HB 1849

RELATING TO PUBLIC SAFTEY

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PUBLIC SAFETY.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	SECTION 1. The purpose of this Act is to:
2	(1) Require the chief of each county police department to
3	disclose to the legislature the identity of a police
4	officer upon the officer's second suspension in a
5	five-year period or discharge; and
6	(2) Require disclosure under the Uniform Information
7	Practices Act after a police officer's second
8	suspension in a five-year period.
9	SECTION 2. Section 52D-3.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
10	amended as follows:
11	1. By amending subsection (b) to read:
12	"(b) The report shall:
13	(1) Summarize the facts and the nature of the misconduct
14	for each incident;
15	(2) Specify the disciplinary action imposed for each
16	incident;

1	(3)	idencity any other included in the annual report
2		committed by the same police officer; [and]
3	(4)	State whether the highest nonjudicial grievance
4		adjustment procedure timely invoked by the police
5		officer or the police officer's representative has
6		concluded:
7		(A) If the highest nonjudicial grievance adjustment
8		procedure has concluded, the report shall state
9		(i) Whether the incident concerns conduct
10		punishable as a crime, and if so, describe
11		the county police department's findings of
12		fact and conclusions of law concerning the
13		criminal conduct; and
14		(ii) Whether the county police department
15		notified the respective county prosecuting
16		attorney of the incident; or
17		(B) If the highest nonjudicial grievance adjustment
18		procedure has not concluded, the report shall
19		state the current stage of the nonjudicial
20		grievance adjustment procedure as of the end of
21		the reporting period[-]; and

1	(5)	Disclose the identity of the police officer upon the
2		police officer's second suspension in a five-year
3		period or discharge."
4	2. 1	By amending subsection (d) to read:
5	"(d)	The summary of facts provided in accordance with
6	subsection	n (b)(1) shall not be of such a nature so as to
7	disclose	the identity of the individuals involved[-], except as
8	required	under subsection (b)(5)."
9	SECT	ION 3. Section 92F-14, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
10	amended b	y amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
11	"(b)	The following are examples of information in which
12	the indiv	idual has a significant privacy interest:
13	(1)	Information relating to medical, psychiatric, or
14		psychological history, diagnosis, condition,
15		treatment, or evaluation, other than directory
16		information while an individual is present at such
17		facility;
18	(2)	Information identifiable as part of an investigation
19		into a possible violation of criminal law, except to
20		the extent that disclosure is necessary to prosecute
21		the violation or to continue the investigation;

1	(3)	Information	on relating to eligibility for social
2		services	or welfare benefits or to the determination
3		of benefi	t levels;
4	(4)	Informati	on in an agency's personnel file, or
5		applicati	ons, nominations, recommendations, or
6		proposals	for public employment or appointment to a
7		governmen	tal position, except:
8		(A) Info	rmation disclosed under section 92F-
9		12 (a)(14); and
10		(B) The	following information related to employment
11		misc	onduct that results in an employee's
12		susp	ension or discharge:
13		(i)	The name of the employee;
14		(ii)	The nature of the employment related
15			misconduct;
16		(iii)	The agency's summary of the allegations of
17			misconduct;
18		(iv)	Findings of fact and conclusions of law; and
19		(v)	The disciplinary action taken by the agency;
20		when the	following has occurred: the highest
21		noniudici	al grievance adjustment procedure timely

1		invoked by the employee or the employee's
2		representative has concluded; a written decision
3		sustaining the suspension or discharge has been issued
4		after this procedure; and thirty calendar days have
5		elapsed following the issuance of the decision or, for
6		decisions involving county police department officers,
7		ninety days have elapsed following the issuance of the
8		decision; provided that subparagraph (B) shall not
9		apply to a county police department officer except in
10		a case which results in the discharge or the second
11		suspension in a five-year period of the officer;
12	(5)	Information relating to an individual's
13		nongovernmental employment history except as necessary
14		to demonstrate compliance with requirements for a
15		particular government position;
16	(6)	Information describing an individual's finances,
17		income, assets, liabilities, net worth, bank balances,
18		financial history or activities, or creditworthiness;
19	(7)	Information compiled as part of an inquiry into an
20		individual's fitness to be granted or to retain a
21		license, except:

