

**TESTIMONY OF THE
COMMISSION TO PROMOTE UNIFORM LEGISLATION**

on S.B. NO. 2408

RELATING TO PARTITION OF HEIRS PROPERTY

**BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON
JUDICIARY AND LABOR**

DATE: Friday, February 26, 2016, at 10:00 a.m.
Conference Room 016, State Capitol

WRITTEN TESTIMONY ONLY: For more information, please contact Lani Ewart,
Commissioner, Commission to Promote Uniform Legislation, at 547-5600

To Chair Keith-Agaran, Vice Chair Shimabukuro, and Members of the Committee:

My name is Lani Ewart, and I am submitting this testimony on behalf of the Commission to Promote Uniform Legislation (the “Commission”), regarding S.B. No. 2408, Relating to **PARTITION OF HEIRS PROPERTY**.

The Uniform Law Commission, a national organization including members of the Commission, promulgated the **Uniform Partition of Heirs Property Act** (the “Act”) in 2010 to provide a fair, common-sense solution to the risks posed to those who own “heirs property”. Overall, the Act provides cotenants with many of the protections and rights commonly found in private agreements governing the partition of tenancy-in-common property. The Act does not displace existing partition law for non-heirs property, it does not prohibit a party from petitioning for a partition by sale, and it does not apply to situations where all the cotenants have a written agreement relating to partitioning their property.

“Heirs property” is defined in the Act as real property that is held under a tenancy in common in which there is no binding agreement among the cotenants governing partition of the property. Additionally, one or more of the cotenants must have acquired title from a relative, and one of the following conditions must be true:

- 20% or more of the interests are held by cotenants who are relatives; or
- 20% or more of the interests are held by an individual who acquired title from a

TESTIMONY of CPUL on S.B. 2408
Relating to Partition of Heirs Property
Senate Judiciary and Labor 2-26-16

relative; or

- 20% or more of the cotenants themselves are relatives.

If heirs property is the subject of the partition action, the Act uses a 5-step process to ensure all owners of heirs property are treated fairly when one or more cotenants wish to sell their share:

1. The cotenant requesting the partition must give notice to all of the other cotenants.
2. The court must order an appraisal to determine the property's fair market value. If any cotenant objects to the appraised value, the court must hold a hearing to consider other evidence.
3. Any cotenant (except the cotenant who requests partition) may buy the interest of the selling cotenant at the court-determined fair market value. The cotenants have 45 days to exercise their right of first refusal, and if exercised, another 60 days in which to arrange for financing.
4. If no cotenant elects to purchase the selling cotenant's share, the court must order a partition-in-kind, unless the court determines that partition-in-kind will result in great prejudice to the co-tenants as a group. The Act specifies the factors a court must consider when determining whether partition-in-kind is appropriate.
5. If partition-in-kind is not appropriate and the court orders a partition-by-sale, the property must be offered for sale on the open market at a price no lower than the court-determined value, for a reasonable period of time and in a commercially reasonable manner. If an open market sale is unsuccessful or the court determines that a sale by sealed bids or by auction would be more economically advantageous for the cotenants as a group, the court may order a sale by one of those methods.

In summary, the Act preserves the right of a cotenant to sell his or her interest in inherited real estate, while ensuring that the other cotenants will have the necessary due process to prevent a forced sale: notice, appraisal, and right of first refusal. If the other cotenants do not exercise their right to purchase property from the seller, the court must order a partition-in-kind if feasible, and if not, a commercially reasonable sale for fair market value.

TESTIMONY of CPUL on S.B. 2408
Relating to Partition of Heirs Property
Senate Judiciary and Labor 2-26-16

The Act has been enacted in six states: Nevada, Alabama, Georgia, Montana, Arkansas and Connecticut. So far this year, the Act has also been introduced in the legislatures of South Carolina and West Virginia.

Although the Commission supports the Act, the Commission has concerns regarding S. B. No. 2408, because certain revisions have been made in this bill which are inconsistent with the intention of the Act as originally proposed by the Uniform Law Commission. For example, one revision requires that a motion be made by a party before heirs property procedures are applicable to a partition action. That appears to go against the heart of the Act. Because the defending family members in partition actions often cannot afford and do not have counsel and may not understand what rights they have under the partition action, the Act was purposely drafted so that the court should determine, as a jurisdictional matter, whether the property in question is heirs property.

The Commission has had discussions with attorneys and others, including the Judiciary, who have expressed reservations about various provisions of the Act and the additional time and costs which may be incurred under its process. The Commission believes it would be beneficial to all parties to clarify some of the procedures required under partition actions involving heirs property and would like to have additional time to work with interested parties to reach an agreement as to an acceptable bill. Since the Act has recently become law in several states, helpful information may soon be available regarding how other courts and attorneys have addressed the concerns raised in Hawaii.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this testimony regarding S. B. No. 2408.