

DAVID Y. IGE  
GOVERNOR

SHAN TSUTSUI  
LT. GOVERNOR



MARIA E. ZIELINSKI  
DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

STATE OF HAWAII  
**DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION**  
P.O. BOX 259  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809  
PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540  
FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

To: The Honorable Sylvia Luke, Chair  
and Members of the House Committee on Finance

Date: Wednesday, February 25, 2015  
Time: 2:45 P.M.  
Place: Conference Room 308, State Capitol

From: Maria E. Zielinski, Director  
Department of Taxation

Re: H.B. 1454, H.D.1, Relating to Taxation

The Department of Taxation (Department) appreciates the intent of H.B. 1454, H.D.1 and provides the following comments for your consideration.

H.B. 1454, H.D.1 establishes a nonrefundable income tax credit for taxpayers who incur certain expenses for manufacturing products in Hawaii. Specifically, this measure would provide a nonrefundable income tax credit of 20 percent of the costs incurred to purchase equipment to be used in the manufacture of tangible personal property in the State and the costs incurred to train employees in the manufacturing process, up to a maximum credit of \$200,000 per taxpayer per year. The tax credit, as proposed, applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015, and is repealed on January 1, 2023, and is effective upon approval.

The Department defers to the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism on the merits of this measure.

The Department appreciates that the House Committee on Economic Development and Tourism added language to address the Department's concerns over the original version of this measure. For greater clarity, however, the Department recommends that subsection (b) be amended further, as follows:

- (b) The amount of the tax credit allowed under subsection (a) shall be twenty per cent of the qualified manufacturing costs incurred during the taxable year; provided that:
- (1) The total credit claimed per taxpayer shall not exceed \$200,000;
  - (2) The qualified manufacturing costs qualify for a deduction under section 167 (with respect to

- depreciation) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended; and
- (3) The qualified manufacturing costs do not include any costs related to the production of electricity or to the production of professional and personal services.

The Department also suggests that "qualified manufacturing costs" be amended further, as follows:

"Qualified manufacturing costs" means expenditures for:

- (1) Costs incurred to purchase equipment to be used by the taxpayer in manufacturing tangible personal property in the State and which is placed in service by the taxpayer within one year after the date of purchase, and further provided that the credit under this section has not been previously claimed by any taxpayer in this State on such equipment; and
- (2) Reasonable and necessary costs incurred to train employees to manufacture tangible personal property in the State."

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments.



# DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TOURISM

DAVID Y. IGE  
GOVERNOR

LUIS P. SALAVERIA  
DIRECTOR

MARY ALICE EVANS  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

No. 1 Capitol District Building, 250 South Hotel Street, 5th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804  
Web site: [www.hawaii.gov/dbedt](http://www.hawaii.gov/dbedt)

Telephone: (808) 586-2355  
Fax: (808) 586-2377

Statement of  
**LUIS P. SALAVERIA**  
**Director**  
Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism  
before the  
**HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**

Wednesday, February 25, 2015  
2:45 PM  
State Capitol, Conference Room 308

in consideration of  
**HB 1454, HD1**  
**RELATING TO TAXATION.**

Chair Luke, Vice-Chair Nishimoto and Members of the Finance Committee. The Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism (DBEDT) supports this bill provided that its passage does not replace or adversely impact priorities indicated in the Executive Budget.

The establishment of an income tax credit for taxpayers who incur certain expenses for manufacturing can encourage more manufacturing in the State. Currently, Hawaii imports ninety percent of the products consumed each year. Increased manufacturing can substitute the volume of imports from outside the State. In addition, manufacturing can play an important role in diversifying the State's economy and providing well-paid skilled jobs for Hawaii residents.

We defer to the Department of Taxation and Department of Budget and Finance on the fiscal impact of this bill.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Written Statement of  
**ROBBIE MELTON**  
**Executive Director & CEO**  
High Technology Development Corporation  
before the  
**HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**  
Wednesday, February 25, 2015  
2:45 p.m.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 308  
In consideration of

**HB1454 HD1 RELATING TO TAXATION.**

Chair Luke, Vice Chair Nishimoto, and Members of the Committee on Finance.

