

### A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. Section 321-20, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is

2 amended to read as follows:

3 "[+] \$321-20[+] Remedies. Notwithstanding other penalties,

4 the director may enforce this chapter in either administrative

or judicial proceedings:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

(1) Administrative. If the director determines that any person is violating any provision of this chapter, any rule adopted thereunder, or any variance or exemption or waiver issued pursuant thereto, the director may have that person served with a notice of violation and an order. The notice shall specify the alleged violation. The order may require that the alleged violator do any or all of the following: cease and desist from the violation, pay an administrative penalty not to exceed [\$\frac{\frac

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

**10** 

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## 4.B. NO. 2309

the charges complained of. The order shall become final twenty days after service unless within those twenty days the alleged violator requests in writing a hearing before the director. Upon such request the director shall specify a time and place for the alleged violator to appear. When the director issues an order for immediate action to protect the public health from an imminent and substantial danger, the department shall provide an opportunity for a hearing within twenty-four hours after service of the order. After a hearing pursuant to this subsection, the director may affirm, modify, or rescind the order as appropriate. The director may institute a civil action in any court of appropriate jurisdiction for the enforcement of any order issued pursuant to this subsection.

Factors to be considered in imposing the administrative penalty include the nature and history of the violation and any prior violation and the opportunity, difficulty, and history of corrective action. It is presumed that the violator's economic and financial conditions allow payment of the penalty and the burden of proof to the contrary is on the

# # .B. NO. 2309

1	violator. In any judicial proceeding to enforce the
2	administrative penalty imposed pursuant to this
3	chapter, the director need only show that notice was
4	given, a hearing was held or the time granted for
5	requesting a hearing had expired without such a
6	request, the administrative penalty imposed, and that
7	the penalty imposed remains unsatisfied.
8	This section does not supersede specific
9	administrative penalties provided elsewhere.
10	(2) Judicial. The director may institute a civil action
11	in any court of appropriate jurisdiction for
12	injunctive relief to prevent violation of any order
13	issued or rule adopted pursuant to this chapter, in
14	addition to any other remedy or penalty provided for
15	under this chapter."
16	SECTION 2. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
17	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
18	SECTION 3. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
19	Charle.
20	INTRODUCED BY:
21	BY REQUEST
22	JAN 2 1 2014

## H.B. NO. 2309

### Report Title:

Administrative Penalties

### Description:

Increases from \$100 per day to a maximum of \$10,000 per day fines levied for violations of sanitation laws and rules for establishments required to undergo sanitation inspections by the Department of Health. The increase in sanitation penalties establishes parity with existing food adulteration penalties.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

### JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DEPARTMENT:

Health

TITLE:

A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO ADMINISTRATIVE

PENALTIES.

illnesses.

PURPOSE:

To increase the administrative penalty for violations of chapter 321, Hawaii Revised Statutes, from not to exceed \$1,000 for each day of violation to not to exceed \$10,000

for each day of violation.

MEANS:

Amend section 321-20, HRS.

JUSTIFICATION:

In 1985 the Legislature set the administrative penalty for violations of chapter 321-20 at not to exceed \$1,000 for each day of violation. This section applies to the penalties for violations of the Department of Health's (DOH) administrative rules regulating food establishments, among other rules and statutory provisions. the present economy, a \$1,000 per day violation does not have the impact needed to force corrections of violations that may lead to fatalities, extensive hospitalization, permanent physical damage and undue pain and suffering. facilities may open without the necessary inspections and food permits to safe-quard public health due to the profits that can easily be made above the existing maximum penalties of \$1,000 per day. High-end restaurants that charge over \$100 per meal know they can generate ten times that amount daily when open. The increase in the penalty will provide the DOH the necessary impetus to force food facility owners to avoid violations of the food rules that may directly lead to injurious food borne

Impact on the public: No impact on the

public is anticipated.

Impact on the department and other

agencies: No impact on other agencies is

anticipated.

GENERAL FUND:

Revenues may increase due to higher

penalties levied.

OTHER FUNDS:

None.

PPBS PROGRAM

DESIGNATION:

None.

OTHER AFFECTED

AGENCIES:

None.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Upon approval.