



## *The Judiciary, State of Hawai'i*

### **Testimony to the House Committee on Judiciary**

Representative Scott Y. Nishimoto, Chair

Representative Joy A. San Buenaventura, Vice Chair

Tuesday, February 13, 2018, 2:00 PM

State Capitol, Conference Room 325

by

Rodney A. Maile

Administrative Director of the Courts

### **WRITTEN TESTIMONY ONLY**

---

**Bill No. and Title:** House Resolution 21; Hawai'i Chapter of the American Judicature Society; Hawai'i State Bar Association; Role of the Judiciary

**Purpose:** Urging the Hawai'i Chapter of the American Judicature Society and the Hawai'i State Bar Association to Analyze and Assess the Role of the Judiciary Within the Constitutional Framework of Government in the State of Hawai'i .

### **Judiciary's Position:**

The Judiciary supports the intent of the resolution, insofar as it seeks to foster discussion of the core principles of our constitutional system of government, including the separation of powers. Indeed, the Judiciary has sought to promote understanding of those principles through initiatives such as our Courts in the Community program, which has given almost 4,000 high school students the opportunity to witness actual supreme court oral arguments in schools across the state. The Judiciary welcomes discussion about its role as a co-equal branch of government as such discussions are healthy forms of public discourse.

A conversation about the role of the Judiciary, such as that envisioned by the resolution, should include consideration of the Judiciary's constitutional role in protecting individual rights and freedoms, and the importance of an independent judiciary in fostering the rule of law. Under our constitution, the Judiciary is sometimes called upon to protect the rights of those whose views may be unpopular or contrary to the wishes of the majority. In making decisions, the



House Resolution No. 21, Hawai‘i Chapter of the American Judicature Society;  
Hawai‘i State Bar Association; Role of the Judiciary.  
House Committee on Judiciary  
Tuesday, February 13, 2018  
Page 2

judiciary must faithfully apply the constitutions and laws of the United States and Hawai‘i to the facts of each case—free from passion, pressure or outside influence.

The Judiciary notes that one year ago this month, the American Judicature Society issued a report (2017 AJS Report) regarding the present system of judicial selection and retention in Hawai‘i. The 2017 AJS Report stated that “fair and impartial courts, and the public’s trust in the fairness and impartiality of courts, are a cornerstone of our system of government, and to ensure this fairness, the system is designed to maintain the independence of the judiciary and insulate it from fear or favor. Such insulation ensures that judges and justices make decisions based on the Constitution and law, regardless of the popularity of those decisions and political expediencies at the time.”

The 2017 AJS Report also highlighted that former Hawai‘i Supreme Court Chief Justice William S. Richardson explained, “Only an independent judiciary can resolve disputes impartially and render decisions that will be accepted by rival parties, particularly if one of those parties is another branch of government.”

Consistent with these principles, the Judiciary respectfully suggests that the resolution be amended to read:

**WHEREAS, [~~if a branch violates the separation of powers and renders government unworkable, the public interest will suffer;~~] discussion about the role of the Judiciary should include consideration of the Judiciary’s constitutional role in protecting individual rights and freedoms, and the importance of an independent judiciary in preserving the rule of law. As former Hawai‘i Supreme Court Chief Justice William S. Richardson stated: “Only an independent judiciary can resolve disputes impartially and render decisions that will be accepted by rival parties, particularly if one of those parties is another branch of government.”**

The Judiciary also suggests that the topics of discussion in the “BE IT RESOLVED” clause be amended to include the following: “the Judiciary’s role in protecting individual rights and freedoms” and “the importance of judicial independence in fostering the rule of law.”

In sum, the Judiciary welcomes discussion of these complex issues that are at the very foundation of our democracy. Indeed, “[t]he question of how far a judicial inquiry should range has been the most extensive and central debate in constitutional law throughout our country’s history.” *Trustees of Office of Hawaiian Affairs v. Yamasaki*, 69 Haw. 154 (1987) (quoting K. Ripple, *Constitutional Litigation* § 3–1, at 87 (1984)). Furthermore, the Judiciary appreciates the important roles that AJS and HSBA play in our legal community, and would welcome the



House Resolution No. 21, Hawai‘i Chapter of the American Judicature Society;  
Hawai‘i State Bar Association; Role of the Judiciary.

