A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO THE ENVIRONMENT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the case of *Unite* 2 Here! Local 5 v. The City and County of Honolulu, 124 Hawaii 171 3 (2010), examined environmental impact statements and whether or 4 not approved environmental impact statements have expiration 5 The Hawaii supreme court ruled against the assumptions 6 that an environmental impact statement is indefinitely valid 7 once completed and approved for a project, and that there is no 8 time sensitivity as to the actual start and end date of the 9 proposed project. The legislature further finds that many large 10 scale projects that require an environmental impact statement 11 take years longer than initially anticipated and go beyond the 12 time period of potential impacts examined in the environmental 13 impact statement. Although state law lacks an explicit time 14 frame of validity for an environmental impact statement, section 15 11-200-26, Hawaii Administrative Rules, requires a supplemental 16 environmental impact statement when a project with an approved **17** environmental impact statement has changed substantively in

- 1 size, scope, intensity, use, location, or timing. The
- 2 legislature finds that while a supplemental environmental impact
- 3 statement may ensure consideration of an action that is
- 4 essentially different from the action proposed under the
- 5 original environmental impact statement, the drafting,
- 6 submission, and approval of a supplemental environmental impact
- 7 statement adds costs to projects that are often already
- 8 expensive. Therefore, the legislature concludes that
- 9 establishment of an explicit time frame of validity for an
- 10 environmental impact statement, in combination with mandatory
- 11 community involvement during periods of construction delay, will
- 12 ensure that an environmental impact statement does not go stale,
- 13 without need for triggering a costly supplemental environmental
- 14 impact statement in every situation.
- 15 The purpose of this Act is to require, for any proposed
- 16 action involving construction for which an environmental impact
- 17 statement has been accepted by an agency but for which
- 18 construction has not commenced within ten years of acceptance of
- 19 the statement, the developer of the construction project to
- 20 exercise due diligence and hold community discussion and

1	feedback sessions to share relevant and new information	
2	surrounding the project.	
3	SECTION 2. Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is	
4	amended by adding a new section to be appropriately designated	
5	and to read as follows:	
6	" <u>§34</u>	3- Delayed construction; community involvement. (a)
7	If an env	ironmental impact statement for a proposed action
8	involving	construction has been accepted by an agency in
9	satisfaction of the requirements of this chapter but	
10	construction has not commenced within ten years of the date of	
11	acceptance of the statement, the developer of the construction	
12	project s	hall:
13	(1)	Exercise due diligence with respect to any changes in
14		the community where the project is planned, including
15		but not limited to community population changes,
16		zoning changes, compatibility with land use plans, and
17		secondary effects on the community, such as changes in
18		traffic flow or visual blight; and
19	(2)	Hold community discussion and feedback sessions in
20		which relevant and newly discovered information
21		surrounding the project, including social,

1	environmental, and economic concerns, shall be shared
2	with the community in which the project is being
3	constructed.
4	(b) This section shall not apply to capital improvement
5	projects undertaken by the department of agriculture on state-
6	owned agricultural lands and irrigation water systems."
7	SECTION 3. New statutory material is underscored.
8	SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2025.
9	

Report Title:

Environmental Impact Statement; Delayed Construction; Community Discussion and Feedback Sessions

Description:

Requires, for any proposed action involving construction for which an environmental impact statement has been accepted by an agency but for which construction has not commenced within ten years of acceptance of the statement, the developer of the construction project to exercise due diligence with respect to any changes in the community where the project is planned and requires developers to hold community discussion and feedback sessions to discuss relevant and new concerns regarding the project. Exempts department of agriculture capital improvement projects on state agricultural lands and irrigation water systems. Takes effect 7/1/2025. (SD2)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.