

# SR121

Measure Title: REQUESTING THE GOVERNOR TO ESTABLISH A WORKING GROUP TO DEVELOP A CONSERVATION ACTION PLAN TO EXPLORE AND IDENTIFY CONSERVATION ALTERNATIVES FOR THE UNDEVELOPED PORTIONS OF THE TURTLE BAY HOTEL AND RESORT PROPERTY AND SURROUNDING LANDS WITH CONSERVATION OR HISTORIC VALUE.

Report Title: Turtle Bay; Land Conservation; Working Group

Description:

Companion:

Package: None

Current Referral: WTL/JDL

Introducer(s): HEE

<u>Sort by Date</u>		<b>Status Text</b>
3/13/2013	S	Offered.
3/19/2013	S	Referred to WTL, JDL.
3/25/2013	S	Re-Referred to WTL/JDL.
3/27/2013	S	The committee(s) on WTL/JDL has scheduled a public hearing on 04-02-13 1:15PM in conference room 225.

NEIL ABERCROMBIE  
GOVERNOR OF HAWAII



**STATE OF HAWAII  
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

POST OFFICE BOX 621  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

**Testimony of  
WILLIAM J. AILA, JR.  
Chairperson**

**Before the Senate Committees on  
WATER AND LAND  
and  
JUDICIARY AND LABOR**

**Tuesday, April 2, 2013  
1:15 P.M.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 225**

**In consideration of  
SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 164/SENATE RESOLUTION 121  
REQUESTING THE GOVERNOR TO ESTABLISH A WORKING GROUP TO  
DEVELOP A CONSERVATION ACTION PLAN TO EXPLORE AND IDENTIFY  
CONSERVATION ALTERNATIVES FOR THE UNDEVELOPED PORTIONS OF THE  
TURTLE BAY HOTEL AND RESORT PROPERTY AND SURROUNDING LANDS  
WITH CONSERVATION OR HISTORIC VALUE.**

Senate Concurrent Resolution 164/Senate Resolution 121 request the Governor to establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and Resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. **The Department of Land and Natural Resources (Department) strongly supports these resolutions.**

The Department supports the protection of the area as it is one of the last undeveloped areas on O'ahu, recognized for its rock formations, wild coastal beaches, threatened green sea turtles and endangered Hawaiian monk seals habitats, whale spotting, traditional fishing areas, small local agricultural lots, and Hawaiian ancestral burial grounds. Act 140, Session Laws of Hawaii 2008, authorized the Governor to negotiate on behalf of the State with private interested parties to purchase the resort property located in Kahuku, Oahu. Having the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan would greatly aid in these negotiations.

**WILLIAM J. AILA, JR.**  
CHAIRPERSON  
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

**ESTHER KIA'AINA**  
FIRST DEPUTY

**WILLIAM M. TAM**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES  
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION  
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES  
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT  
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS  
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT  
ENGINEERING  
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE  
HISTORIC PRESERVATION  
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION  
LAND  
STATE PARKS

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** DOC [defendoahucoalition@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 11:52 PM  
**To:** Sen. Maile Shimabukuro; Sen. Malama Solomon; Sen. Donovan Dela Cruz; Sen. Sam Slom; Sen. Les Ihara, Jr.; Sen. Laura Thielen; Sen. Russell Ruderman; WTLTestimony; Sen. Clayton Hee; Sen. Mike Gabbard; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR 121 and SCR 164

Aloha-

On behalf of the board of directors of the Defend Oahu Coalition, I submit testimony in strong support of SR 121 and SCR 164 - requesting the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value.

As you know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme Court- ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

A tremendous level of public support for conservation has been evident since legislation was passed in 2008 allowing the Governor to exercise condemnation to preserve the last wild stretch of coastline on the northernmost tip of our island.

Conservation of the continuous, undeveloped shoreline between the existing hotel and Kahuku will preserve important wildlife habitat and retain this fantastic natural resource for future generations of residents and visitors. Conservation of Kawela Bay and other portions of the property will preserve valuable environmental and recreational resources.

