

LATE TESTIMONY



46-063 Emepela Pl. #U101 Kaneohe, HI 96744 · (808) 679-7454 · Kris Coffield · Co-founder/Executive Director

TESTIMONY FOR SENATE BILL 1146, RELATING TO SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

Senate Committee on Housing
Hon. Will Espero, Chair
Hon. Breene Harimoto, Vice Chair

Senate Committee on Education
Hon. Michelle N. Kidani, Chair
Hon. Kaiali'i Kahele, Vice Chair

Thursday, February 9, 2017, 2:55 PM
State Capitol, Conference Room 225

Honorable Chair Espero, Chair Kidani, and committee members:

I am Kris Coffield, representing IMUAlliance, a nonpartisan political advocacy organization that currently boasts over 350 members. On behalf of our members, we offer this testimony with comments for Senate Bill 1146, relating to school impact fees.

We strongly support the development of affordable housing in Hawai'i. As a state, we must find a balance between the rampant real estate speculation driving up our housing and rental costs and meeting the needs of economically disadvantaged residents. Just as our homeless population has soared in recent years, so, too, has our state's cost of housing. The median price of condominiums on O'ahu increased 8.3 percent in 2016 to \$390,000, while the median price for single-family homes increased by 6.5 percent to \$735,000, according to the Honolulu Board of Realtors. Average rent for a 900-square foot apartment in Honolulu now exceeds \$2,200, with the cost of a four-bedroom home in urban Honolulu now exceeding \$1.1 million.

That said, this proposal may be unconstitutional, per two U.S. Supreme Court cases on exactions and takings, *Nollan v. California Coastal Commission* and *Dolan v. City of Tigard*. These cases require exactions—including impact fees—to bear an “essential nexus” to the reason for imposition and be “roughly proportional” to the impact of the developments on which the exaction is levied. Exempting low-income and affordable housing residents from school impact fees may, unfortunately, violate the Court's decisions, since families living in affordable housing units send children to public schools, often at a greater proportional ratio than families living outside of affordable housing, significantly increasing student capacity and facilities demand.

Additionally, we note that lawmakers, last year, passed Act 130 and Act 237, which expanded the permissible uses of school impact fees in transit oriented development zones along the urban to include renovating existing school structures, with “urban core” defined as the Kalihi to Ala Moana school impact fee district. Hawai’i Community Development Authority officials have plans to approve up to 35,000 additional residential units within a half-mile radius of the nine transit stations from Middle Street to Ala Moana. Moreover, the Hawaii Public Housing Authority is currently redeveloping four housing projects in areas close to the rail line, netting 4,000 new units. Approximately 10,000 students would be generated from the 39,000 multi-family unit build out. Hawai’i State Department of Education leaders have said that “after filling excess classroom capacity in existing schools, there would still be a need to establish facility space for slightly more than 8,500 elementary, middle school and high school students,” translating into six new elementary schools, one-and-a-half middle schools, and one-and-a-half high schools.

Currently, DOE officials estimate that revenue generated from the additional 39,000 units in the Kalihi to Ala Moana district to be \$22,736,872 in \$584-per-unit construction cost component impact fees and the equivalent of 63.5 acres of land, with a fee-in-lieu impact fee amount of \$8,790 per unit. Thus, the total all-cash fee would be \$9,374 per new unit or \$365,586,000, once the district is established by the Hawai’i State Board of Education. At public hearings on the impact fee, affordable housing advocates stated a possible need for an additional \$800,000 in rental housing revolving funds to complete already-approved affordable housing projects, if the fee is implemented. Given the clear distinction between the hundreds of millions of dollars potentially generated by the impact fees, especially in the urban core, and the publicly stated requests from affordable housing developers, we urge your committee to partner with developers in receiving additional revolving funds, rather than exempting low-income and affordable housing from impact fees altogether, which may be unconstitutional and would increase the cost of the fee for units priced just beyond low-income or affordable housing guidelines, such as workforce housing and developments aimed at individuals and families making 80 to 140 percent of area median income. We also note that both low-income and affordable housing developments sometimes contain more expensive units that serve the “moderate-income” market to increase the financial viability of the projects, and not solely units reserved for low-income and affordable housing. Exempting low-income or affordable housing developments *en masse*, then, would exempt those units within low-income or affordable housing developments that are priced beyond lower AMI levels.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify with comments on this bill.

Sincerely,
Kris Coffield
Executive Director
IMUAlliance