

# HCR 29

Measure Title: REQUESTING THE CONTRACTORS LICENSE BOARD TO EITHER ELIMINATE OR RECLASSIFY INTERIM SPECIALTY LICENSE.

Report Title: Requests the Contractors License Board to review all C-68 classified specialist classification definitions per the Hawaii Revised Statutes to provide the opportunity for public review and input.

Description:

Companion:

Package: None

Current Referral: CPH

Introducer(s): NISHIMOTO

**PRESENTATION OF THE  
CONTRACTORS LICENSE BOARD**

TO THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON  
COMMERCE, CONSUMER PROTECTION, AND HEALTH

TWENTY-NINTH LEGISLATURE  
Regular Session of 2017

Wednesday, April 12, 2017  
9:00 a.m.

**TESTIMONY ON HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 29, REQUESTING THE  
CONTRACTORS LICENSE BOARD TO EITHER ELIMINATE OR RECLASSIFY  
INTERIM SPECIALITY LICENSE.**

TO THE HONORABLE ROSALYN H. BAKER, CHAIR,  
AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE:

My name is Peter H. M. Lee, Chairperson of the Legislative Committee of the Contractors License Board ("Board"). Thank you for the opportunity to testify on House Concurrent Resolution No. 29, which requests the Board to (1) conduct a review of the C-68 classified specialist licenses and provide the opportunity for public review of classification definitions, and (2) complete the review, modification or incorporation of any or all of the C-68 classified specialist licenses, or propose and adopt a new specialty license.

The Board opposes this Resolution for the following reasons.

The proposed Resolution is unnecessary because the Board is already in the process of amending its administrative rules to establish new "C" specialty contractor classifications to encompass and reflect the various C-68 classifications. It has reviewed the existing C-68 classified specialist classifications and is currently drafting descriptions for these classifications. The Board is incorporating the C-68

classifications into existing "C" specialty classifications or establishing new "C" specialty contractor classifications to close out the existing C-68 classifications.

Accordingly, the Board respectfully requests that this resolution be held as the concerns specified in the Resolution are already being addressed by the Board.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in opposition to House Concurrent Resolution No. 29.