Your vote in support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of untimely and unsustainable development.

We are grateful for your support of these resolutions during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Sincerely,  
Tim Vandever  
Defend Oahu Coalition

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 3:23 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** RICHARDFURST@YAHOO.COM  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Richard Furst	Individual	Support	No

Comments: My name is Richard Furst, and I am a resident of Honolulu. I am writing in support of SR121 to form a committee to explore options to conserve undeveloped lands between Kawela Bay and Kahuku Point. I feel that these areas have great significance to the people of Oahu, and their preservation for present and future generations is critical. Thank you for your consideration and assistance with this important effort.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 1:26 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** hunakai5@aol.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Kelly Perry	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 1:18 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** kim@kokuahawaiifoundation.org  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Kim Johnson	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Aloha Chair Hee, Chair Solomon, and Members of the Committees, As a resident of the North Shore, mother of three, and advocate for the environment, I strongly support SCR164 and SR121. Preserving the coastline from Kawela to Kahuku is an important investment for the future of Hawaii. Mahalo, Kim Johnson Co-Founder & Executive Director, Kokua Hawaii Foundation Co-Founder & Director, Johnson Ohana Charitable Foundation Advisory Board Member, North Shore Community Land Trust

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 12:00 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** mironesc@hawaii.edu  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Monique Mironesco	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Please support the North Shore community's wishes to keep the country country. The infrastructure cannot take any more development, and finding a way to work with the Turtle Bay developer to conserve the land in its present state is in the best interest of the community, and the tourist industry overall as well. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 9:18 AM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** lauramoritz@me.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Laura Moritz	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)



**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 8:08 AM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** Ring@hawaii.rr.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Stewart Ring	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 12:15 AM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** Chris.barter67@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Chris Barter	Individual	Comments Only	No

Comments: Please ensure that excessive urban development does not destroy our north shore .  
Many thanks

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 11:35 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** antolinid@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/31/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Denise Antolini	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments: I write in strong support of SCR164/SR121. I participated in the prior Governor's Turtle Bay Advisory Working Group as a liaison to the North Shore community. Given the significant developments since then, the time is now ideal to re-start a streamlined working group under this Governor's leadership. I commend Senator Hee and the committee members for facilitating the re-formation of the working group at this juncture with the goal of engaging in serious discussions with the Turtle Bay Resort and others on a conservation partnership acquisition of the undeveloped lands in and around this unique and precious area of the North Shore and Ko'olauloa. Mahalo! Denise Antolini 59-463 Alapio Road Pupukeya, HI 96712

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:04 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** maliadamon@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

