.
- 14 The legislature also finds that the adverse impacts of
- 15 incarcerating inmates outside of the State were recognized by
- 16 the legislature and the department of public safety even before
- 17 the State began the practice of contracting with private
- 18 mainland prisons. During the Regular Session of 1994, when the
- 19 legislature was first considering whether to authorize the use
- 20 of private, out-of-state correctional facilities to alleviate
- 21 prison overcrowding, standing committees in both the senate and

house of representatives expressed concerns about inmates being 2 transferred away from their support networks and the impact this would have on their rehabilitation. Committees in both chambers 3 expressed a clear intent that inmates with strong ties to Hawaii 4 5 should not be transferred outside of the State. In 1994, the 6 senate committee on judiciary stated in standing committee 7 report no. 1902: 8 "Many inmates currently incarcerated in Hawaii's prisons 9 have lived their entire lives in Hawaii. These inmates 10 have no support systems in localities other than Hawaii. 11 Accordingly, on the basis of the representations made 12 by . . . the director of public safety, priority for 13 transfers should be given first to non-Hawaii resident 14 inmates, then to those inmates who have lived in our State 15 for five years or less, and only thereafter will those with 16 strong roots in Hawaii be considered for transfer." 17 Similarly, in 1994, the standing committee on public safety and 18 corrections of the house of representatives stated the following 19 in standing report number 975-94:

1	"[T]he public defender raised concerns that inmates with a
2	local support system would be transferred to other states
3	against their will.
4	An enormous factor in an inmate's rehabilitation is
5	the inmate's ability to receive visits or phone calls from
6	friends and relatives. It appears that visits or phone
7	calls to an inmate would be greatly reduced, it not
8	eliminated, if an inmate with a local support system is
9	transferred to a mainland correctional center.
10	In light of this, it is in the intent of your
11	Committee that any inmate having a strong, nurturing
12	support system in Hawaii that contributes to the inmate's
13	rehabilitation shall not be considered for interstate
14	transfer."
15	Accordingly, the legislature finds that when it enacted Act
16	208, Session Laws of Hawaii 1994, which authorized the transfer
17	of inmates to privately operated correctional facilities outside
18	of the State, it did so with the clear intent that the
19	department of public safety would not transfer individuals with
20	strong community ties. The legislature also finds that this
21	clear intent has largely been ignored over the last twenty-five

- 1 years. The legislature further recognizes that fears regarding
- 2 the significant detrimental impact that interstate transfers
- 3 would have on inmates have turned out to be true. In addition,
- 4 the problem of prison overcrowding continues to persist even
- 5 though Act 208 was enacted specifically to alleviate this issue.
- 6 In addition, larger problems with the use of mass incarceration
- 7 have increased since Act 208 was enacted. Accordingly, the
- 8 legislature believes that the State must phase out the practice
- 9 of transferring inmates to privately operated mainland
- 10 correctional facilities.
- 11 The legislature notes that the State had the authority to
- 12 transfer certain inmates to publicly operated correctional
- 13 facilities, such as prisons owned and operated directly by the
- 14 federal government or a state, prior to the passage of Act 208,
- 15 Session Laws of Hawaii 1994. The State will retain that
- 16 authority under this Act.
- 17 The legislature also finds that the department of public
- 18 safety is currently planning for the construction of a new jail
- 19 to replace the existing Oahu community correctional center in
- 20 Kalihi. In 2018, the governor announced that he had selected
- 21 the Halawa animal quarantine facility site as the location for

- 1 the new jail. The new facility would cost \$525,000,000, and the
- 2 State planned to fund the facility using either general
- 3 obligation bond proceeds or through a public-private
- 4 partnership, in which the State would engage with a private
- 5 party to develop and operate the jail. However, the legislature
- 6 takes notice of the negative impacts of using private
- 7 correctional facilities, including a lack of oversight and
- 8 accountability and recurring violations of inmates'
- 9 constitutional rights. Accordingly, the legislature does not
- 10 believe it would be prudent to move forward with the
- 11 construction of a new, privately operated jail within the State.
- 12 The legislature further finds that Act 179, Session Laws of
- 13 Hawaii 2019, established the Hawaii correctional system
- 14 oversight commission to ensure transparency in the State's
- 15 correctional system; support safe conditions for employees,
- 16 inmates, and detainees; and provide positive reform towards a
- 17 rehabilitative and therapeutic correctional system. The
- 18 commission is responsible for overseeing the State's
- 19 correctional system, establishing maximum inmate population
- 20 limits for each correctional facility and monitoring crucial
- 21 reentry programs, facility educational and treatment programs,