			JAN 1 8 2018
18			INTRODUCED BY:
17			
16			New statutory material is underscored.
15	SECT	ION 4	. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
14	an individual."		
13	substantial and demonstrable risk of physical harm t		
12	(10)	Info	rmation that if disclosed would create a
11	(9)	Soci	al security numbers; and
10	evaluation;		
9	(8)	Info	rmation comprising a personal recommendation or
8			dispositions;
7		(C)	The record of complaints including all
6			and
5			and required insurance coverages of licensees;
4		(B)	Information on the current place of employment
3			discipline;
2			discipline of a licensee and the grounds for
1		(A)	The record of any proceeding resulting in the
1		/ 7 N	The respond of any progonding regulting in the

Report Title:

Police Officers; Disciplinary Action; Public Records; Disclosure

Description:

Requires police departments to disclose to the legislature the identity of an officer upon the officer's 2nd suspension in a 5-year period or discharge. Requires disclosure under the Uniform Information Practices Act after a police officer's 2nd suspension in a 5-year period.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

HB 1849

TESTIMONY

OFFICE OF INFORMATION PRACTICES

STATE OF HAWAII NO. 1 CAPITOL DISTRICT BUILDING 250 SOUTH HOTEL STREET, SUITE 107 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813

TELEPHONE: 808-586-1400 FAX: 808-586-1412

EMAIL: oip@hawaii.gov

To: House Committee on Labor and Public Employment

From: Cheryl Kakazu Park, Director

Date: February 13, 2018, 9:15 a.m.

State Capitol, Conference Room 309

Re: Testimony on H.B. No. 1849

Relating to Public Safety

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill. The Office of Information Practices ("OIP") supports this bill, which would amend the Uniform Information Practices Act ("UIPA"), chapter 92F, HRS, to limit a clause giving special treatment to information about police officers' misconduct. The proposal would only protect an officer's first suspension within five years, and would require police departments to identify officers receiving a second or subsequent suspension in their annual reports to the Legislature.

In section 92F-14(b)(4), HRS, the UIPA recognizes a government employee's significant privacy interest in information about possible misconduct, up to a point. While all other government employees' misconduct information becomes public if the misconduct resulted in suspension or termination, the current law gives police officers a special statutory privacy interest even in information about misconduct that resulted in suspension. This bill would limit the special statutory privacy interest to apply only to an officer's first suspension within a five-year period. If a

House Committee on Labor and Public Employment February 13, 2018 Page 2 of 2

police officer is suspended for a second time within a five-year period, the officer must be identified in the police department's annual report to the Legislature.

The UIPA amendment proposed by this bill still would not place police officers on the same footing as all other government employees for public disclosure of misconduct information, but it would at least close part of the gap and provide a greater level of government accountability. Therefore, OIP supports this bill.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

THE CIVIL BEAT LAW CENTER FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST

700 Bishop Street, Suite 1701 Honolulu, HI 96813 Office: (808) 531-4000 Fax: (808) 380-3580 info@civilbeatlawcenter.org

House Committee on Labor Honorable Aaron Ling Johanson, Chair Honorable Daniel Holt, Vice Chair

RE: Testimony Opposing H.B. 1849, Relating to Public Safety Hearing: February 13, 2018 at 9:15 a.m.

Dear Chair and Members of the Committee:

My name is Brian Black. I am the Executive Director of the Civil Beat Law Center for the Public Interest, a nonprofit organization whose primary mission concerns solutions that promote government transparency. Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on H.B. 1849. The Law Center **opposes this bill because it will not measurably increase public access to information about police discipline**.

Under existing law as interpreted by the Hawai`i Supreme Court in *Peer News LLC v. City & County of Honolulu*, 138 Hawai`i 53 (2016), police departments must weigh the public interest in disclosure of police disciplinary suspensions matters against the privacy interests of individual police officers.¹ In other words, disciplinary suspensions are not necessarily exempt from disclosure under the UIPA.