House Committee on Judiciary

Tuesday, February 13, 2018

Page 3

opportunity to work with these organizations and other stakeholders to ensure that these types of discussions take place.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this measure.

**HR-21**

Submitted on: 2/10/2018 10:26:30 AM

Testimony for JUD on 2/13/2018 2:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Dara Carlin, M.A.	Domestic Violence Survivor Advocate	Support	No

Comments:



House Committee on Judiciary  
Chair Scott Nishimoto, Vice Chair Joy San Buenaventura

02/13/2018 2:00 PM Room 325

HR21 – Urging the Hawaii Chapter of the American Judicature Society and the Hawaii State Bar Association to Analyze and Assess the Role of the Judiciary within the Constitutional Framework of Government in the State of Hawaii

TESTIMONY / COMMENTS  
Corie Tanida, Executive Director, Common Cause Hawaii

---

Dear Chair Nishimoto, Vice Chair San Buenaventura, and members of the committee:

**Common Cause Hawaii offers comments on HR21** which would urge the Hawaii Chapter of the American Judicature Society and the Hawaii State Bar Association to analyze and assess the role of the Judiciary within the constitutional framework of government in the State of Hawaii, including topics such as the Judiciary's interrelationship with the legislative and executive branches.

We strongly believe that an independent Judiciary, one that is able to make decisions based on our constitution and laws, rather than what is popular at the time, is a keystone of our democracy. The discussion on the Judiciary's role and its interrelationship with the legislative and executive branches is not new, but deserves to be revisited. We hope that as dialogue continues that the public will be afforded opportunities to weigh in on this complex issue.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer **comments on HR21**.

**WRITTEN SUBMITTAL**

House Committee on Judiciary

Hearing: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 (2:00 p.m.)

**TO:** The Honorable Scott Y. Nishimoto, Chair  
The Honorable Joy A. San Buenaventura, Vice Chair

**FROM:** Howard K.K. Luke  
HSBA President

**RE:** HR 21 Urging the Hawaii Chapter of the American  
Judicature Society and the Hawaii State Bar Association  
to Analyze and Assess the Role of the Judiciary Within  
the Constitutional Framework of Government in the  
State of Hawaii.

Chair Nishimoto, Vice Chair San Buenaventura and Members of the House Judiciary Committee, thank you for the opportunity to comment on House Resolution No. 21, entitled: "Urging the Hawaii Chapter of the American Judicature Society and the Hawaii State Bar Association to Analyze and Assess the Role of the Judiciary within the Constitutional Framework of Government in the State of Hawaii."

The HSBA Board has not had an opportunity review this measure as required by the Board Policy Manual prior to public comment on proposed legislation. As a result, a formal position through testimony and comments on behalf of the HSBA membership cannot be offered at this time.

This item will be placed on the next Executive Committee agenda and presumably thereafter, the next HSBA Board agenda for consideration. Whether a formal position will be made with respect to this measure, either through testimony with or without comments, cannot be confirmed at this time.

**OFFICERS**

Howard K.K. Luke, President  
Derek R. Kobayashi, President-Elect  
P. Gregory Frey, Vice-President  
Russ S. Awakuni, Secretary  
Karin L. Holma, Treasurer

**DIRECTORS**

Cecelia C.Y. Chang  
Steven J.T. Chow  
Rebecca A. Copeland  
Vladimir Devens  
Rhonda L. Griswold  
Geraldine N. Hasegawa (East Hawaii)  
Kristin E. Izumi-Nitao  
Carol S. Kitaoka (West Hawaii)  
Kai K.A. Lawrence (Kauai)  
Lisa W. Munger  
Mark K. Murakami  
Zale Okazaki  
Alika L. Piper  
Shannon S. Sheldon (Maui)  
John M. Tonaki

**YLD PRESIDENT**

Jamila E. Jarmon

**IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT**

Nadine Y. Ando

**HSBA/ABA DELEGATE**

James A. Kawachika

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

Patricia Mau-Shimizu