### SR121

Submitted on: 3/31/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Malia Damon	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments: The fate of the North Shore lies in the hands of a few elected and appointed officials. I am writing this letter to call upon our Governor, all elected officials, lawmakers, and appointees who have any influence on the current process involving the potentially disastrous proposal threatening one of Oahu's most pristine coastal treasures in the area surrounding Turtle Bay Resort. The resort's owners are seeking approval for a massive and sprawling development which is a 325% increase of the resort's current rental rooms and residences. The footprint of this development gobbles up the acres and acres of raw land on either side of the Turtle Bay Resort, including the charming and one-of-a-kind Kawela Bay. The developer's renderings do not accurately convey the scope and magnitude of the project. Aerial photos of the area can provide a much more comprehensive view of the massive amount of land which would be engulfed by the proposed development. This resort sprawl must not and should not be allowed to move forward. As a voter and a taxpayer, I request that all parties involved in the decision making process base their decisions on the reality of today's infrastructure. Our infrastructure on the North Shore isn't just limited, it is crippled. It is becoming increasingly dysfunctional at an alarmingly rapid and consistent pace. Residents and visitors alike get stuck in lengthy, bumper-to-bumper traffic almost daily on the North Shore. This happens not only on the days of big waves, surf contests, weekends, and holidays, but even on uneventful weekdays; a drive of a few miles from Haleiwa to Foodland can result in a random 45 minute traffic delay. One of the most consistent sources of traffic comes from the tour buses which stop at Laniakea and create a stop-and-go situation throughout the day, everyday of the week. In addition to this unceasing traffic jam, the buses also cause a dangerous situation for visitors who stream across the road, sometimes quite heedlessly. The abrupt traffic slowdown has also caused accidents. I know someone personally who was rear-ended and still suffers severely from back and neck pain. To my knowledge, no flashing lights have been installed to warn people of the abrupt halt to traffic flow. Even if the above described "Turtle Traffic" were addressed by either disallowing the tour buses to do business on the side of the road, and/ or constructing a new road around this area, the reality is, with the current number of cars on the road from residents and visitors, any further increase in the number of automobiles is utterly untenable. Kamehameha Highway already looks like a parking lot much of the time. It will not function with any added stress. Honolulu is currently ranked as the worst city in the entire nation for time spent in traffic. The "Country" has always been a beloved refuge for Oahu residents and visitors. It is served by a two-lane highway. It is the beauty and tranquility that draw residents and visitors to the North Shore. But if the current developments go forward, developments which include the following:

Kamehameha School's plan for hundreds and hundreds of homes in Haleiwa as well as new shopping centers; Turtle Bay Resort's 325% increase in occupancy and resort sprawl; Laie's Marriot Hotel; and also the many hundreds of homes which are either proposed for the Laie area or have already been approved for the Laie area. If these developments go forward, there will be no more North Shore. Again, the reality is, if all of these developments go forward there will be no North Shore as we know it. We will have failed to preserve one of the most pristine coastlines for future generations. And we will have created a traffic situation worse than Honolulu, which is already the worst traffic in the nation. Our two lane highway will become a parking lot. Hotels will have gobbled up a large swath of raw coastal area. What will be left of our island when all of our roads look like parking lots and hotels cling to our coastline like barnacles? In addition to addressing the above concerns, I would like the The DPP, City Council, Mayor's office, and the developer to consider and respond to the following concerns and questions: 1.) In the past it has appeared that the DPP only looks at projects on an individual basis without considering the full impact and cumulative affect of the multiple developments which are currently either underway or being proposed. How will the DPP assess this Turtle Bay Resort proposal while also considering the cumulative impacts of each of the proposed and approved developments from Haleiwa through Laie? 2.) The North Shore has changed dramatically in the 25 years since this development first sought approval. However, the last time this proposal was brought before the DPP while Mufi Hanneman was the Mayor, his appointee at the DPP came to the conclusion that the North Shore had not changed enough in the last 25 years to warrant an updated EIS. I would like to know who the decision makers are at DPP and if they have spent time on the North Shore recently, or, if, as part of their review and decision making process, they will come to the North Shore personally to assess the traffic situation on several different occasions so as to arrive at an accurate conclusion regarding our current infrastructure limitations. It is time for our leaders, lawmakers and appointees to operate and base their decisions upon today's reality, not the reality of 25 years ago. And I don't see how they can do that while sitting in offices in Honolulu. I hope that the myopic view of past administrations will not continue into the current administration. Can the DPP please tell the community and the public how many times DPP decision-makers will come to the North Shore as a part of their due diligence in considering the current proposals? 3.) Any further burden to this two-lane road will be untenable for visitors and residents alike. I often see visitors in their rental cars, in gridlocked North Shore traffic. Frequently after seeing them idle in traffic ahead of me for 30 minutes, I then see these visitors do a U-turn and head back the way they came, probably back to Honolulu. I feel sorry for these poor vacationers coming to Oahu and the North Shore for a an island experience only to be angered and dismayed when faced with traffic that is worse than mainland traffic. People don't come here to get stuck in traffic. If this traffic situation continues, unmitigated, I'm afraid our visitors won't come back. Has the DPP or board of tourism or the developer done any surveys or studies on what effect gridlocked traffic might have on visitor's likeliness to return to our island and the North Shore or recommend our island as a vacation destination? Have there been any studies on the possible economic impact of transforming a destination known as the "Country" into a gridlocked two-lane road with hotels standing where banyan trees and forests once stood and where monk seals came to give birth and turtles nestled in the sand? If those things disappear, will the North Shore and Oahu become a less valuable vacation destination? How will all of these developments and increase in traffic affect our local economy if we are taking away the very thing people come here for, which is the "Country" atmosphere? 4.) The draft SEIS does not address the cumulative affect of all the developments facing the North Shore, nor did it collect data that could lead to accurate conclusions. The study claims that it will only increase traffic by 3% to 4.5%. How can a development increase its occupancy and residences by 325% --a scenario in which the staff at the resort would also increase exponentially to serve the visitors-- but at the same time increase traffic by only by 4.5% at the most? How is this possible? How will DPP and City test the accuracy of the developer's forecast? Furthermore, the way this traffic data was gathered, estimated and then averaged out was not done in a diligent comprehensive manner. It is a misrepresentation. A gross misrepresentation. How will DPP analyze the traffic study in the developers

