

**TESTIMONY BY:**

JADE T. BUTAY  
DIRECTOR

Deputy Directors  
LYNN A.S. ARAKI-REGAN  
DEREK J. CHOW  
ROSS M. HIGASHI  
EDWIN H. SNIFFEN

**STATE OF HAWAII**  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**  
869 PUNCHBOWL STREET  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

March 25, 2019  
2:00 p.m.  
State Capitol, Room 325

**S.B. 641 H.D. 1**  
**RELATING TO INTOXICANTS.**

House Committee on Judiciary

---

The Department of Transportation (DOT) **supports** S.B. 641, H.D. 1.

Under Hawaii's current Operating a Vehicle Under the Influence of an Intoxicant (OVUII) statute, a "drug" is defined as any controlled substance listed in schedules I through IV in Chapter 329, Hawaii Revised Statutes. This definition limits law enforcement and prosecutors from keeping our roads safe from impaired drivers. Many substances that are being abused are not listed as schedule I through IV drugs, this includes kava, kratom, toluene, certain muscle relaxants and over-the-counter cough syrup. Yet they still have the capability to impair drivers. Even adding new drugs to the schedule is not enough because changing just one molecule in the substance changes its chemical makeup, thus making it an entirely new drug that is now excluded from the schedule. In this manner, designer and synthetic drugs evade the scheduling process.

This is further exacerbated by the internet, which rapidly spreads the newest drug trends and offers opportunities for the public to learn how to manufacture, obtain and abuse substances.

In addition, there have been recent attempts at the federal and state levels to remove marijuana and tetrahydrocannabinols from the schedule of controlled substances. If these were to succeed, persons found to be driving while impaired by marijuana could not be prosecuted under Hawaii's current OVUII statute.

DOT is primarily concerned about improving highway safety and protecting the lives of our community members and visitors. To protect our public from impaired drivers, law enforcement and adjudicators should not be forced to rely solely on the controlled substance schedules for OVUII offenses. DOT coordinates specialized training and certifies law enforcement officers to recognize impairment in drivers under the influence of drugs through its Drug Recognition Expert program to combat this issue.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.

**Testimony of the Office of the Public Defender,  
State of Hawaii to the House Committee on  
Judiciary**

March 25, 2019


S.B. No. 641 HD1: RELATING TO INTOXICANTS

Chair Lee and Members of the Committee:

We respectfully **oppose** passage of S.B. No. 641 HD1 which would amend the operating a vehicle under the influence of an intoxicant statute. This measure expands the definition of “drug” for purposes of this offense. The proposed definition – **“any substance that, when taken into the human body, can impair the ability of a person to operate a vehicle safely”** is too vague and could violate a citizen’s right to due process of law. For example, caffeine is a legal substance which can impair a person’s actions when taken in excess. Perhaps a person who drives erratically by making sudden movements within his/her lane could fall under this definition.

Moreover, there is currently no widely accepted standard for the level of THC (marijuana) in a driver’s blood to reasonably infer that the driver was under the influence. This is also true of other substances targeted by this bill. THC is a metabolite that can be present many weeks after ingestion. In other jurisdictions, some opponents of decriminalization are using data to exaggerate the number of “cannabis involved” accidents.

Due to the vagueness and the lack of evidence-based standards in this bill, we oppose its passage. Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony in this matter.



**LATE**

**CITY COUNCIL**  
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU  
530 SOUTH KING STREET, ROOM 202  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-3065  
TELEPHONE: (808) 768-5010 • FAX: (808) 768-5011

**RON MENOR**  
Council Chair Emeritus &  
Council Vice Chair  
District 9

Email: [rmenor@honolulu.gov](mailto:rmenor@honolulu.gov)  
Phone: 808-768-5009  
FAX: 808-768-5011

WRITTEN TESTIMONY ONLY  
March 25, 2019

TESTIMONY OF  
COUNCIL CHAIR EMERITUS & VICE CHAIR RON MENOR  
COUNCIL DISTRICT 9  
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

Senate Bill 641 HD1  
RELATING TO INTOXICANTS.

Chair Lee, Vice Chair San Buenaventura and Members of the House Committee on Judiciary (JUD):

I am testifying in support of Senate Bill 641 HD1. I am submitting this testimony not on behalf of the Honolulu City Council, but as an individual Councilmember.