The circuit court on remand currently is weighing the public interest against the officer's privacy interests. An officer's subsequent discipline (*e.g.*, two suspensions within five years) is only one aspect of what the courts might consider relevant to that issue. There is no reason for the Legislature to make this minor amendment before the courts fully resolve the scope of existing law.

Also, amendments to HRS § 92F-14(b)(4) should only be made if they will measurably increase public access. There is no indication that this amendment would do so.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

¹ The Law Center represents Honolulu Civil Beat in that litigation, but submits this testimony on its own behalf.



Committee: House Committee on Labor & Public Employment

Hearing Date/Time: Tuesday, February 13, 2018, 9:15 a.m.

Place: Conference Room 309

Re: Testimony of the ACLU of Hawai'i in **Support** of H.B. 1849

Relating to Public Safety

Dear Chair Johanson, Vice Chair Holt, and Committee Members:

The American Civil Liberties Union of Hawai'i ("ACLU of Hawai'i") writes in **support** of H.B. 1849, which requires police departments to disclose the identity of police officers upon the officer's second suspension in a five-year period or discharge.

This bill seeks to treat law enforcement officers **on terms more equal to those of other government employees**, providing that an employee's disciplinary information be kept private unless the infraction is so severe or recurrent that it results in termination or frequent suspensions. This bill will help to provide much needed transparency in police department matters, thus promoting public trust of law enforcement.

The ACLU of Hawai'i supports H.B. 1849 and asks that your committee pass it without amendments.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Sincerely,

Mateo Caballero Legal Director

ACLU of Hawai'i

The mission of the ACLU of Hawai'i is to protect the fundamental freedoms enshrined in the U.S. and State Constitutions. The ACLU of Hawai'i fulfills this through legislative, litigation, and public education programs statewide. The ACLU of Hawai'i is a non-partisan and private non-profit organization that provides its services at no cost to the public and does not accept government funds. The ACLU of Hawai'i has been serving Hawai'i for 50 years.

www.acluhawaii.org



49 South Hotel Street, Room 314 | Honolulu, HI 96813 www.lwv-hawaii.com | 808.531.7448 | voters@lwv-hawaii.com

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LABOR & PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT Tuesday, February 13, 2018, 9:15 AM, Conference Room 309 HB 1849, RELATING TO PUBLIC SAFETY

TESTIMONY

Douglas Meller, Legislative Committee, League of Women Voters of Hawaii

Chair Johanson and Committee Members:

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii supports the intent of HB 1849 because the bill requires disclosure of the identify of any county police officer upon the officer's second suspension in a five-year period,

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii requests that this Committee amend HB 1849 so that UIPA unquestionably applies to ALL suspensions of county police officers in exactly the same way that UIPA applies to all suspensions of other public employees. It should not be necessary to file a lawsuit and obtain a court order to compel disclosure of the identity of, and summary information about misconduct by, county police officers who have been suspended but not discharged for serious misconduct.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony.



49 South Hotel Street, Room 314 | Honolulu, HI 96813 www.lwv-hawaii.com | 808.531.7448 | voters@lwv-hawaii.com

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LABOR & PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT Tuesday, February 13, 2018, 9:15 AM, Conference Room 309 HB 1849, RELATING TO PUBLIC SAFETY

TESTIMONY

Douglas Meller, Legislative Committee, League of Women Voters of Hawaii

Chair Johanson and Committee Members:

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii supports the intent of HB 1849 because the bill requires disclosure of the identify of any county police officer upon the officer's second suspension in a five-year period,

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii requests that this Committee amend HB 1849 so that UIPA unquestionably applies to ALL suspensions of county police officers in exactly the same way that UIPA applies to all suspensions of other public employees. It should not be necessary to file a lawsuit and obtain a court order to compel disclosure of the identity of, and summary information about misconduct by, county police officers who have been suspended but not discharged for serious misconduct.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony.

HB 1849

LATE TESTIMONY

HB-1849

Submitted on: 2/12/2018 10:39:56 PM

Testimony for LAB on 2/13/2018 9:15:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alan Urasaki	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

