

SCR164

Measure Title: REQUESTING THE GOVERNOR TO ESTABLISH A WORKING GROUP TO DEVELOP A CONSERVATION ACTION PLAN TO EXPLORE AND IDENTIFY CONSERVATION ALTERNATIVES FOR THE UNDEVELOPED PORTIONS OF THE TURTLE BAY HOTEL AND RESORT PROPERTY AND SURROUNDING LANDS WITH CONSERVATION OR HISTORIC VALUE.

Report Title: Turtle Bay; Land Conservation; Working Group

Description:

Companion:

Package: None

Current Referral: WTL/JDL

Introducer(s): HEE

<u>Sort by</u> <u>Date</u>		Status Text
3/13/2013	S	Offered.
3/19/2013	S	Referred to WTL, JDL.
3/25/2013	S	Re-Referred to WTL/JDL.
3/27/2013	S	The committee(s) on WTL/JDL has scheduled a public hearing on 04-02-13 1:15PM in conference room 225.



EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS
HONOLULU

NEIL ABERCROMBIE
GOVERNOR

Testimony in **support** of SCR164

Committee on Water and Land
Senator Malama Solomon, Chair
Senator Maile S.L. Shimabukuro, Vice Chair

Committee on Judiciary and Labor
Senator Clayton Hee, Chair
Senator Maile S.L. Shimabukuro, Vice Chair

Tuesday, April 2, 2013
1:15 p.m.
Room 225

Chair Solomon, Chair Hee, Vice Chair Shimabukuro, and members of the committees:

The Office of the Governor **supports** Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, requesting the Governor to establish a working group to develop a conservation plan and alternatives for certain portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and surrounding lands.

The Office of the Governor is supportive of the efforts of various land conservation groups, Turtle Bay Resort, government entities, and the community to work together to explore alternatives for the undeveloped lands surrounding Turtle Bay.

We are willing to serve as a facilitator for the various governmental and non-governmental stakeholders to develop recommendations for a conservation plan and alternatives. We also encourage community engagement throughout this process.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

NEIL ABERCROMBIE
GOVERNOR OF HAWAII



**STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

POST OFFICE BOX 621
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

**Testimony of
WILLIAM J. AILA, JR.
Chairperson**

**Before the Senate Committees on
WATER AND LAND
and
JUDICIARY AND LABOR**

**Tuesday, April 2, 2013
1:15 P.M.
State Capitol, Conference Room 225**

**In consideration of
SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 164/SENATE RESOLUTION 121
REQUESTING THE GOVERNOR TO ESTABLISH A WORKING GROUP TO
DEVELOP A CONSERVATION ACTION PLAN TO EXPLORE AND IDENTIFY
CONSERVATION ALTERNATIVES FOR THE UNDEVELOPED PORTIONS OF THE
TURTLE BAY HOTEL AND RESORT PROPERTY AND SURROUNDING LANDS
WITH CONSERVATION OR HISTORIC VALUE.**

Senate Concurrent Resolution 164/Senate Resolution 121 request the Governor to establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and Resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. **The Department of Land and Natural Resources (Department) strongly supports these resolutions.**


The Department supports the protection of the area as it is one of the last undeveloped areas on O'ahu, recognized for its rock formations, wild coastal beaches, threatened green sea turtles and endangered Hawaiian monk seals habitats, whale spotting, traditional fishing areas, small local agricultural lots, and Hawaiian ancestral burial grounds. Act 140, Session Laws of Hawaii 2008, authorized the Governor to negotiate on behalf of the State with private interested parties to purchase the resort property located in Kahuku, Oahu. Having the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan would greatly aid in these negotiations.

WILLIAM J. AILA, JR.
CHAIRPERSON
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

ESTHER KIA'AINA
FIRST DEPUTY

WILLIAM M. TAM
DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT
ENGINEERING
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION
LAND
STATE PARKS



NORTH SHORE
COMMUNITY
LAND TRUST

**NORTH SHORE COMMUNITY LAND TRUST IN SUPPORT OF
SCR 164, SR 121 RELATING TO TURTLE BAY
Senate Committees on Water & Land, and Judiciary & Labor
Tuesday, April 2, 2013, 1:15 p.m., Room 225**

Aloha Chair Hee, Chair Solomon, and Members of the Committees:

North Shore Community Land Trust strongly supports SCR 164/SR 121 relating to Turtle Bay, which requests that the Governor form a working group to identify voluntary conservation alternatives to proposed resort development and explore those alternatives with the landowner before the next legislative session. Conserving the priceless coastline from Kawela to Kahuku is an important investment in the wellbeing and happiness of future generations of Hawai'i residents.