Hawaii's annual fatality statistics reveal an increasing number of highway deaths connected to drugs. In 2016, it exceeded alcohol-related fatalities. Senate Bill 641 HD1 amends our current statutes to broaden the definition of drugs and substance abuse.

As new drugs become available, we want law enforcement to have the necessary tools to be able to arrest and convict impaired drivers. Because of these developments, it has resulted in fewer arrests and more impaired drivers endangering the safety of our roads.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify in support of this bill.

MITCHELL D. ROTH  
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

DALE A. ROSS  
FIRST DEPUTY  
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY



655 KĪLAUEA AVENUE  
HILO, HAWAII 96720  
PH: (808) 961-0466  
FAX: (808) 961-8908  
(808) 934-3403  
(808) 934-3503

WEST HAWAII UNIT  
81-980 HALEKĪ'I ST, SUITE 150  
KEALAKEKUA, HAWAII 96750  
PH: (808) 322-2552  
FAX: (808) 322-6584

## OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SENATE BILL 641, HD 1

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY  
Rep. Chris Lee, Chair  
Rep. Joy San Buenaventura, Vice Chair

**LATE**

Monday, March 25, 2019, 2:00 p.m.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 325

Honorable Chair Lee, Honorable Vice Chair San Buenaventura, and Members of the Committee on Judiciary, the Office of the Prosecuting Attorney, County of Hawai'i submits the following testimony in SUPPORT of Senate Bill No. 641, HD 1.

Under Hawaii's current Operating a Vehicle Under the Influence of an Intoxicant (OVUI) statute, a "drug" is defined as any controlled substance listed in schedules I through IV in Chapter 329, Hawaii Revised Statutes. This definition limits law enforcement and prosecutors from keeping our roads safe from impaired drivers. We are finding that impaired drivers may consume a combination of alcohol and/or substances that may or may not be detected under current drug test contracts. This makes it impossible to prove a material element of the offense and allows a driver who is clearly impaired to get away with driving while impaired.

Many substances that are being abused are not listed as schedule I through IV drugs, including kava, kratom, toluene, certain muscle relaxants and over-the-counter cough syrup, yet they have the capability to impair drivers. Adding new drugs to the schedule is not enough because changing just one molecule in the substance changes its chemical makeup, thus making it an entirely new drug that is now excluded from the schedule. In this manner, designer and synthetic drugs evade the scheduling process. These drugs are not necessarily screened for in lab tests.

To protect our public from impaired drivers, law enforcement and adjudicators should not be forced to rely solely on the controlled substance schedules for OVUI offenses. An impaired driver is dangerous to others regardless of what caused the impairment.

The Office of the Prosecuting Attorney, County of Hawai'i, supports the passage of Senate Bill No. 641, HD 1. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this matter.

**Justin F. Kollar**  
Prosecuting Attorney

**Jennifer S. Winn**  
First Deputy



**Rebecca Vogt Like**  
Second Deputy

**Diana Gausepohl-White**  
Victim/Witness Program Director

**OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY**

**County of Kaua'i, State of Hawai'i**

3990 Ka'ana Street, Suite 210, Lihu'e, Hawai'i 96766  
808-241-1888 ~ FAX 808-241-1758  
Victim/Witness Program 808-241-1898 or 800-668-5734

**LATE**

---

March 25, 2019, 2:00 p.m.  
State Capitol, Room 325  
**S.B. 641 H.D. 1**  
**RELATING TO INTOXICANTS**  
House Committee on Judiciary

---

The Kauai Office of the Prosecuting Attorney (KOPA) **supports** S.B. 641.

Under Hawaii's current Operating a Vehicle Under the Influence of an Intoxicant (OVUII) statute, a "drug" is defined as any controlled substance listed in schedules I through IV in Chapter 329, Hawaii Revised Statutes. This definition limits law enforcement and prosecutors from keeping our roads safe from impaired drivers. Many substances that are being abused are not listed as schedule I through IV drugs, this includes kava, kratom, toluene, certain muscle relaxants and over-the-counter cough syrup. Yet they still have the capability to impair drivers. Even adding new drugs to the schedule is not enough because changing just one molecule in the substance changes its chemical makeup, thus making it an entirely new drug that is now excluded from the schedule. In this manner, designer and synthetic drugs evade the scheduling process.