- 1 rehabilitative services, work furloughs, and parole services.
- 2 Since its inception, the commission has played a leading role in
- 3 addressing the COVID-19 response in the State's correctional
- 4 system despite being unable to hire any staff or access any of
- 5 its appropriated funding.
- 6 The Hawaii correctional system oversight commission
- 7 recently expressed concerns that the department of public
- 8 safety's process to construct a new jail on Oahu was flawed.
- 9 Despite the project's large costs, plans have been developed
- 10 without meaningful input or guidance from the community and the
- 11 facility planners have failed to identify factors driving the
- 12 State's jail population. The commission concluded that the
- 13 State should reassess the required capacity of the new jail,
- 14 noting that many of the assumptions made at the time the
- 15 facility's environmental impact statement was written have
- 16 changed.
- 17 Recently, the State markedly reduced the inmate population
- 18 at the Oahu community correctional center to reduce chronic
- 19 overcrowding in the face of the coronavirus disease 2019
- 20 pandemic. As a result, the population of Oahu community
- 21 correctional center was reduced from over one thousand inmates

- 1 to less than eight hundred inmates. A study conducted by the
- 2 Lawyers for Equal Justice determined that the vast majority of
- 3 inmates released did not re-offend and most of those who did
- 4 reoffend had been arrested for "poverty related offenses", such
- 5 as those related to homelessness, including entering a closed
- 6 public park or obstructing a public sidewalk.
- 7 These population reduction efforts, as well as future moves
- 8 toward pretrial reform and sentencing reform, would alter the
- 9 requirements of any new correctional center in the State.
- 10 Accordingly, the commission recommended that planning for the
- 11 new jail be paused so that additional review and crucial
- 12 planning may be conducted. The legislature believes that it is
- 13 crucial that the Hawaii correctional system oversight commission
- 14 be included in this review and planning. In addition, as the
- 15 State phases out the use of private correctional facilities, the
- 16 commission's crucial role in establishing important corrections
- 17 policies and providing crucial oversight will become even more
- 18 important. Therefore, to ensure the State has a coordinated
- 19 approach to the management of the State's correctional
- 20 facilities as it brings prisoners formerly incarcerated in
- 21 private, out-of-state correctional facilities back to Hawaii,

1	the legislature believes that the commission must be included in
2	the planning and design of any new correctional facility or the
3	expansion of any existing correctional facility in the State.
4	The purpose of this Act is to require:
5	(1) The State to phase out the use of private prisons; and
6	(2) The department of public safety to obtain the Hawaii
7	correctional system oversight commission's approval
8	before constructing any new correctional facility or
9	expanding any existing correctional facility.
10	PART II
11	SECTION 2. Chapter 353, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
12	amended by adding a new section to part I to be appropriately
13	designated and to read as follows:
14	"§353- Use of private correctional institutions
15	<pre>prohibited. (a) Beginning July 1, 2025, the State shall not</pre>
16	commit, transfer, or house any inmate at a private correctional
17	institution.
18	(b) This section shall not be construed to prohibit the
19	State from contracting with or housing an inmate at:
20	(1) Any facility providing rehabilitative, counseling,
21	treatment, mental health, educational, or medical

1		services to a minor who is under the jurisdiction of
2		the family court;
3	(2)	Any facility providing evaluation or treatment
4		services to a person who has been detained or is
5		subject to an order of commitment by a court;
6	(3)	Any facility providing educational, vocational,
7		medical, or other ancillary services to an inmate in
8		the custody of, and under the direct supervision of,
9		the State or any of its political subdivisions;
10	(4)	A residential care facility licensed by the department
11		of health or department of human services;
12	(5)	Any school facility used for the disciplinary
13		detention of a pupil;
14	(6)	Any facility used for the quarantine or isolation of
15		persons for public health reasons; or
16	<u>(7)</u>	Any facility used for the temporary detention of a
17		person detained or arrested by a merchant, private
18		investigator or guard, or other person pursuant to
19		section 803-3.
20	(c)	For purposes of this section, "private correctional
21	instituti	on" includes any facility:



1	(1) Owned by the State and operated by a non-government
2	entity; or
3	(2) Operated under a public-private partnership."
4	SECTION 3. Section 353-16.2, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
5	amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
6	"(a) The director may effect the transfer of a committed
7	felon to any correctional institution located in another state
8	regardless of whether the state is a member of the Western
9	Interstate Corrections Compact; provided that the institution is
10	in compliance with appropriate health, safety, and sanitation
11	codes of the state, provides a level of program activity for the
12	inmate that is suitable, and is operated by that state, by any
13	of its political subdivisions, or by a private institution; and
14	provided further that the transfer is either:
15	(1) In the interest of the security, management of the
16	correctional institution where the inmate is presently
17	placed, or the reduction of prison overcrowding; or
18	(2) In the interest of the inmate $[\cdot]$ ;
19	provided further that beginning July 1, 2021, the director shall
20	commence reducing the number of committed felons incarcerated in
21	private correctional institutions. Beginning June 30, 2025, no

