EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
HAWAII EMPLOYER-UNION HEALTH BENEFITS TRUST FUND
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER



WESLEY K. MACHIDA DIRECTOR

LAUREL A. JOHNSTON DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE

P.O. BOX 150 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96810-0150 ADMINISTRATIVE AND RESEARCH OFFICE BUDGET, PROGRAM PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT DIVISION FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION DIVISION OFFICE OF FEDERAL AWARDS MANAGEMENT (OFAM)

DEPT. COMM. NO.176

December 29, 2016

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi, President and Members of the Senate Twenty-Ninth State Legislature State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 The Honorable Joseph M. Souki, Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives Twenty-Ninth State Legislature State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Souki and Members of the Legislature:

For your information and consideration, I am transmitting a copy of the Direct Holdings in Sudan Scrutinized Companies 2016 report, as required by Act 192, Session Laws of Hawaii 2007. In accordance with Section 93-16, Hawaii Revised Statutes, the report may be viewed electronically at http://ers.ehawaii.gov/resources/reports-to-legislature.

Sincerely,

WESLEY K. MACHIDA

Director of Finance

Enclosure

c: Legislative Reference Bureau

ec: Governor's Office: Gov.ReportsDistribution@hawaii.gov
Lieutenant Governor's Office: LtGov.ReportsDistribution@hawaii.gov
Legislative Auditor: auditors2@auditor.state.hi.us

Department of Budget and Finance: <u>DBFLeg.DIR@hawaii.gov</u>

EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE STATE OF HAWAII DIRECT HOLDINGS IN SUDAN SCRUTINIZED COMPANIES 2016

Act 192, Session Laws of Hawaii 2007, expresses the State's desire to not participate in ownership of companies that provide significant practical support for genocide activities being conducted by the Sudanese government in the Darfur region.

The Board of Trustees of the Employees' Retirement System recognizes the intent of Act 192 and will abide by its requirements. The Board, however, must also apply a decision framework to act for the exclusive benefit of ERS Plan participants. In this respect, the Board recognized that divestment activities could potentially increase the portfolio's idiosyncratic investment risk. Divestment guidelines and procedures, codified in the ERS' *Sudan Investment Policy* ("Policy"), were therefore developed to minimize the impact of the Sudan divestment policy upon the investment results of the ERS portfolio. The Sudan divestment policy is intended to also avoid:

- Discriminating against companies whose Sudan-related business activities are supported by the U.S. government;
- Discriminating against companies whose Sudan-related business activities do not support genocide activities;
- Unnecessarily harming U.S. companies and jobs; and
- Compromising the Board of Trustees' duties to the beneficiaries of the ERS.

The ERS was required to make its best efforts to identify all of its direct holdings in scrutinized companies within 180 days after July 1, 2007. Those efforts were to include:

- Reviewing publicly available information regarding companies with business operations in Sudan provided by nonprofit organizations and other appropriate parties:
- Contacting ERS' asset managers with investments in scrutinized companies; and
- Contacting other institutional investors that have divested from or engaged with companies that have business operations in Sudan.

Each year thereafter, Act 192 requires the ERS to provide to the legislature a publicly-available report that includes activity under section 4, to include: 1) A summary or correspondence with companies engaged by the public fund; 2) All investments sold, redeemed, divested, or withdrawn; 3) All prohibited investments; and, 4) Any progress made. The ERS respectfully reports all pertinent activity in 2015 related to Sudan Scrutinized Companies as outlined in Act 192.

The ERS relied on the *Sudan Company Report* prepared by the EIRIS Conflict Risk Network (CRN) to determine "scrutinized companies," that certain business activities in Sudan may determine their status as a "highest offender." Highest offenders are subject to possible divestment in accordance with the Policy.

Before taking any action against the company, the ERS Board considers any additional information they may provide. The ERS will send a letter to the scrutinized company to inform them of their Sudan-related activities, and encourage them to cease their scrutinized active business operations within 90 days. If the company continues to have scrutinized active business operations after ninety days following the first engagement by ERS, the Board will consider divestment or other corrective actions to the extent possible with due consideration from among other things, return on investment, diversification, and the ERS' other legal obligations. Failure to respond to the ERS letter may lead to divestment action.

In 2016, there were no identified "scrutinized companies" held in the ERS portfolio.