This is further exacerbated by the internet, which rapidly spreads the newest drug trends and offers opportunities for the public to learn how to manufacture, obtain and abuse substances.

In addition, there have been recent attempts at the federal and state levels to remove marijuana and tetrahydrocannabinols from the schedule of controlled substances. If these were to succeed, persons found to be driving while impaired by marijuana could not be prosecuted under Hawaii's current OVUII statute.

KOPA is concerned about improving highway safety and protecting the lives of our community members and visitors. To protect the public from impaired drivers, law enforcement and adjudicators should not be forced to rely solely on the controlled substance schedules for OVUII offenses.


Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.

POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

801 SOUTH BERETANIA STREET · HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813  
TELEPHONE: (808) 529-3111 · INTERNET: www.honolulu-pd.org

**LATE**

KIRK CALDWELL  
MAYOR



SUSAN BALLARD  
CHIEF

JOHN D. MCCARTHY  
JONATHAN GREMS  
DEPUTY CHIEFS

OUR REFERENCE **BM-GR**

March 25, 2019

The Honorable Chris Lee, Chair  
and Members  
Committee on Judiciary  
House of Representatives  
Hawaii State Capitol  
415 South Beretania Street, Room 325  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Chair Lee and Members:

**SUBJECT: Senate Bill No. 641, H.D. 1, Relating to Intoxicants**

I am Benjamin Moszkowicz, Acting Major of the Traffic Division of the Honolulu Police Department (HPD), City and County of Honolulu. The HPD strongly supports the passage of Senate Bill No. 641, H.D. 1, Relating to Intoxicants.

Currently, when an impaired driver is stopped and arrested for Operating a Vehicle Under the Influence of an Intoxicant (OVUII), he or she is taken to a police station and asked to submit a breath or blood sample to be tested for alcohol concentration. Drivers suspected of being under the influence of a substance other than alcohol are asked to participate in a drug influence evaluation. These evaluations are conducted by officers with specialized training and experience in detecting signs and symptoms associated with drug use and impairment. Following an evaluation, a driver who is suspected of being under the influence of drugs is requested to submit a sample of his or her blood or urine to determine its drug content.

In order to convict a drug-impaired driver of OVUII, the prosecution must prove that he or she was under the influence of a "drug" that impaired him or her. Hawaii Revised Statutes, Section 291E-1, Definitions, currently defines a "drug" as a scheduled substance or its metabolite. In cases where suspects refuse to take a blood or urine test, it is extremely difficult to prove it was "a scheduled substance" that caused his or her impairment. Similarly, even if he or she provided a blood or urine sample, a driver impaired by kawa or Seroquel (a potentially impairing drug prescribed to treat bipolar

The Honorable Chris Lee, Chair  
and Members  
Page 2  
March 25, 2019

disorder) whose blood tests showed these chemicals would not have a scheduled substance or metabolite in his or her blood. Similarly, a driver impaired by the influence of synthetic drugs, such as "spice" or "bath salts," would not have a scheduled substance or metabolite in his or her blood.

The passage of this bill would not change the requirement for the police to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that a driver was impaired while he or she was driving. Rather, it would allow for the prosecution of impaired drivers for OVUII if a chemical test shows an impairing non-scheduled substance in their blood or urine.

The bottom line is that impaired drivers are a menace to the safety of all roadway users, regardless if the particular impairing chemical in their blood causing the impairment is on a specific list or not.

Though seemingly a small technical change, the passage of this bill would give the police and prosecutors an important tool we need to combat the rise of drug impaired driving we see on our roadways.

The HPD urges you to support Senate Bill No. 641, H.D. 1, Relating to Intoxicants.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Sincerely,



Benjamin Moszkowicz, Acting Major  
Traffic Division

APPROVED:



Susan Ballard  
Chief of Police



Subject: Support SB641HD1

Dear Chair Chris Lee, Vice Chair Joy A. San Buenaventura and members of the House Committee on Judiciary.

My name is Kari Benes and I am the chair of the Hawaii Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) asking for your **support of SB641HD1**, which provides clarity to the definition of a “drug” within the impaired driving statute. This measure is one of the SHSP traffic safety priorities for 2019.