The High Technology Development Corporation (HTDC) **supports the intent of** HB1454 HD1 relating to establishing an income tax credit for taxpayers who incur certain expenses for manufacturing products in Hawaii.

HTDC supports local manufacturers through our federally funded INNOVATE Hawaii program. The manufacturing industry provides export and import substitution opportunities that improve our economy and has been identified nationally as a key driver for innovation. Hawaii manufacturers currently receive limited support from the state while facing the challenges of high energy and shipping costs. The tax credit can assist to make the manufacturers more competitive.

As part of our 80/80 vision to create 80,000 new innovation and creative jobs paying \$80,000 or more by 2030, HTDC supports incentives for manufacturers to improve their capacity to reduce imports and increase exports. SB1001SD1 alternatively proposes to offer benefits through a loan program administered by HTDC. The loan program would provide a financing option for manufacturers to expand capacity while offering discretionary oversight to award projects that show potential for growing the Hawaii economy and an opportunity to recapture some of the cost of the program. On the other hand, a tax credit program can be easy to understand and can follow the model from other industries. HTDC prefers the loan program, but would be supportive of either. HTDC defers to the appropriate departments regarding the impact of administering the tax credit.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer these comments.

# TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: INCOME, Manufacturing tax credit

BILL NUMBER: HB 1454, HD-1

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Economic Development and Business

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:** Establishes an income tax credit of 20% of qualified manufacturing costs incurred in a taxable year not to exceed \$200,000. The adoption of this credit would result in a subsidy of state funds. Lawmakers should consider the criteria established by the 2001-2003 Tax Review Commission (discussed below) before enacting this or any other new business incentive that operates through the tax system.

**BRIEF SUMMARY:** Adds a new section to HRS chapter 235 to allow taxpayers to claim an income tax credit of 20% of the qualified manufacturing costs incurred in a taxable year provided that the total credit claimed per taxpayer shall not exceed \$200,000; provided that such costs qualify for a deduction under section 167 (with respect to depreciation) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, and the costs do not include any costs related to the production of energy.

In the case of a partnership, S corporation, estate, or trust the tax credit allowable is for qualified manufacturing costs incurred by the entity for the taxable year. If a deduction is taken under IRC section 179 (with respect to election to expense depreciable business assets), no credit shall be allowed for that portion for which the deduction is taken. The basis of eligible property for depreciation or accelerated cost recovery system purposes for state income tax purposes shall be reduced by the amount of credits allowable and claimed.

Credits in excess of a taxpayer's income tax liability shall be applied to subsequent tax liability until exhausted. Claims for the credit, including any amended claims, must be filed on or before the end of the twelfth month following the close of the taxable year. The director of taxation may adopt rules pursuant to HRS chapter 91 and prepare the necessary forms to claim the credit and may require proof of the claim for the credit. Requires the director of business, economic development, and tourism (DBEDT) to: (1) maintain records of the total amount of the qualified manufacturing costs for each taxpayer claiming the credit; (2) obtain information on self-verified qualified manufacturing costs claimed; (3) total all qualified manufacturing costs claimed; and (4) certify the total amount of the tax credit for each taxable year. DBEDT shall issue a certificate to the taxpayer verifying the qualified manufacturing costs and the credit amount certified for each taxable year. Requires the taxpayer to file the certificate with the taxpayer's tax return with the department of taxation.

Defines "qualified manufacturing costs" as costs incurred to: (1) purchase equipment to be used in manufacturing tangible personal property in the state that is placed in service within one year after the date of purchase and the credit has not been previously claimed on such equipment; and (2) train employees to manufacture tangible personal property in the state; provided that tangible personal property shall not include professional or personal services.

