HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TWENTY-NINTH LEGISLATURE, 2018 STATE OF HAWAII H.B. NO. 970

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PUBLIC EMPLOYEES.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. The legislature finds that Hawaii's collective
 bargaining in public employment law, chapter 89, Hawaii Revised
 Statutes, was enacted to promote labor management harmony in the
 public sector by:

- 5 (1) Establishing guidelines for employment relations
 6 relating to wages, hours, and working conditions;
- 7 (2) Providing a method for dealing with disputes and work
 8 stoppages; and
- 9 (3) Maintaining a favorable political and social
- 10 environment.

11 The legislature further finds that the policy to promote 12 harmonious and cooperative relations between government and its 13 employees rests on the right of public employees to organize for 14 the purpose of collective bargaining in accordance with article 15 XIII, section 2, of the Constitution of the State of Hawaii.

16 The legislature also finds that changes in federal17 constitutional law could have a major impact on public employee



1 collective bargaining in Hawaii. In Friedrichs v. California 2 Teachers Ass'n, et al., 2013 WL 892547 (D. Cal. C.D. 2013), 3 aff'd 204 WL 10076847 (Mem.) (9th Cir. 2014), aff'd 136 S.Ct. 4 1083 (Mem.), 194 L.Ed. 2d 255 (2016) the petitioners had asked 5 the United States Supreme Court to overrule Abood v. Detroit Bd. 6 of Educ., 431 U.S. 209, 97 S. Ct. 1782, 52 L.Ed.2d 261 (1977) 7 (allowing public sector agency fees). An equally-divided United 8 States Supreme Court upheld the status quo established in the 9 Abood case. Many commentators considered that, but for the 10 sudden death of supreme court justice Antonin Scalia, Friedrichs 11 would have overruled Abood.

12 In June 2017, the petitioner in Janus v. American Fed'n of 13 State, County, and Mun. Employees, Council 31, 851 F.3d 746 (7th 14 Cir. 2017), cert. granted, 138 S.Ct. (Mem), 198 L.Ed. 2d 780 15 (2017) again asked the United States Supreme Court to overrule 16 Abood. The court has accepted the case, and a decision is 17 expected by the end of June 2018. Most commentators again 18 expect that Abood will be overruled, and traditional agency fees 19 will be banned.

20 The legislature finds that should the United States Supreme21 Court strike down laws requiring the payment of union dues by



2

Page 2

H.B. NO.1930

1 public sector employees, such a ruling would fundamentally 2 undermine the legislature's consistent efforts to bar "free riders," and ensure labor management peace. Furthermore, not 3 only would such a ruling undercut the collective bargaining 4 5 representative's ability to collect resources from its 6 bargaining unit, it would greatly diminish public employees' 7 ability to negotiate with management and cause government to 8 lose the advantages envisioned under the collective bargaining in public employment law. 9

10 The purpose of this Act is to ensure that public employees 11 are able to effectively bargain collectively with their public employers by establishing a mechanism, consistent with the 12 13 United States Constitution, that will provide exclusive 14 bargaining representatives with the resources necessary to 15 adequately represent public employees and will remove economic 16 incentives to "free ride" so that Hawaii law will not be biased 17 for or against employee membership in the bargaining unit's 18 exclusive representative.

19 SECTION 2. Section 89-1, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is20 amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:



| 1 | "(b) | The legislature declares that it is the public policy |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | of the St | ate to promote harmonious and cooperative relations |
| 3 | between government and its employees and to protect the public | |
| 4 | by assuri | ng effective and orderly operations of government. |
| 5 | These policies are best effectuated by: | |
| 6 | (1) | Recognizing the right of public employees to organize |
| 7 | | for the purpose of collective bargaining; |
| 8 | (2) | Requiring public employers to negotiate with and enter |
| 9 | | into written agreements with exclusive representatives |
| 10 | | on matters of wages, hours, and other conditions of |
| 11 | | employment, while, at the same time, maintaining the |
| 12 | | merit principle pursuant to section 76-1; [and] |
| 13 | (3) | Enabling exclusive representatives to maintain |
| 14 | | financial viability and organizational capacity, and |
| 15 | | the ability to effectively represent public employees; |
| 16 | | and |
| 17 | [-(3)] | (4) Creating a labor relations board to administer |
| 18 | | the provisions of chapters 89 and 377." |
| 19 | SECTION 3. Section 89-2, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is | |
| 20 | amended by amending the definition of "exclusive representative" | |
| 21 | to read a | s follows: |



4

Page 4

1 "Exclusive representative" means the employee organization 2 certified by the board under section 89-8 as the collective 3 bargaining agent to represent all employees in an appropriate 4 bargaining unit [without discrimination and without regard to 5 employee organization membership]." 6 SECTION 4. Section 89-3, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 7 amended to read as follows: 8 "§89-3 Rights of employees. Employees shall have the 9 right of self-organization and the right to form, join, or 10 assist any employee organization for the purpose of bargaining 11 collectively through representatives of their own choosing on 12 questions of wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment, and to engage in lawful, concerted activities for 13 14 the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or 15 protection, free from interference, restraint, or coercion. An employee shall have the right to refrain from any or all of such 16 activities, except for having a payroll deduction equivalent to 17 18 regular dues remitted to an exclusive representative or 19 charitable organization as provided in [section] sections 89-3.5 20 and 89-4."



