# **SB2408**

Measure Title: RELATING TO PARTITION OF HEIRS PROPERTY.

Real Property; Partition; Heirs Property; Uniform Partition of Heirs Property Report Title:

Act

Adopts Uniform Partition of Heirs Property Act. Establishes procedures and

remedies for use in actions for partition of real property involving heirs Description: property (real property held in tenancy in common that meets certain

requirements). Effective 1/1/17.

Companion:

Package: None

Current Referral: CPH, JDL

KEITH-AGARAN, ENGLISH, GALUTERIA, KIDANI, SHIMABUKURO, Nishihara, Introducer(s):

Wakai

### TESTIMONY OF THE COMMISSION TO PROMOTE UNIFORM LEGISLATION

### ON S.B. NO. 2408

#### RELATING TO PARTITION OF HEIRS PROPERTY

## BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, CONSUMER PROTECTION & HEALTH

**DATE:** Monday, February 1, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.

Conference Room 229, State Capitol

**WRITTEN TESTIMONY ONLY:** For more information, please contact Lani Ewart, Commissioner, Commission to Promote Uniform Legislation, at 547-5600

To Chair Baker, Vice Chair Kidani, and Members of the Committee:

My name is Lani Ewart, and I am submitting this testimony on behalf of the Commission to Promote Uniform Legislation (the "Commission"), regarding S.B. No. 2408, Relating to **PARTITION OF HEIRS PROPERTY.** The Commission takes no position on this measure but submits the following comments.

The Uniform Law Commission, a national organization involving members of the Commission, promulgated the **Uniform Partition of Heirs Property Act** (the "Act") in 2010 to provide a fair, common-sense solution to the risks posed to those who own "heirs property". The Act establishes a hierarchy of remedies which are designed to protect a family's property holdings and their real property wealth to the extent practicable for partition actions involving heirs property. Overall, the Act provides cotenants with many of the protections and rights commonly found in private agreements governing the partition of tenancy-in-common property. The Act does <u>not</u> displace existing partition law for non-heirs property, it does <u>not</u> prohibit a party from petitioning for a partition by sale, and it does <u>not</u> apply to situations where all the cotenants have a written agreement relating to partitioning their property.

"Heirs property" is defined in the Act as real property that is held under a tenancy in common in which there is no binding agreement among the cotenants governing partition of the property. Additionally, one or more of the cotenants must have acquired title from a relative, and

TESTIMONY of CPUL on S.B. 2408
Relating to Partition of Heirs Property
Senate Commerce, Consumer Protection & Health 2-1-16

one of the following conditions must be true:

- 20% or more of the interests are held by cotenants who are relatives; or
- 20% or more of the interests are held by an individual who acquired title from a relative; or
- 20% or more of the cotenants themselves are relatives.

In a tenancy-in-common, any cotenant may sell his or her interest without the consent of the other cotenants, making it easy for non-family members – including real estate speculators – to acquire an interest in the property. In a tenancy-in-common, any cotenant may file an action with the court to partition the property. In resolving a partition action, the court has two main remedies available: partition-in-kind or partition-by-sale. A partition-in-kind physically divides the property into shares of equal value and gives each cotenant full ownership of an individual share. However, if the cotenants cannot agree on parcels of equal value, the court will often order a partition-by-sale, whereby the property is sold as a single parcel and the cash distributed to the cotenants in equal shares. In many cases of heirs property, the partition-by-sale resulting from a court action initiated by a non-family cotenant often brings a price well below the market value and the family members lose their most valuable asset.

If heirs property is the subject of the partition action, the Act uses a 5-step process to ensure <u>all</u> owners of heirs property are treated fairly when one or more cotenants wish to sell their share:

- 1. The cotenant requesting the partition must give notice to all of the other cotenants.
- 2. The court must order an appraisal to determine the property's fair market value. If any cotenant objects to the appraised value, the court must hold a hearing to consider other evidence.
- 3. Any cotenant (except the cotenant who requests partition) may buy the interest of the selling cotenant at the court-determined fair market value. The cotenants have 45 days to exercise their right of first refusal, and if exercised, another 60 days in which to arrange for financing. If more than one cotenant elects to buy the selling cotenant's share, the court will prorate the seller's share among the buyers according to their

TESTIMONY of CPUL on S.B. 2408 Relating to Partition of Heirs Property Senate Commerce, Consumer Protection & Health 2-1-16

existing fractional ownership percentages.

- 4. If no cotenant elects to purchase the selling cotenant's share, the court must order a partition-in-kind, unless the court determines that partition-in-kind will result in great prejudice to the co-tenants as a group. The Act specifies the factors a court must consider when determining whether partition-in-kind is appropriate.
- 5. If partition-in-kind is not appropriate and the court orders a partition-by-sale, the property must be offered for sale on the open market at a price no lower than the court-determined value for a reasonable period of time and in a commercially reasonable manner. If an open market sale is unsuccessful or the court determines that a sale by sealed bids or by auction would be more economically advantageous for the cotenants as a group, the court may order a sale by one of those methods.

In summary, the Act preserves the right of a cotenant to sell his or her interest in inherited real estate, while ensuring that the other cotenants will have the necessary due process to prevent a forced sale: notice, appraisal, and right of first refusal. If the other cotenants do not exercise their right to purchase property from the seller, the court must order a partition-in-kind if feasible, and if not, a commercially reasonable sale for fair market value.

The Act has been enacted in six states: Nevada, Alabama, Georgia, Montana, Arkansas and Connecticut. So far this year, the Act has also been introduced in the legislatures of South Carolina and West Virginia.

The Commission notes that in S. B. No. 2408 certain revisions have been made to the Act as originally proposed by the Uniform Laws Commission. For example, one revision requires that a motion to be made by a party before heirs property procedures are applicable to a partition action and that appears to go against the heart of the Act. Because the defending family members in partition actions often cannot afford and do not have counsel and may not understand what rights they have under the partition action, the Act was purposely drafted so that the court should determine, as a jurisdictional matter, whether the property in question is heirs property. The Commission has had discussions with attorneys and others, including the Judiciary, who have expressed some reservations about various provisions of the Act and the additional time and

TESTIMONY of CPUL on S.B. 2408 Relating to Partition of Heirs Property Senate Commerce, Consumer Protection & Health 2-1-16

costs which may incurred under the Act. The Commission understands that there is a desire to clarify some of the procedures required under partition actions involving heirs property and will continue to work with interested parties to reach an agreement as to an acceptable bill. Since the Act has recently become law in several states, there may soon be additional information regarding how other courts and attorneys have addressed the concerns raised in Hawaii.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this testimony regarding S. B. No. 2408.