Providing clarity to the definition of drug within the impaired driving statute will help our trained police officers properly remove dangerous drug-impaired drivers from our roadways. It is important to keeping our impaired-driving laws ahead of ever-changing trends in chemical compositions of illicit drugs and experimentation with substances that when ingested test limits of physical impairment. **This measure sends a clear message that we don't want individuals to be driving under the influence of any type of substance that impairs their ability to drive safely.**

The Hawaii Strategic Highway Safety Plan's vision is that all of Hawaii's road users arrive safely at their destinations. You can help us achieve our goal of reducing yearly fatalities, by supporting this measure.

To view the Strategic Highway Safety Plan, go to [www.hawaiiishsp.com](http://www.hawaiiishsp.com)

Strategic Highway Safety Plan Mission

*Save lives and reduce injuries on Hawaii's roadways through strategic partnerships and implementation of the Strategic Highway Safety Plan.*





Mothers Against Drunk Driving HAWAII  
745 Fort Street, Suite 303  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
Phone (808) 532-6232  
Fax (808) 532-6004  
hi.state@madd.org

March 25, 2019

To: Representative Chris Lee, Chair, House Committee on Judiciary ;  
Representative Joy A. San Buenaventura, Vice Chair; and members of  
the Committee

From: Arkie Koehl and Carol McNamee, Public Policy Committee - MADD  
Hawaii

Re: Senate Bill 641, HD 1 – Relating to Intoxicants

---

I am Arkie Koehl, offering testimony on behalf of the Hawaii Chapter of Mothers Against Drunk Driving in strong support of Senate Bill 641,HD1, relating to Intoxicants.

Hawaii's annual fatality statistics reveal an increasing number of highway deaths connected to the presence of drugs. In 2016, the number exceeded alcohol-related fatalities. MADD supports measures that give law enforcement the necessary tools to be able to arrest and convict impaired drivers – whatever their substance(s) of intoxication might be. Senate Bill 641,HD1 provides language necessary to amend our current statutes to broaden the definition of “drug”. Although Chapter 329 lists scores of drugs in schedules one through four, clever underground chemists are now able to synthesize new drugs at too swift a rate for our statutes to keep pace. This problem results in difficulties making an arrest in a number of cases which unfortunately results in more impaired drivers left on the road.

MADD supports SB 641's proposed definition of “drug” because it is the same as that used in DRE (Drug Recognition Expert) training. It also goes farther by amending the word “substance” and the words “substance abuse”.

MADD urges this committee to pass SB 641,HD1 to help reduce substance-related crashes on Hawaii roads.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

March 25, 2019

Honorable Chair Chris Lee  
Honorable Vice Chair Joy San Buenaventura  
House Committee on Judiciary  
Hawaii State Capitol, Room 325

**RE: SB 641 – Relating to Intoxicants.  
SUPPORT**

Dear Chair Lee, Vice Chair San Buenaventura and Committee Members:

AAA Hawaii was founded in 1915 in Honolulu and is a leader in motorist services and a strong advocate for traffic safety. With more than 165,000 members, service to and the safety of our members, other motorists, and all road users is our founding and continuing purpose.

AAA Hawai'i supports SB641 as introduced on January 18, 2019. SB641 modernizes the statutory definition of "substance" under the state's impaired driving law. Rather than enumerating specific drug names/types, this bill states any natural or synthetic element that can cause impairment (including marijuana) is prohibited in operating a motor vehicle.

Driving Under the Influence of Drugs (DUID) is on the rise nationwide despite alcohol related arrests have been declining for years. Findings from a 2013-2014 National Roadside Survey of Alcohol and Drug Use by Drivers (NHTSA) found alcohol impairment to be 30% lower over 2007 but illicit drug use was up 25%. Marijuana was the common drug found among impaired drivers (37%) but the share of drivers with traces of narcotics or depressants were not far behind (29% each) according to the Sobriety Testing Resource Center (2014). SB641 helps Hawaii keep pace with emerging drugs, both legal and illegal, to keep all road users safe.