- 1 inmate shall be committed or transferred to any private
- 2 correctional institution. For the purpose of this section,
- 3 "private correctional institution" shall include any
- 4 correctional institution operated under a public-private
- 5 partnership."
- 6 SECTION 4. Section 353-16.3, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 7 amended to read as follows:
- 8 "\$353-16.3 Development of out-of-state Hawaii correctional
- 9 facilities. Notwithstanding any other provision to the
- 10 contrary, the governor, with the assistance of the director, may
- 11 negotiate with any appropriate out-of-state jurisdiction for the
- 12 development of Hawaii correctional facilities to reduce prison
- 13 overcrowding; provided that any agreement negotiated pursuant to
- 14 this section shall be subject to legislative approval by
- 15 concurrent resolution in any regular or special session[-];
- 16 provided further that the authority to negotiate and execute an
- 17 agreement under this section shall expire on June 30, 2025."
- 18 PART III
- 19 SECTION 5. Chapter 353, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 20 amended by adding a new section to part I to be appropriately
- 21 designated and to read as follows:



1	" <u>§35</u>	3- Construction and development of new correctional
2	facilitie	s; approval of Hawaii correctional system oversight
3	commissio	n required. (a) No new correctional facility shall be
4	construct	ed and no existing correctional facility shall be
5	expanded	unless the construction or expansion is first approved
6	by the Ha	waii correctional system oversight commission.
7	(b)	To facilitate the approval or disapproval of a
8	proposed	new or expanded correctional facility as provided in
9	subsectio	n (a), the department shall submit the following
10	informati	on to the Hawaii correctional system oversight
11	commissio	n upon the commission's request:
12	(1)	The proposed maximum inmate population of the
13		<pre>facility;</pre>
14	(2)	Any programs proposed for the facility, including
15		reentry programs, facility educational and treatment
16		programs, rehabilitative services, work furloughs, and
17		parole services; and
18	(3)	Any other relevant information required by the
19		commission as established by rules adopted pursuant to
20		chapter 91."

1	SECT	ION 6. Section 353L-3, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
2	amended by	y amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
3	"(b)	The commission shall:
4	(1)	Oversee the State's correctional system and have
5		jurisdiction over investigating complaints at
6		correctional facilities and facilitating a
7		correctional system transition to a rehabilitative and
8		therapeutic model;
9	(2)	Establish maximum inmate population limits for each
10		correctional facility and formulate policies and
11		procedures to prevent the inmate population from
12		exceeding the capacity of each correctional facility;
13	(3)	Consult with the department of public safety on the
14		planning of any new or expanded correctional facility
15		in the State, and approve or disapprove those plans
16		before the correctional facility is constructed or
17		expanded, as provided in section 353- ;
18	(4)	Work with the department of public safety in
19		monitoring and reviewing the comprehensive offender
20		reentry program, including facility educational and
21		treatment programs, rehabilitative services, work

1		furloughs, and the Hawaii paroling authority's
2		oversight of parolees. The commission may make
3		recommendations to the department of public safety,
4		the Hawaii paroling authority, and the legislature
5		regarding reentry and parole services; and
6	[ <del>(4)</del> ]	(5) Ensure that the comprehensive offender reentry
7		system under chapter 353H is working properly to
8		provide programs and services that result in the
9		timely release of inmates on parole when the maximum
10		terms have been served instead of delaying the release
11		for lack of programs and services.
12	To a	chieve these ends, the commission shall authorize the
13	oversight	coordinator to adopt rules in accordance with chapter
14	91."	
15		PART IV
16	SECT	ION 7. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
17	and stric	ken. New statutory material is underscored.
18	SECT	ION 8. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
19		INTRODUCED BY:
		JAN 2 6 2021

HB LRB 21-0071-1.doc

### Report Title:

Corrections; Private Prisons; Phase-out; Hawaii Correctional Oversight Commission

### Description:

Requires the State to phase out the use of private correctional facilities to incarcerate Hawaii inmates. Prohibits the construction of new correctional facilities or the expansion of existing correctional facilities without the approval of the Hawaii correctional system oversight commission.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

HB LRB 21-0071-1.doc