H.B. NO.1930

SECTION 5. Section 89-4, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
 amended to read as follows:

3 "§89-4 Payroll deductions. (a) Upon receiving from an 4 exclusive representative a written statement specifying the 5 amount of regular dues required of its members in the 6 appropriate bargaining unit, the employer shall deduct this 7 amount from the payroll of every member employee in the appropriate bargaining unit, and remit the amount to the 8 9 exclusive representative. Additionally, the employer shall 10 deduct an amount equivalent to the regular dues from the payroll 11 of every nonmember employee in the appropriate bargaining unit, 12 except for employees who object under section 89-3.5, and shall 13 remit the amount to the exclusive representative; provided that 14 the deduction from the payroll of every nonmember employee shall 15 be made only for an exclusive representative which provides for 16 a procedure for determining the amount of a refund to any 17 employee who demands the return of any part of the deduction 18 which represents the employee's pro rata share of expenditures 19 made by the exclusive representative for activities of a political and ideological nature unrelated to terms and 20 21 conditions of employment. If a nonmember employee objects to



Page 7

1 the amount to be refunded, the nonmember employee may petition 2 the board for review thereof within fifteen days after notice of 3 the refund has been received. The employer shall deduct an 4 amount equivalent to the periodic dues and initiation fees from 5 the payroll of every employee who objects under section 89-3.5 6 in a bargaining unit with a published policy that so requires 7 and shall remit the amount to the charitable organization 8 designated in writing by the employee who objects under section 9 89-3.5. If an employee organization is no longer the exclusive 10 representative of the appropriate bargaining unit, the deduction 11 from the payroll of members [and], nonmembers, and employees who 12 object under section 89-3.5 shall terminate. 13 The employer shall, upon written authorization by an (b) 14 employee, executed at any time [after the employee's joining an 15 employee organization], deduct from the payroll of the employee 16 the amount of membership dues, initiation fees, representation 17 or service fees, group insurance premiums, [and] or other association benefits, and shall remit the amount to the employee 18 organization designated by the employee. 19

20 (c) The employer shall continue all payroll assignments
21 authorized by an employee prior to July 1, 1970, and all



H.B. NO. 1930

*

| 1 | assignments authorized under subsection (b) until notification | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | is submitted by an employee to discontinue the employee's | | |
| 3 | assignments. | | |
| 4 | (d) The exclusive representative may establish: | | |
| 5 | (1) Dues, rates, or charges to support its activities and | | |
| 6 | other programs it chooses to provide to its members; | | |
| 7 | and | | |
| 8 | (2) Rates or charges for services or other programs it | | |
| 9 | chooses to provide to nonmembers and employees who | | |
| 10 | object under section 89-3.5. | | |
| 11 | (e) The public employer shall be entitled to rely on, and | | |
| 12 | shall not be liable for accurately implementing, reports of | | |
| 13 | employee deductions supplied by the exclusive representative. | | |
| 14 | Any challenge to rates or charges for services shall be within | | |
| 15 | the exclusive original jurisdiction of the board as a prohibited | | |
| 16 | practice." | | |
| 17 | SECTION 6. Section 89-8, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is | | |
| 18 | amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows: | | |
| 19 | "(a) The employee organization which has been certified by | | |
| 20 | the board as representing the majority of employees in an | | |
| 21 | appropriate bargaining unit shall be the exclusive | | |

٩



H.B. NO. 1930

1 representative of all employees in the unit. As exclusive representative, it shall have the right to act for and negotiate 2 3 agreements covering all employees in the unit and shall be 4 responsible for representing the interests of all such employees 5 without discrimination and without regard to employee 6 organization membership [-], except that in the grievance-7 arbitration procedure, it need not represent employees who do 8 not pay reasonable costs of representation. Any other provision 9 herein to the contrary notwithstanding, whenever two or more 10 employee organizations which have been duly certified by the 11 board as the exclusive representatives of employees in 12 bargaining units merge, combine, or amalgamate or enter into an 13 agreement for common administration or operation of their 14 affairs, all rights and duties of such employee organizations as 15 exclusive representatives of employees in such units shall inure 16 to and shall be discharged by the organization resulting from 17 such merger, combination, amalgamation, or agreement, either 18 alone or with such employee organizations. Election by the 19 employees in the unit involved, and certification by the board 20 of such resulting employee organization shall not be required."



4

H.B. NO. 1930

SECTION 7. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.

3 SECTION 8. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

, INTRODUCED BY:

JAN 192018



Report Title: Public Employment; Collective Bargaining

Description:

Amends collective bargaining law to ensure that exclusive bargaining representatives retain the resources necessary to adequately represent public employees and remove economic incentive to free ride.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