AAA Hawaii also strongly supports the provision in SB641 to ensure marijuana remains a prohibited drug when driving irrespective of whether it remains classified as a Schedule 1 drug. The impact of marijuana impaired driving is getting worse nationwide. The National Roadside Survey also found nighttime weekend drivers with marijuana in their system increased 50% from 2007 to 2014. Unfortunately, many users still fail to recognize marijuana can impair the ability to operate a motor vehicle. SB641 helps ensure marijuana use remains prohibited before driving.

1130 N. Nimitz Highway, Suite A170, Honolulu, HI 96817

Auto Club Enterprises provides service to more than 14 million members



We have a long history of advocating traffic safety policies to keep road users safe. We support vigorous enforcement of laws against impaired driving in addition to public policies that address the full spectrum of ways substance abuse can impact our roads. We respectfully request that the Committee on Judiciary support SB641 as a positive step for traffic safety in Hawaii.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,

*Stephen Finnegan*

Stephen Finnegan  
Public Affairs and Government Relations Manager

**SB-641-HD-1**

Submitted on: 3/23/2019 12:13:12 PM

Testimony for JUD on 3/25/2019 2:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Chad Taniguchi	Hawaii Bicycling League	Support	No

Comments:

Hawaii Bicycling League supports SB641 to make our streets safer for all. When someone is driving erratically or is involved in a crash, a limited definition of a drug should not hamper the prosecution of someone who endangered other road users.

Drivers have a responsibility to use the shared roadspace sober and paying full attention, not impaired.



*Dedicated to safe, responsible, humane and effective drug policies since 1993*

TO: House Committee on Judiciary  
FROM: Carl Bergquist, Executive Director  
HEARING DATE: March 25, 2019, 2PM  
RE: SB641 HD1, RELATING TO INTOXICANTS, **OPPOSE**

Dear Chair Lee, Vice Chair San Buenaventura, Committee Members:

The Drug Policy Forum of Hawai'i (DPFH) **opposes** this measure to expand the definition of "drug" in [Chapter 291E, Hawai'i Revised Statutes](#), in order to attempt to obtain convictions for operating vehicles under the influence of an intoxicant. We appreciate the efforts of the introducer to address the concerns raised by the Office of the Public Defender and our organization when a similar bill, [HB2399/SB2826](#) was introduced in 2018 as part of the Governor's Package. However, we feel the core issue in that legislation remains in the current version of the bill: it introduces an overbroad definition of "drug" and "substance" and allows for the prosecution of individuals who have such "drugs"/"substances" in their system even though there are no reliable tests that can help prove a causal connection between said presence and actual impairment.

To that effect, the House Committee on Transportation in its report found:

*that during the public hearing on this measure, a concern was raised about determining the level or threshold for intoxication in instances where there is no statutory or per se definition of intoxication for the drug or substance that has been ingested. Your Committee respectfully requests that should your Committee on Judiciary deliberate this measure further that it consider continuing the discussion of determining a method to identify the threshold between the therapeutic or cultural use of a substance and impairment from the substance.*<sup>1</sup>

This bill then purports to address the danger of non-scheduled drugs such as "kava or muscle relaxants" that may cause impairment. The movement to add kava, e.g., to the

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2019/CommReports/SB641\\_HD1\\_HSCR1397\\_.htm](https://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2019/CommReports/SB641_HD1_HSCR1397_.htm).

definition of “drugs” is over two decades old, and to date, there is little evidence for the need to do so. **Kava related prosecutions in California, where the drug definition is similar to the one proposed in this bill, have not been successful due to deficient evidence.** [To the contrary, recent research shows that reaction times after kava consumption may actually be better than for non kava consumers.](#)

Rather than this sweeping change, which has few parallels in others states, we suggest that *if* specific substances actually pose a threat to the public, then the Department of Transportation (DOT) should furnish evidence to that effect, and request the addition of specific language in the definition of “drugs”. This would be more in line with an evidence-based approach. It is worth highlighting that the Administrator of the Narcotics Enforcement Division (NED) at the Department of Public Safety already has emergency scheduling powers, per HRS §329-11(e), in case new substances such as synthetics appear in Hawai'i. If there is an example of when this power was not sufficient to address impaired driving, we would welcome that evidence.

