STAND. COM. REP. NO. 3560

Honolulu, Hawaii

APR 0 6 2018

RE: H.B. No. 1614

H.D. 1 S.D. 1

Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi President of the Senate Twenty-Ninth State Legislature Regular Session of 2018 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred H.B. No. 1614, H.D. 1, entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO AUTOMATIC RESTRAINING ORDERS," begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose and intent of this measure is to automatically impose a restraining order upon parties filing for annulment, divorce, or separation to:

- (1) Preserve the financial assets of the parties and their dependents; and
- (2) Maintain the current island of residence and school of enrollment of a minor child of the parties.

Your Committee received testimony in support of this measure from the Family Law Section of the Hawaii State Bar Association and two individuals. Your Committee received testimony in opposition to this measure from the Hawaii State Commission on the Status of Women, Stop Abuse Campaign, and four individuals.

Your Committee finds that family court congestion can be reduced by providing for orders that automatically take effect when parties file for annulment, divorce, or separation. Automatically imposed restraining orders to preserve the financial

2018-2345 SSCR SMA.doc

assets of parties and their dependents will help to create a more level playing field for unrepresented parties, parties who are unfamiliar with the court system and unaware of their legal rights and obligations, and parties who have a significant disparity in finances and resources.

Your Committee notes that section 580-10, Hawaii Revised Statutes, provides for asset restraining orders on the motion of either party to a complaint. Your Committee encourages your Committee on Conference, if it considers this measure, to examine whether that provision of section 580-10, Hawaii Revised Statutes, should be repealed in light of the automatic restraining orders provided for in this measure.

Your Committee has amended this measure by:

- (1) Adding clarifying language to avoid confusion with an existing statute that already allows for asset restraining orders on the motion of either party to a complaint; and
- (2) Inserting an effective date of July 1, 2035, to encourage further discussion.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Judiciary that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of H.B. No. 1614, H.D. 1, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as H.B. No. 1614, H.D. 1, S.D. 1, and be placed on the calendar for Third Reading.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Judiciary,

BRIAN T. TANIGUCHI, Chair

The Senate Twenty-Ninth Legislature State of Hawai'i

Record of Votes Committee on Judiciary JDC

Bill / Resolution No.:*	Committee	Committee Referral:			1 5)
HB1614, HD1	JDC			Date: 4/5/18	
The Committee is reconsidering its previous decision on this measure.					
If so, then the previous decision was to:					
The Recommendation is:					
Pass, unamended Pass, with amendments Hold Recommit 2312 2311 2310 2313					
Members		Aye	Aye (WR)	Nay	Excused
TANIGUCHI, Brian T. (C)		V			
RHOADS, Karl (VC)		/			
GABBARD, Mike		V			
KIM, Donna Mercado					
THIELEN, Laura H.		/			
	· ·			:	
			.		
		17			1
TOTAL		4			<u> </u>
Recommendation: Adopted Not Adopted					
Chair's or Designee's Signature:					
Distribution: Original Yellow Pink Goldenrod File with Committee Report Clerk's Office Drafting Agency Committee File Copy					