draft SEIS? What supplemental studies will DPP use for its conclusions? 5.) In response to a letter from a concerned resident, Lee Sichter LLC (the consulting firm to the developer) writes about several issues that seem particularly questionable: Sichter asserts that the developer has "foregone development rights." Is this an accurate statement of fact? It seems like a manipulative assertion designed to convince the public that the resort already has these "rights" and that the developer is voluntarily scaling it back, when in fact the developer has no "foregone rights" and has hardly scaled the project back. Can some legal clarification please be made on the assertion that the developer is in possession of some "foregone rights." 6.) The current proposal increases both rental units and residences by 325%, according to the numbers provided by Lee Sichter LLC . Up to 1000 of these would be rentable units and 750 would be residential units, but a residential unit will not necessarily mean that it is not a rentable unit. On the contrary, it is very possible and even likely that the residential units will be rentable. The developer hopes to add 375 timeshare units. Timeshares are a vastly different animal than hotel units and are not appropriate for the North Shore. Will there be a separate process for the evaluation by DPP of timeshare properties? Also, can the DPP and the developer provide the public with a detailed and accurate description of each type of "unit," "residence," and "lock-off" and "timeshare?" 7.) Sichter writes that the owners of Turtle Bay Resort share the communities concerns about future traffic volumes on Kamehameha Highway. The resort acknowledges that we have a two-lane highway which serves the North Shore and it is not proposing to alter it, nor is it possible to alter it in most places. But the resort says it is committed to finding "workable traffic solutions." However, if we, the public and the lawmakers and appointed officials, are going to operate from the realm of reality, can we agree that with a two lane highway there really are no "workable traffic solutions" other than not increasing cars? Can our lawmakers and decision makers at DPP confront the reality of the limitations of our infrastructure? Can we agree that the only "workable solution" to our traffic problem is to not add any more traffic to the already overburdened highway? If not, would DPP, appointees, lawmakers, and decision makers please provide to the community a detailed description and analysis of what these "workable solutions" might entail? 8.) A few of the resort's proposals for "workable traffic solutions" are: "driving smarter, consolidating trips, ride-sharing, and using alternative transit when possible to name a few." Because traffic is already so out of control, people on the North Shore are already "driving smarter and consolidating trips and ride-sharing." I am curious, the developer says those options are just "to name a few." What are the other few? Could the developer please explain the other few? People are already driving smarter, consolidating trips, and ride-sharing, so how can this be included in the developer's plan as their "workable solution" if it already ISN'T WORKING? What does the developer mean when the developer says "to name a few?" What else is there? Helicopter? Teleportation? Seriously, what? 9.) According to Lee Sichter's letter, Turtle Bay Resort's financial contribution to the total cost of adding turning lanes at Turtle Bay Resort will pay the amount that is equal to the percentage of increase in traffic. But according to the developer's traffic study, that amount is only 3% - 4.5%. Does that mean that the developer's contribution to the expense of turning lanes for Turtle Bay resort will only between 3% and 4.5 % and the rest will be paid for by tax-payers? Thank you for responding to my questions and concerns, which I believe are grounded in reality on the ground here on the North Shore. Please make sure that your responses consider the "big picture" and are based in the realm of reality, not wishful thinking. Furthermore, as almost everyone on the North Shore knows, there is just no way this can work. There are no "workable solutions" with a two-lane highway and exploding traffic. Even a scaled back version will have disastrous consequences and cause irreparable harm to our fragile island home, a home which we enjoy and share with visitors, a home which is irreplaceable. Sincerely, Malia Damon 59-529 Hoalike Rd. Haleiwa, HI 96712 c. 358-8569