NSCLT works with willing landowners, government, other NGOs, and community to protect land on the North Shore of O'ahu for the benefit of the public. To date, NSCLT has helped to conserve Pūpūkea-Paumalū, Waimea Valley, and Sunset Ranch. Currently, we are working with the owners of the Turtle Bay Resort and the Trust for Public Land to protect 469 acres of productive farmland just mauka of the oceanfront resort property.

These voluntary land conservation success stories were made possible by federal, state, and county agencies, local communities, non-profits, and landowners working together to achieve common conservation goals. NSCLT believes that a similar collaboration in this instance can yield very positive voluntary conservation results and strongly supports the resolution.

NSCLT agrees with the recommendations made by the Trust for Public Land in its testimony on SCR 164/SR 121.


We also want to acknowledge and thank Senator Hee for his leadership and efforts to frame and develop voluntary conservation alternatives to the proposed development.

P.O. Box 1179, Hale'iwa, HI 96712 – www.northshoreland.org

Board of Directors

President: Adam Borrello *Vice President:* Sue Cortes *Treasurer:* Roberts Leinau *Secretary:* Kelly Perry
Directors: Moana Bjur Chip Hartman Kawika Kahiapo Jacque Leinau Tom Lenchanko Larry McElheny Pancho Sullivan
Advisory Board Members: Denise Antolini Jack and Kim Johnson Randy Moore

Mahalo for this opportunity to testify.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'D' followed by a cursive 'C' and 'E'.

Doug Cole

NSCLT, Executive Director
P.O. Box 1179, Haleiwa, HI 96712
808.497.0036
dougcole@hawaii.rr.com
www.northshoreland.org

Keep the North Shore Country

TO: Senator Malama Solomon
Chair of the Senate Committee on Water and Land
Committee Members Shimabukuro, Dela Cruz, Ihara, Ruderman, Thielen, Slom

Senator Clayton Hee
Chair of the Senate Committee on Judiciary and Labor
Committee Members Shimabukuro, Gabbard, Ihara, Slom

Testimony in SUPPORT of SCR 164 and SR 121

The plan to dramatically expand the Turtle Bay Resort has remained controversial for decades because the size and scope of the proposed expansion is inappropriate for the region. The North Shore - Koolauloa region is world renowned for its beautiful coastline, rural countryside, unparalleled surf and relaxed atmosphere.

The undeveloped shoreline and wetlands provide important habitat for the endangered Hawaiian Monk seal and other endangered and threatened species. Proximity to the James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge presents an exciting opportunity to make an even greater contribution to wildlife protection through land conservation.

SCR 164 and SR 121 asks the Governor to establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and Resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value.

This region is a global treasure that is attractive to visitors and cherished by nearly all of Oahu's residents. This opportunity to seek a solution to the decades-long controversy must not be lost.

Please pass SCR 164 and SR 121.

Respectfully,



Gil Riviere
President

April 1, 2013

Testimony of Scott McCormack

Before the Senate Committees on

**WATER AND LAND
JUDICIARY AND LABOR and WAYS AND MEANS**

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, April 2, 2013

Time: 1:15 p.m.

Location: Conference Room 225

Senators Malama Solomon and Clayton Hee, Chairs

Senator Maile Shimabukuro, Vice Chair

In consideration of

SCR 164 / SR 121

REQUESTING THE GOVERNOR TO ESTABLISH A WORKING GROUP TO DEVELOP A CONSERVATION ACTION PLAN TO EXPLORE AND IDENTIFY CONSERVATION ALTERNATIVES FOR THE UNDEVELOPED PORTIONS OF THE TURTLE BAY HOTEL AND RESORT PROPERTY AND SURROUNDING LANDS WITH CONSERVATION OR HISTORIC VALUE

The Honorable Senators Malama Solomon and Clayton Hee, Chairs, Senator Maile Shimabukuro, Vice Chair, and Members of the Committees:

My name is Scott McCormack and I am the Vice-President of Development for Turtle Bay Resort, LLC ("TBR"). I respectfully submit this testimony requesting that you modify the composition of the working group proposed in SCR 164 and SR 121 (the "Resolutions") and further authorize the group to seek input from The Trust for Public Lands and/or the North Shore Community Land Trust in lieu of public meetings in order to expedite negotiations with respect to the State's purchase of conservation easements over lands surrounding the Turtle Bay Hotel and Resort (the "Project Lands").

TBR's letter of March 4, 2013 sought to provide the State and TBR with a reasonable window of opportunity to engage in good faith negotiations regarding additional conservation easements at Turtle Bay, despite unsuccessful attempts to resolve this matter since the enactment of Act 140 in 2008. Although the Project Lands are fully entitled for development, TBR has expressed its serious desire to engage in good faith negotiations provided they commence immediately and terminate no later than November 30, 2013, at which time the State and TBR would submit a report to the Legislature as to the outcome of its negotiations.

