

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Saturday, February 4, 2017 4:34 PM
To: TRE Testimony
Cc: bae@bomahawaii.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SB817 on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM

SB817

Submitted on: 2/4/2017

Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Sandra Bollozos Fraticelli	BOMA Hawaii	Oppose	No

Comments: Aloha Chairs Inouye and Nishihara and Members of the Committees: We are testifying on behalf of the Building Owners and Managers Association of Hawaii. BOMA Hawaii fully supports energy efficient alternatives in transportation. With a growing number of electric vehicles (EV) on the road, a marketplace need is developing for EV charging stations. BOMA supports flexibility in allowing property owners and their tenants to decide whether or not to install EV charging stations at parking facilities and opposes inflexible mandates for the provision of EV charging stations or “EV-ready” infrastructure. BOMA believes the free market will meet the growing demand and that mandates will only tend to increase costs without yielding the desired benefits. We respectfully oppose SB 817. If it advances, we request to be a part of the dialog concerning its impacts on the community and economy. It has been estimated that more than 100,000 electric vehicles are now on the road in the United States, and this is only expected to grow. As plug-in cars have become more visible on the nation’s highways and local streets, there has also been a corresponding need for electric vehicle charging stations. This emerging need is creating a marketplace demand. Many building owners have installed EV charging stations and have successfully used it as a profit center as well as an amenity to attract new business and/or new tenants. Others are reluctant to take on the cost (installation cost, lost revenue from lost parking spaces, etc.), ongoing maintenance and management responsibilities, and liability. Where building owners are able to balance the benefits and potential draw backs, and where it makes economic sense, property owners will move forward to meet the need, without federal, state or local mandates. Mahalo for the opportunity to testify.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 3:38 PM
To: TRE Testimony
Cc: mendezj@hawaii.edu
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SB817 on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM*

SB817

Submitted on: 2/3/2017

Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Javier Mendez-Alvarez	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Aloha Chair Inouye and Members of the Committee

As a BOMA member and Representative/Manager of three medical office buildings in Honolulu, please accept this testimony in opposition of SB 817.

I strongly support energy efficient transportation alternatives but oppose federal, state and/or local mandates in this regard. Building/property owners can best determine the need and number of EV stations/stalls, subject to financial feasibility and/or demand from existing tenants, potential tenants and visitors.

Building/property owners continually make economic decisions with the intent of generating value and interest in their properties. When feasible, its unlikely they would elect not to install EV stations if/when demand dictates the need.

This should be a decision made by property owners not the government.

Thank you.

Susan Hansen