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 2:03 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** colep002@hawaii.rr.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/31/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Sarah Cole	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments: This is an important opportunity to preserve a valuable (in terms of natural, environmental, recreational, cultural, and natural habitat) resource. The public appreciates and deserves the government's support of these concerns for the quality of life in Hawaii.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 30, 2013 2:16 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** marilynwick@pobox.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Marilyn Mick	Individual	Support	No

Comments: I support this bill.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)



**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 30, 2013 12:34 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** brimohi@msn.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Brian Emmons	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 30, 2013 12:28 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** ralphhartman3@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
ralph Hartman ,MD	Individual	Support	No

Comments: I support this measure.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 30, 2013 10:48 AM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** cwyyyy@gmail.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Chip Young	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 30, 2013 1:57 AM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** ddruz@aol.com  
**Subject:** Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
David S Druz	Individual	Support	No

Comments: I urge you to strongly support conserving these lands for posterity. Once developed there is no going back. They are lost for good.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Friday, March 29, 2013 11:04 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** joyofpilates@mac.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/29/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Joy Silver	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Friday, March 29, 2013 6:14 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** eve.wander@gmail.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/29/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Eveline Wander	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Friday, March 29, 2013 4:07 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** bdshafer@gmail.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/29/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Ben Shafer	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 11:17 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** kealadancer@gmail.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/28/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
keala fung	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)



**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 1:48 AM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** bdshafer@gmail.com  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/28/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Benjamin Shafer	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

**Piikea Tomczyk**

---

**From:** mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 3:02 PM  
**To:** WTLTestimony  
**Cc:** mendezj@hawaii.edu  
**Subject:** \*Submitted testimony for SR121 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM\*

**SR121**

Submitted on: 3/27/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Present at Hearing</b>
Javier Mendez- Alvarez	Individual	Support	No

**Comments:**

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email [webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov](mailto:webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov)

Aloha, Senators,

e Malama I ka'aina is one of the basic foundations of Hawaii.

The "Proposed Action" in the Turtle Bay Resort DSEIS allows 90 feet high buildings along the shoreline and anywhere on the property.

The "Proposed Action" will create a density that is four time the current density.

I respectfully suggest that these two "Actions" are diametrically opposed to this basic foundation.

In addition, the "Proposed Action" will increase traffic on the North Shore, where more than 50% of visitors to our island come to play, by 36%. It already sometimes takes as much as 90 minutes to drive from Haleiwa to Pupukea, a drive that should only take 10 minutes. Increasing traffic volume by 36% will make the longer transit time a regular occurrence.

This huge traffic increase will affect all residents of Oahu who visit the North Shore and not just those of us who live here. It will also have a negative effect on the 50+% of tourists who visit Oahu and come to the North Shore. Gridlock is not what tourists come to Oahu for.

Please support SR121 and SCR 164. They will provide an opportunity for a reasonable compromise that can allow the current owners of the property to get some compensation and preserve an important part of our 'aina.

e malama I ka'aina

Bill Quinlan.