TBR has reservations, however, that the goal of reaching a resolution no later than November 30, 2013 can be achieved with the proposed working group. Presently, the Resolutions provide for a group consisting of the Governor, the Chairpersons, Administrator and/or designees of the Governor, Board of Land and Natural Resources ("BLNR"), State Historic Preservation Division of the Department of Land

and Natural Resources, the Legacy Land Conservation Commission, the Land Use Commission and the Board of Directors of the Trust for Public Land, as well as such other entity or organization the Governor deems necessary. In the normal course of State business, it often takes significant time to schedule and organize such a group of people on a meaningful basis. Given the time constraints, TBR believes that a better alternative may be a more nimble working group comprised of the Governor, the Chairperson of the BNLR (or their designees) and, as now provided, "Any other entity or organization the Governor deems necessary". It would be the prerogative of the Governor to seek such input as the Governor deems necessary.

Similarly, TBR has reservations as to whether a timely resolution can be achieved if the working group is required to hold public meetings to gather community input regarding conservation alternatives. From TBR's perspective, community input and engagement are vital to its plans, which is why TBR made substantial efforts to obtain such community-wide input over the past two (2) years. There is ample information that is already known and can be provided to the working group by TBR and other community groups so as not to unnecessarily delay negotiations. As such, TBR proposes that the Resolutions authorize the working group to seek input from The Trust for Public Lands and/or the North Shore Community Land Trust in lieu of public meetings, as TBR has been actively engaged with both groups regarding conservation alternatives. Finally, the working group may avail itself of the public testimony given with respect to S.B. 894 as the parties seek to strike a fair balance between conservation and those aspects of the development plan that provide the most community benefits.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that you amend SCR 164 and SR 121 to modify the composition of the working group and to authorize input from regional conservation groups in lieu of public meetings as requested herein. Thank you for your consideration.

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 6:00 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: gotonsbs@hawaiiintel.net
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Robert B. Smith	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments: Of course, as a resident of the ever shrinking "country" North Shore, I support Governor Abercrombie looking into any means to preserve what little country we have left.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 3:22 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: RICHARDFURST@YAHOO.COM
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Richard Furst	Individual	Support	No

Comments: My name is Richard Furst, and I am a resident of Honolulu. I am writing in support of SCR164 to form a committee to explore options to conserve undeveloped lands between Kawela Bay and Kahuku Point. I feel that these areas have great significance to the people of Oahu, and their preservation for present and future generations is critical. Thank you for your consideration and assistance with this important effort.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 3:13 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: tabraham08@gmail.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
-------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Troy Lopaka Abraham	Individual	Support	No
---------------------------	------------	---------	----

Comments: I support passage of this bill

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 2:35 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: goofyfoot@hawaii.rr.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Deborah Aldrich	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Please preserve rather than allow development of the Kawela Bay to Kahuku area.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 1:23 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: hunakai5@aol.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kelly Perry	Individual	Support	No

Comments: As a resident of the North Shore, mother of three, and advocate for the environment, I strongly support SCR164 and SR121. Preserving the coastline from Kawela to Kahuku is an important investment for the future of Hawaii.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 1:16 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: kim@kokuahawaiiifoundation.org
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013
Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Kim Johnson	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Aloha Chair Hee, Chair Solomon, and Members of the Committees, As a resident of the North Shore, mother of three, and advocate for the environment, I strongly support SCR164 and SR121. Preserving the coastline from Kawela to Kahuku is an important investment for the future of Hawaii. Mahalo, Kim Johnson Co-Founder & Executive Director, Kokua Hawaii Foundation Co-Founder & Director, Johnson Ohana Charitable Foundation Advisory Board Member, North Shore Community Land Trust

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 11:59 AM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: mironesc@hawaii.edu
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
-------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Monique Mironesco	Individual	Support	No
-------------------	------------	---------	----

Comments: Please support the North Shore community's wishes to keep the country country. The infrastructure cannot take any more development, and finding a way to work with the Turtle Bay developer to conserve the land in its present state is in the best interest of the community, and the tourist industry overall as well. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 9:17 AM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: lauramoritz@me.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Laura Moritz	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Adding my voice to many in strong SUPPORT of this bill. Conservation helps all who enjoy the islands and wish to preserve and sustain both its beauty and economic productivity for future generations.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 8:06 AM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: Ring@hawaii.rr.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Stewart Ring	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 12:16 AM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: Chris.barter67@gmail.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 4/1/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Chris Barter	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 11:34 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: antolinid@gmail.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/31/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Denise Antolini	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments: I write in strong support of SCR164/SR121. I participated in the prior Governor's Turtle Bay Advisory Working Group as a liaison to the North Shore community. Given the significant developments since then, the time is now ideal to re-start a streamlined working group under this Governor's leadership. I commend Senator Hee and the committee members for facilitating the re-formation of the working group at this juncture with the goal of engaging in serious discussions with the Turtle Bay Resort and others on a conservation partnership acquisition of the undeveloped lands in and around this unique and precious area of the North Shore and Ko'olauloa. Mahalo! Denise Antolini 59-463 Alapio Road Pupukea, HI 96712