This act shall be repealed on January 1, 2023.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Tax years beginning after December 31, 2015

STAFF COMMENTS: It appears that this measure is intended to provide an incentive in the form of an income tax credit to encourage manufacturing in the state. It should be noted that tax credits generally are designed to reduce the tax burdens of certain groups by refunding a portion of taxes paid on purchases of essential items and services. This credit of 20% of qualified manufacturing costs amounts to nothing more than a subsidy of state funds as there is no obvious undue burden of taxes.

It should be remembered that the 2001-2003 Tax Review Commission set forth recommended requirements for new tax incentives such as this one:

(I) *Cost-benefit studies.* Cost-benefit studies should be required prior to inaugurating new or revised tax credit programs. Policy makers should use only those programs with quantifiable and demonstrable benefits over costs. Such costs and benefits should not only look at fiscal and economic effects, but should examine social ones as well.

(ii) *Periodic evaluations* of all tax incentive programs should be required.

(iii) *Truth and disclosure reporting* separate and apart from a taxpayer's tax returns should generally be required of all taxpayers benefitting from tax incentive programs, making public all aspects of these subsidies for private investment.

(iv) *Strategic planning.* Embed tax incentives in strategic plans, leveraging as much of the State's scarce resources as possible. Rather than promoting diverse incentives in search of a cohesive strategy, the State should employ only incentives that make strategic sense.

(v) *Public participation.* Encourage public participation in and comment on tax incentive use to foster public accountability. There should at least be as much public discussion over generous multi-million dollar business incentive tax credits as there is over \$50,000 renovations to school libraries.

(vi) *Sunset provisions* should be required to ensure that the above processes will be implemented before an incentive can be extended. It should be demonstrated to the Legislature that the targeted benefit to the State was in fact received, what the tax cost of that benefit was, and whether the continuation of the tax incentive is appropriate and necessary.

(vii) *Enforcement.* Given the magnitude and the complexity of these business incentive tax credits, the small chance of audit, ambiguous statutory requirements as to what can be claimed as a credit, there must be legislative oversight of these credits. In addition, the Department of Taxation must be given sufficient resources to police these credits.

If lawmakers are inclined to enact this credit, amendments should be considered addressing the criteria set forth above.

Digested 2/24/15



# Chamber of Commerce HAWAII

*The Voice of Business*

**Testimony to the House Committee on Finance  
Wednesday, February 25, 2015 at 2:45 P.M.  
Conference Room 308, State Capitol**

**RE: HOUSE BILL 1454 HD1 RELATING TO TAXATION**

Chair Luke, Vice Chair Nishimoto, and Members of the Committee:

The Chamber of Commerce of Hawaii ("The Chamber") **strongly supports** HB 1454 HD1, which establishes an income tax credit for taxpayers who incur certain expenses for manufacturing products in Hawaii and applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015 and sunsets January 1, 2023.

The Chamber is the largest business organization in Hawaii, representing about 1,000 businesses. Approximately 80% of our members are small businesses with less than 20 employees. As the "Voice of Business" in Hawaii, the organization works on behalf of members and the entire business community to improve the state's economic climate and to foster positive action on issues of common concern.

The Chamber supports this bill as part of its economic development package. There are approximately 1,000 active manufacturers in the state that employ almost 17,000 people at an average compensation rate of \$42,896 or over \$6,000 more than the average private non-farm employee. Manufacturers helped Hawaii's economy by contributing nearly \$570 million in manufactured goods exported in 2012.

The bill gives a 20% tax credit to companies on their expenditures for manufacturing equipment and the training of their employees. We believe that this credit will help fuel manufacturing in Hawaii to grow and provide more products for both local consumption and export. There is movement to begin to manufacture more back in the U.S. At the same time Hawaii still has some competitive disadvantages. This credit will help companies in Hawaii to start or grow their operations.