Haleiwa.

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** hawaiianryan1977@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 5:38 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Jesse Ryan Kawela Allen

[hawaiianryan1977@yahoo.com](mailto:hawaiianryan1977@yahoo.com)  
322 aoloa st  
Kailua 96734

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** ftw808@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 10:01 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Todd Allen

[ftw808@yahoo.com](mailto:ftw808@yahoo.com)

Po 241

Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** alohalaurenjoy@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 11:10 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

lauren achitoff

[alohalaurenjoy@aol.com](mailto:alohalaurenjoy@aol.com)

po 110

Kaaawa 96730

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** andreapeatmoss@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:28 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Andrea Anixt

andreapeatmoss@yahoo.com

po box 646

Ka'a'awa 96730

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** joanne.amberg@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:21 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Joanne Amberg

[joanne.amberg@gmail.com](mailto:joanne.amberg@gmail.com)  
548 Kaimake Loop  
Kailua 96734



## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** bbila@sbcglobal.net  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 5:02 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Bruce Bila

[bbila@sbcglobal.net](mailto:bbila@sbcglobal.net)  
57-101 W Kuilima Lp #14  
Kahuku 96731

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** sailing@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 2:53 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Raymond Beatty

[sailing@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:sailing@hawaii.rr.com)  
59740 Alapio Rd.  
Haleiwa 9

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Patriciablair@msn.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:20 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Patricia Blair

Patriciablair@msn.com  
25 Aulike St  
Kailua 96734

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Shellblaisdell@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:53 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Rochelle Blaisdell

[Shellblaisdell@gmail.com](mailto:Shellblaisdell@gmail.com)

Po box 547

Kahuku 96731

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Kenuhawaii@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:12 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Kenneth Brown

[Kenuhawaii@gmail.com](mailto:Kenuhawaii@gmail.com)  
3528 Campbell ave.  
Honolulu 96815

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** esbunyan@yahoo.pair.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:48 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo, Eric and Patty Bunyan and 2 more voters in this household. Thank you Senator Hee for showing leadership. Anyone who has been stuck in traffic knows all of these plans are untenable. Anyone who has surfed, dived, picnicked or visited these areas knows that any further development will kill the goose that laid the golden egg.

Eric Bunyan

esbunyan@yahoo  
41-041 Hihimanu St.  
Waimanalo 96795

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** burgess96712@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 5:44 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paula Burgess

burgess96712@yahoo.com  
PO Box 1132  
Haleiwa, Hawaii 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** mealaaloha@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:28 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Daniel Bishop

mealaaloha@aol.com  
47-146 Pulama Rd  
Kaneohe 96744



## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** vsc@hawaiiantel.net  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 8:51 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Victoria Cannon

vsc@hawaiiantel.net  
92-102 Oloa Place  
Makakilo 96707

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** richfchildress@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 2:20 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Richard

Richard Childress

[richfchildress@yahoo.com](mailto:richfchildress@yahoo.com)  
3027 Woolsey Place  
Honolulu 96822

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** mlcarby@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 2:19 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Michelle Carbonneau

[mlcarby@yahoo.com](mailto:mlcarby@yahoo.com)  
3027 Woolsey Place  
Honolulu 96822

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** dukeed@me.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 12:53 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Waldemar Duvauchelle

dukeed@me.com  
5307-G Kalaniana'ole  
Hon 96821

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** xidnor@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 9:23 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Ron Dixon

[xidnor@yahoo.com](mailto:xidnor@yahoo.com)  
po box 223403  
Princeville 96722

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Connie\_dlcruz@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:17 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Constance Dela Cruz

Connie dlcruz@yahoo.com  
56-193 Pualalea Street  
Kahuku 96731

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** lapuma7@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:34 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Frederika Ebel

[lapuma7@hotmail.com](mailto:lapuma7@hotmail.com)  
PO Box 701  
Flemington 08822