We also submit that prosecuting drugged drivers is already possible without the radical change proposed by this bill. In the case of serious accidents, with the help of Drug Recognition Experts (DREs), it should be possible to prosecute under [HRS §707-705 Negligent injury in the first degree](#), and [HRS §707-706 Negligent injury in the second degree](#). Alternatively, there is HRS [HRS §707-713 Reckless endangering in the first degree](#), and [HRS §707-714 Reckless endangering in the second degree](#). In the case of fatalities, there are requisite statutes as well. [As the testimony from the Office of the Public Defender noted in 2018](#), there are not necessarily any scientific verification methods for proving impairment resulting from some of the substances informing this bill. As such, using these parts of HRS seems a more prudent course than adding a new definition of “drug” that would be exceedingly hard to enforce.

As an example, when it comes to cannabis, which the bill anticipates being legalized or descheduled, there is no demonstrable blood level test that proves impairment. It is therefore imperative that no arbitrary limit *per se* limit be set that would

claim to indicate impairment – [the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration councils against this](#). Again, **rather than broadening the “drug” definition, should cannabis be legalized or descheduled, the legislature can simply specifically list “cannabis” in the Chapter 291E definitions – we would not be opposed to that.**

*This bill puts the cart before the horse, and we respectfully ask that you defer it given the concerns raised by the House Committee on Transportation, the Office of the Public Defender and our organization. Alternatively, a working group to study the experience of other states would instead be in order. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.*

**SB-641-HD-1**

Submitted on: 3/22/2019 5:38:39 PM

Testimony for JUD on 3/25/2019 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Clifford G Wong, Ph.D	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments:

Chairman Chris Lee and members of the House Judiciary Committee

Re: SB641 HD1 Report by Transportation Chair Aquino

Dear Chairman Lee and Judiciary committee members:

I am Clifford G. Wong, Ph.D. DABFT, a board-certified forensic toxicologist who currently provides technical support and testing to all federal/state/ county law enforcement agencies in regards to DUI-drug testing at our laboratory facility at Clinical Labs of Hawaii. I have performed this role since the inception of the drug-DUI program in 1998.

I have testified in support of this bill in the Senate and House committee this year and have answered questions from committee members at each hearing. The most recent hearing was conducted by the House Transportation Committee (Mr. Aquino-Chair) and the bill was passed through for further discussion pending clarification of threshold levels for each drug, and/or the lack or need thereof. This letter is in response to Mr. Aquino's report requesting further discussion.

There are only a handful of states that currently have any *per se* laws for drugs in blood. Forensic toxicologists, as a group, do not support rigid application of *per se* laws as they pertain to drugs.

The reason for this scarcity of existing statutes is the huge variety of biochemical responses to drugs as opposed to alcohol. There are no specific alcohol receptors in the brain, whereas most drugs do have specific target receptors. The pharmacological effects of alcohol generally correlate well with its blood concentration. However, the correlation between drug effects and their blood levels is very different for non-alcohol drugs.

The expression and quantity of drug receptors vary with individuals. Concurrently, the metabolism of these drugs can vary individually due to the varying presence of different liver cytochrome P450 enzymes that are responsible for breaking down (de-toxifying) the drugs, Thus pharmacological response and pharmaco-kinetics (how drugs



are processed and eliminated from the body) of drugs are unique for each drug and individual.

Therefore, there are no absolute blood drug concentrations from which forensic toxicologists can state beyond reasonable doubt that a person was intoxicated. In DUI hearings, all drug prosecutions are based on the totality of evidence of observed driving pattern, observed physical signs of intoxication (DRE exam with SFSTs), and the toxicology report. The toxicology report exists only to support the arresting officers conclusions regarding intoxication by a specific class of drugs (stimulants, depressants, cannabis, narcotic analgesics/opioids, dissociative analgesics, inhalants). The report serves only to support the officer's conclusions *post facto* that follow his/her DRE examination of the suspect, and that the suspect is under the influence of a **specific class** of drugs. Toxicology reports are **never** used *per se* to render conclusive evidence of intoxication. Thus, the threshold levels are really irrelevant to the final outcome of a criminal hearing.

I plan to attend the committee hearing, and answer any, and all questions, regarding threshold concentrations of drugs as they presently exist or don't exist for controlled substances.

Mahalo for your consideration of the passage of this bill.