According to the National Association of Manufacturers, every new manufacturing job created adds another 1.6 jobs to the local service economy, and for every dollar in manufacturing sales, another \$1.34 is added to the economy. Investments in manufacturing have a stronger impact than investments in most other economic sectors.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this matter.



Executive Officers:  
John Schilf, RSM Hawaii - Chairperson  
Derek Kurisu, KTA Superstores - Vice Chair  
Lisa DeCoito, Aloha Petroleum - Treasurer  
John Erickson, Frito-Lay - Secretary  
Lauren Zirbel, Executive Director

---

1050 Bishop St. PMB 235  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
Fax : 808-791-0702  
Telephone : 808-533-1292

TO:  
COMMITTEE ON FINANCE  
Representative Sylvia Luke, Chair  
Representative Scott Y. Nishimoto, Vice Chair

FROM: HAWAII FOOD INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION  
Lauren Zirbel, Executive Director

DATE: February 25, 2015  
TIME: 2:45pm  
PLACE: Conference Room 308

RE: HB1454

Position: Support

The Hawaii Food Industry Association is comprised of two hundred member companies representing retailers, suppliers, producers, and distributors of food and beverage related products in the State of Hawaii.

The tax credit proposed in this bill would help grow and diversify our economy, keep more dollars in Hawaii, decrease our dependence on imports, and grow and strengthen the Made in Hawaii brand.

Research has shown that a diversified economy and a business friendly tax climate are both key factors in keeping unemployment low. This bill could allow current manufacturers in our state to grow their businesses and can open the door for even more production here in Hawaii. This means more jobs and a stronger economy for the entire state.

Increasing manufacturing will also mean we are less reliant on imported goods. We currently import over 90% of the products we use here in the state. This situation leaves us vulnerable to any supply chain interruptions, adds shipping cost to almost all goods, and sends millions of dollars a year out of the state. Importing less and making more can have wide reaching positive impacts for everyone from the manufacturers to the consumers.

This bill will also open the door for more products to earn the distinction of being Made in Hawaii. The products we currently make here in the state have a worldwide reputation for quality and excellence. There is strong demand both in and out of the state for more products of that high caliber, and this bill can help grow our manufacturing industry to meet that demand.

For these reasons we ask that you please vote yes on this measure. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.





# Meadow Gold Dairies



HB 1454hd1, Relating to Taxation  
House FIN Committee  
Wednesday, February 25, 2015 – Agenda 2  
2:45 pm, Room 308  
Written Testimony By: Glenn Muranaka

Position: Support

Chair Luke and Members of the House FIN Committee:

My name is Glenn Muranaka, President and General Manager of Meadow Gold Dairies. Our company has been in Hawaii since 1897—118 years, providing Hawaii consumers with a variety of milk products and juices. Meadow Gold’s long history has not come without effort. We continually adapt to our customers’ and consumers’ ever-changing needs, and we constantly evolve along with our industry, our community and our market. Over the years, this has required that we struggle, tighten our belts, innovate and work extremely hard, making us a better company in the process. The foundation of this work rests with the 330 employees that are committed to providing superior quality products.

We appreciate the continuing discussion about providing Hawaii manufacturers a temporary tax credit for qualified manufacturing costs. There are approximately 1000 active manufacturers in the state that employ almost 17,000 people at an average compensation rate of \$42,896 or over \$6,000 more than the average private non-farm employee. Manufacturers helped Hawaii’s economy by contributing nearly \$570 million in manufactured goods exported in 2012.

The proposed temporary tax credit for the specific purposes of manufacturing tangible personal property, excluding the production of electricity, and to train employees to manufacture such tangible personal property will help fuel manufacturing in Hawaii to grow and provide more products for both local consumption and export. There is movement to begin to manufacture more in the continental United States. At the same time, Hawaii still has some competitive disadvantages. This program will help companies in Hawaii start or grow their operations.

Your support of this measure is appreciated. Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony.