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Cfumar@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:20 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Cameron Fumar

[Cfumar@gmail.com](mailto:Cfumar@gmail.com)

Honolulu 96825



## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Pfaber@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:14 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paul Faber     Paul Faber

Pfaber@hawaii.rr.com  
2719 Aolani pl  
Honolulu     HI

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** laurag@divefish.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 8:33 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Laura Gray

[laurag@divefish.com](mailto:laurag@divefish.com)  
POB 536  
Hauula 96717

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** ahuntemer@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 9:20 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

angela huntemer

[ahuntemer@aol.com](mailto:ahuntemer@aol.com)  
57-077 Eleku Kuilima Place 136  
Kahuku 96731

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** claudiajohnstone@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:21 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Claudia Johnstone

[claudiajohnstone@gmail.com](mailto:claudiajohnstone@gmail.com)  
905 Pueo St  
Honolulu 96816

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** paulrodjohnstone@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:22 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paul Johnstone

[paulrodjohnstone@gmail.com](mailto:paulrodjohnstone@gmail.com)

905 Pueo St

Honolulu 96816

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** lkashinsky@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:02 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

As a long time resident of Ka'a'awa and the Windward side for over 30 years, I am disheartened that there is consideration to develop some of the last undeveloped coastal lands on the island of O'ahu. As an ecopsychologist and career conservationist, I have a deep understanding of the need to maintain the health of our communities requires protecting areas not just for the human residents, but for other species of plants and animals in order to maintain diversity and to protect what makes Hawai'i unique. Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions. As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history. I als call on you and urge you to do whatever you can to protect this place for the people of Hawai'i including future generations.

Mahalo,

Lizabeth Kashinsky

Lizabeth Kashinsky

lkashinsky@gmail.com  
5002 Maunalani Cir  
Honolulu 96816

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** jamiekocher@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 8:56 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

The bottom line is that there is no infrastructure to support this development. How will the state deal with the traffic issue? Imminent domain removal of houses to widen Kam Highway? The state has no money to do this. We who live here have to fight this terrible traffic on weekends, holidays, and all summer long. We do not want this development.

Feel free to contact me for any additional information.

Mahalo,

Jamie Kocher- 35 years on the North Shore  
808 780-7778

jamie kocher

[jamiekocher@gmail.com](mailto:jamiekocher@gmail.com)  
59-633 KE IKI RD  
HALEIWA 967129630

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** cjkovalcik@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:55 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Chris Kovalcik

[cjkovalcik@hotmail.com](mailto:cjkovalcik@hotmail.com)  
5552 Hill Road  
Brentwood 37027



## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** diversifiedgrants@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:44 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Corinne Knutson

[diversifiedgrants@gmail.com](mailto:diversifiedgrants@gmail.com)

reet #305

Honolulu 96822

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** con513@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:39 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history. This is such a huge and critical decision that will have such a huge impact on not just the North Shore but all of Oahu. Please do what is right and just for a small community with such limited infrastructure this just cannot happen. Why must the powers that be always sell out to huge corporations and develop its Aina for what? why? Please create the right legacy to follow long after YOU are no longer in office.

Mahalo,

Mark Knutson

Mark Knutson

[con513@aol.com](mailto:con513@aol.com)

59-602 Kamehameha Hwy

Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** erikknutson808@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:32 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Erik Knutson

[erikknutson808@gmail.com](mailto:erikknutson808@gmail.com)  
59-602 Kamehameha Hwy  
haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** judyknuts@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:30 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history. Please, Please do what is right and prove to a community that we do have a voice and that the political process is about what is right for the people.

