

HB-2033-HD-1

Submitted on: 3/14/2018 12:29:31 AM

Testimony for JDC on 3/15/2018 10:00:00 AM

| Submitted By | Organization | Testifier Position | Present at Hearing |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bill Plum | Individual | Support | No |

Comments:

I am an attorney and end up litigating service issues in my practice. I support this bill with some suggested corrections for *consistency* and *clarification*. More specifically, Section 1 of the bill states, that the summons may be “mailed to the **mailing** address on record with the real property tax office....” (emphasis added). However, in Section 2, subpart (d), the definition of what *qualifies* for real property is a bit confusing and does not refer at all to any “**mailing**” address. If you insert the word “**mailing**” before the word “**address**” each time the word “**address**” appears in subpart (d), you will have consistency between the Sections and better clarity for the parties and the courts who later need to interpret and/or possibly litigate what the new part of the statute means.

Thank you.