**KYD, Inc. dba k. yamada distributors**

*An independent leader in packaging and wholesale distribution*

P.O. BOX 29669, Honolulu, Hawaii 96820 Phone: (808) 836-3221 Fax: (808) 833-8995

HB 1454hd1, Relating to Taxation  
House FIN Committee – Agenda 2 Wednesday, February 25, 2015  
2:45 pm - Room 308  
Written Testimony by: Dexter Yamada  
Position: Support

Chair Luke and Members of the House FIN Committee:

I am Dexter Yamada, President of KYD, Inc. dba: K. Yamada Distributors. KYD, Inc. is a local family run business that originated in the 1940's as a florist and florist supply distributor, and in 1958, evolved into a packaging company. Today, KYD, Inc and its sister company, Hawaii Foam Products, LLC, employ about 90 to 100 employees and contribute to Hawaii's economy through taxes and payroll. Our companies manufacture packaging materials such as food-grade EPS (Expanded Polystyrene) food containers, and distribute a variety of supplies, to include compostable containers, for food processors, food establishments, supermarkets, hotels hospitals and other institutions.

We appreciate this measure that supports Hawaii's manufacturing industry, and appreciate the Legislature's ongoing discussion. **We offer the following suggestion for consideration:**

Definition of "Qualified manufacturing costs means expenditures for: (1) Costs incurred to purchase or to modify equipment to be used by the taxpayer in manufacturing tangible personal property in the State and that is placed in service within one year after the date of purchase or modification; provided that the credit under this section has not been previously claimed by the taxpayer in this State on such equipment; and ...."

Allowing modification of existing equipment to manufacture new types of products provide cost-efficiencies instead of the purchase of new equipment.

Locally manufactured products contribute to import replacement, and help with local job creation. The proposed temporary tax credit for expenditures to be used in manufacturing tangible personal property in the State and for costs incurred to train employees to manufacture tangible personal property in the State will be of help to local manufacturing businesses. This in turn, lends to greater efficiency and reduction in the cost of products to customers.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.



**LATE**

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 10:11 PM  
To: FINTestimony  
Cc: amybrinker@mac.com  
Subject: \*Submitted testimony for HB1454 on Feb 25, 2015 14:45PM\*

**HB1454**

Submitted on: 2/24/2015


Testimony for FIN on Feb 25, 2015 14:45PM in Conference Room 308

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Amy Brinker	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)



OUR BUSINESS IS MAUI BUSINESS

**TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF HB1454 HD1  
RELATING TO TAXATION**

TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Hawaii State Capitol, Conference Room 308  
February 25, 2015  
2:45PM

**LATE**

Dear Chair Luke, Vice Chair Nishimoto, and Members of the Committee:

The Maui Chamber of Commerce strongly supports HB 1454 HD1, which establishes an income tax credit for taxpayers who incur certain expenses for manufacturing products in Hawaii. Our organization works on behalf of members and the entire business community to improve the state's economic climate and to foster positive action on issues of common concern.

The Maui Chamber supports this bill as part of the Chamber of Commerce of Hawaii's economic development package. There are approximately 1,000 active manufacturers in the state that employ almost 17,000 people at an average compensation rate of \$42,896 or over \$6,000 more than the average private non-farm employee. Manufacturers helped Hawaii's economy by contributing nearly \$570 million in manufactured goods exported in 2012.

The bill gives a 20% tax credit to companies on their expenditures for manufacturing equipment and the training of their employees. We believe that this credit will help fuel manufacturing in Hawaii to grow and provide more products for both local consumption and export. There is movement to begin to manufacture more back in the U.S., but Hawaii still has some competitive disadvantages. This credit will help companies in Hawaii to start or grow their operations.

According to the National Association of Manufacturers, every new manufacturing job created adds another 1.6 jobs to the local service economy, and for every dollar in manufacturing sales, another \$1.34 is added to the economy. Investments in manufacturing have a stronger impact than investments in most other economic sectors. This is an important investment in our economic future.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of HB1454.

Sincerely,

Pamela Tumpap  
President