



The Judiciary, State of Hawai‘i

**Testimony to the
Senate Committee on Ways and Means**
Senator Donovan M. Dela Cruz, Chair
Senator Gilbert S. C. Keith-Agaran, Vice Chair

Friday, March 1, 2019, 10:10 a.m.
State Capitol, Conference Room 211

by

Calvin C. Ching
Deputy Chief Court Administrator
District Court of the First Circuit

WRITTEN TESTIMONY ONLY

Bill No. and Title: Senate Bill No. 1286, Relating to Courts.

Purpose: Raises the district courts' jurisdictional limit for civil actions involving specific performance.

Judiciary's Position:

The Judiciary supports Senate Bill No. 1286.

Senate Bill No. 1286 corrects an inconsistency in Hawai‘i Revised Statutes §604-5 that has developed in recent years. HRS §604-5 provides the jurisdictional limits for District Court. Historically, the limits within HRS §604-5 provided that the specific performance jurisdiction of the court was consistent with the overall jurisdiction of the court (now \$40,000). However, during the change in authority from \$20,000 to \$25,000 and a very recent change from \$25,000 to \$40,000, the specific performance authority was apparently overlooked and remained at \$20,000. The passage of Senate Bill No. 1286 should correct this inconsistency.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on this measure.

SB-1286

Submitted on: 2/27/2019 10:58:16 AM

Testimony for WAM on 3/1/2019 10:10:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Jane Sugimura	Testifying for Collection Section of the Hawaii State Bar Association	Support	Yes

Comments:

This is a housekeeping measure and is intended to update this section of the statute to be consistent with the change made years ago to the district court. jurisdictional limits.



Collection Law Section

Chair:
Steven Guttman

Vice Chair:
William J. Plum

Secretary:
Thomas J. Wong

Treasurer:
Arlette S. Harada

Directors:
Ann Correa
Marvin S.C. Dang
Karyn A. Doi
Christopher Shea Goodwin
Steven Guttman
Arlette S. Harada
James Hochberg
Francis P. Hogan
Steven Idemoto
William J. Plum
Charles Prather
Yuriko J. Sugimura
Thomas J. Wong
Reginald K.T. Yee

Reply to: **STEVEN GUTTMAN, CHAIR**
220 SOUTH KING STREET SUITE 1900
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
TELEPHONE: (808) 536-1900
FAX: (808) 529-7177
E-MAIL: sguttman@kdubm.com

February 28, 2019

Senator Donovan M. Dela Cruz, Chair
Senator Gilbert S.C. Keith-Agaran, Vice Chair
and Members of Senate Ways and Means Committee

Re: SB 1286 Relating to Courts
Hearing: 3/1/19 @ 10:10 a.m.

Dear Chair Dela Cruz:

This testimony is being submitted on behalf of the Collection Law Section of the Hawaii Bar Association (“CLS”).¹ The CLS asks that you please pass SB 1286.

The purpose of this bill is to correct an *inconsistency* in §604-5 of Hawaii Revised Statutes that has developed in recent years. HRS §604-5 provides the jurisdictional limits for District Court. Historically, the limits within HRS §604-5 provided that the specific performance jurisdiction of the court was consistent with the overall jurisdiction of the court (now at \$40,000). However, during the change in authority from \$20,000 to \$25,000 and the more recent change from \$25,000 to \$40,000, the specific performance authority was apparently overlooked and remained at \$20,000. Further back in time, when the District Court’s overall jurisdiction jumped from \$10,000 to \$20,000, so did the court’s specific performance jurisdiction.

In reviewing the situation, there is no reason why the court’s specific performance should *not* be consistent with the overall court’s authority and in fact, there are a number of reasons why the two should remain linked. One such reason is that the District Court already has authority to hand down judgments for \$40,000, so why not allow it to implement a remedy for the same amount? Another reason is that District Court is a more cost effective, simpler, and efficient forum to litigate in, for both sides. Why force a claimant with a \$21,000 claim to file in the more complicated and expensive Circuit Court when District Court could otherwise handle the situation?

¹ *The comments and recommendations submitted reflect the position/viewpoint of the Collection Law Section of the Hawaii State Bar Association (“HSBA”) only. The position/viewpoint has not been reviewed or approved by the HSBA Board of Directors.*

February 28, 2017
Page 2

Lastly, SB 1286 provides consistency and uniformity to the District Court's jurisdiction.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

/S/ William J. Plum

William J. Plum
Vice-Chair
Collection Law Section of the HSBA