Mahalo,  
Judy Knutson

Judy KNutson

[judyknuts@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:judyknuts@hawaii.rr.com)  
58-128 Iwai Pl  
Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** langerm2@uwm.edu  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:35 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Karri Langerman

langerm2@uwm.edu  
3059 N Maryland Ave apt. M  
Milwaukee WI

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** beachhousehawaii@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:43 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Scott Langford

[beachhousehawaii@hotmail.com](mailto:beachhousehawaii@hotmail.com)

PO BOX 721

Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** reniewong@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:01 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Renie Lindley

reniewong@yahoo.com  
P.O. Box 765  
Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** lkmcelheny@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 10:22 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Larry McElheny

[lkmcelheny@gmail.com](mailto:lkmcelheny@gmail.com)  
59-272 Pupukea Road  
Haleiwa 96712



## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** up2gold@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 10:52 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Stephen Nakamitsu

[up2gold@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:up2gold@hawaii.rr.com)  
7340 Kauhako St.  
Honolulu 96825

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:35 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Michele Nihipali

[nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com)

54-074 A Kam Hwy

Hauula 96717

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** sightpacific@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:11 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paul and Judith Nelson

[sightpacific@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:sightpacific@hawaii.rr.com)  
68-615 Farrington Hwy 23A  
Waialua 96791

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** wjperry@sbcglobal.net  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 8:25 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

William Perry

[wjperry@sbcglobal.net](mailto:wjperry@sbcglobal.net)  
57-086 Eleku Kuilima Pl  
Kahului 96731

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Vikkipahia@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 9:19 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

V Pahia

[Vikkipahia@yahoo.com](mailto:Vikkipahia@yahoo.com)

54-127 imua pl

Hau'ula 96717

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** nimboy44@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2013 9:54 AM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Bill Quinlan

[nimboy44@aol.com](mailto:nimboy44@aol.com)  
58-115 Napoonala Place  
Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** djskey@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:43 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Dave Siroskey

djskey@hawaii.rr.com  
54-278 Kaipapau Loop  
Hauula 96717

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** ellarn@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:33 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Ella Siroskey

[ellarn@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:ellarn@hawaii.rr.com)  
54-278 Kaipapau Loop  
Hauula 96717



## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** miloliisunrise@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:33 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Hello,

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I am writing in support of these resolutions. The north shore was my playground growing up and I am intimately familiar with the bays and land surrounding the Turtle Bay resort. I can say unequivocally that there is no stretch of undeveloped coastline left on Oahu which matches the rugged beauty of these lands. Losing these lands to development would be a tragedy and it eats away at what the little that is left of old Hawaii. In addition, the traffic on the north shore is horrendous. My grandmother lives at Pupukea and to visit her on the weekends requires sitting in traffic for up to an hour depending on what time I drive out there. I can't imagine what the traffic would be like if Turtle Bay's plans come to fruition. Please work towards a solution which preserves the undeveloped lands around Turtle Bay.

Thank you,  
Cory SoonCory Soon

[miloliisunrise@yahoo.com](mailto:miloliisunrise@yahoo.com)  
95-1499 Ainamakua Dr. #71  
Mililani 96789

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** gotonsbs@hawaiiantel.net  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 5:11 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Robert Smith

gotonsbs@hawaiiantel.net  
59-220 Alapio Rd.  
Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** Jon@caroltuttle.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:00 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Jonathan Tuttle

Jon@caroltuttle.com  
61-573 Pohaku Loa Way  
Haleiwa 97612

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** suzannewesterly@earthlink.net  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:15 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Suzanne Westerly

[suzannewesterly@earthlink.net](mailto:suzannewesterly@earthlink.net)

PO Box 576

Haleiwa 96712

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** hawaiiannews@hawaii.rr.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 10:53 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Toni Yardley

[hawaiiannews@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:hawaiiannews@hawaii.rr.com)  
2053 Kula St  
Honolulu 96817

## Piikea Tomczyk

---

**From:** lucialyou@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 31, 2013 10:24 PM  
**To:** All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony  
**Subject:** Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Lucia You

[lucialyou@gmail.com](mailto:lucialyou@gmail.com)  
767 N. Kalaheo Ave  
Kailua 96734