
HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE AUDITOR TO CONDUCT A STUDY OF THE RELEVANCY OF
THE CERTIFICATE OF NEED PROCESS UNDER CHAPTER 323D, HAWAII
REVISED STATUTES.

1 WHEREAS, Certificate of Need (CON) programs are state-level
2 regulatory programs that require approval from a state health
3 planning agency for construction, expansion, and major capital
4 expenditures by health care facilities and services; and
5

6 WHEREAS, Hawaii's CON law, codified in part V of chapter
7 323D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, was established in 1975 in
8 response to the National Health Planning and Resources
9 Development Act of 1974 (NHPRDA), which conditioned the award of
10 federal public health service grants upon a state's adoption of
11 such regulatory measures; and
12

13 WHEREAS, in 1987, the federal mandate and funding
14 provisions were repealed; and
15

16 WHEREAS, since the repeal of NHPRDA, 14 states have dropped
17 their CON programs while several other states have narrowed the
18 application of their CON laws to only certain types of
19 facilities; and
20

21 WHEREAS, Hawaii's law requires the State Health Planning
22 and Development Agency to approve a certificate of need for the
23 construction, expansion, alteration, conversion, development,
24 initiation, or modification of all health care facilities or
25 health care services in the State; and
26

27 WHEREAS, like many other states' CON laws, Hawaii's CON law
28 is intended to provide a coordinated system that links statewide
29 planning for health services with facilities development; and



1 WHEREAS, Hawaii's CON program, like other programs
2 nationwide, has been criticized on several bases, including:
3

- 4 (1) It hampers the efficient performance of healthcare
5 markets by creating barriers to entry and expansion,
6 restricting free and open competition, and limiting
7 consumer choice, resulting in higher prices;
8
9 (2) It hampers innovation and improvements in the delivery
10 of better healthcare by limiting competition;
11
12 (3) It is not consistently administered; and
13
14 (4) It does not achieve its objective of controlling
15 healthcare costs; and
16

17 WHEREAS, national health care reform and other changes
18 taking place in the health care marketplace make it appropriate
19 to examine the relevancy of Hawaii's CON program and its effects
20 on health care access, quality, and costs; now, therefore,
21

22 BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the
23 Twenty-ninth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session
24 of 2018, the Senate concurring, that the Auditor is requested to
25 conduct a study of the relevancy of the CON process under
26 Chapter 323D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, with respect to:
27

- 28 (1) The role of the CON Program;
29
30 (2) The effects of the CON law on:
31
32 (A) Improving the health of residents within a health
33 service area;
34
35 (B) Increasing the accessibility and quality of
36 health services;
37
38 (C) Containing health care costs; and
39
40 (D) Preventing unnecessary duplication of health
41 services; and



H.C.R. NO. 3

(3) Whether certain facilities, types of facilities, or services should be exempt from the CON process; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor is requested to make appropriate recommendations to eliminate or modify the CON process; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor is requested to submit a report of its findings and recommendations with regard to the CON process, including any necessary proposed legislation, to the Legislature no later than 20 days prior to the convening of the Regular Session of 2019; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the State Auditor, Director of Health, and Administrator of the State Health Planning and Development Agency.

OFFERED BY: _____

pcu

JAN 18 2018