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 9:29 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: 8alana8@gmail.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/31/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Alana Bryant	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:01 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: maliadamon@gmail.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/31/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Malia Damon	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments: The fate of the North Shore lies in the hands of a few elected and appointed officials. I am writing this letter to call upon our Governor, all elected officials, lawmakers, and appointees who have any influence on the current process involving the potentially disastrous proposal threatening one of Oahu's most pristine coastal treasures in the area surrounding Turtle Bay Resort. The resort's owners are seeking approval for a massive and sprawling development which is a 325% increase of the resort's current rental rooms and residences. The footprint of this development gobbles up the acres and acres of raw land on either side of the Turtle Bay Resort, including the charming and one-of-a kind Kawela Bay. The developer's renderings do not accurately convey the scope and magnitude of the project. Aerial photos of the area can provide a much more comprehensive view of the massive amount of land which would be engulfed by the proposed development. This resort sprawl must not and should not be allowed to move forward. As a voter and a taxpayer, I request that all parties involved in the decision making process base their decisions on the reality of today's infrastructure. Our infrastructure on the North Shore isn't just limited, it is crippled. It is becoming increasingly dysfunctional at an alarmingly rapid and consistent pace. Residents and visitors alike get stuck in lengthy, bumper-to-bumper traffic almost daily on the North Shore. This happens not only on the days of big waves, surf contests, weekends, and holidays, but even on uneventful weekdays; a drive of a few miles from Haleiwa to Foodland can result in a random 45 minute traffic delay. One of the most consistent sources of traffic comes from the tour buses which stop at Laniakea and create a stop-and-go situation throughout the day, everyday of the week. In addition to this unceasing traffic jam, the buses also cause a dangerous situation for visitors who stream across the road, sometimes quite heedlessly. The abrupt traffic slowdown has also caused accidents. I know someone personally who was rear-ended and still suffers severely from back and neck pain. To my knowledge, no flashing lights have been installed to warn people of the abrupt halt to traffic flow. Even if the above described "Turtle Traffic" were addressed by either disallowing the tour buses to do business on the side of the road, and/ or constructing a new road around this area, the reality is, with the current number of cars on the road from residents and visitors, any further increase in the number of automobiles is utterly untenable. Kamehameha Highway already looks like a parking lot much of the time. It will not function with any added stress. Honolulu is currently ranked as the worst city in the entire nation for time spent in traffic. The "Country" has always been a beloved refuge for Oahu residents and visitors. It is served by a two-lane highway. It is the beauty and tranquility that draw residents and visitors to the North Shore. But if the current developments go forward, developments which include the following:

Kamehameha School's plan for hundreds and hundreds of homes in Haleiwa as well as new shopping centers; Andy Anderson's "Haleiwa Hotel;" Turtle Bay Resort's 325% increase in occupancy and resort sprawl; Laie's Marriot Hotel; and also the many hundreds of homes which are either proposed for the Laie area or have already been approved for the Laie area. If these developments go forward, there will be no more North Shore. Again, the reality is, if all of these developments go forward there will be no North Shore as we know it. We will have failed to preserve one of the most pristine coastlines for future generations. And we will have created a traffic situation worse than Honolulu, which is already the worst traffic in the nation. Our two lane highway will become a parking lot. Hotels will have gobbled up a large swath of raw coastal area. What will be left of our island when all of our roads look like parking lots and hotels cling to our coastline like barnacles? In addition to addressing the above concerns, I would like the The DPP, City Council, Mayor's office, and the developer to consider and respond to the following concerns and questions: 1.) In the past it has appeared that the DPP only looks at projects on an individual basis without considering the full impact and cumulative affect of the multiple developments which are currently either underway or being proposed. How will the DPP assess this Turtle Bay Resort proposal while also considering the cumulative impacts of each of the proposed and approved developments from Haleiwa through Laie? 2.) The North Shore has changed dramatically in the 25 years since this development first sought approval. However, the last time this proposal was brought before the DPP while Mufi Hanneman was the Mayor, his appointee at the DPP came to the conclusion that the North Shore had not changed enough in the last 25 years to warrant an updated EIS. I would like to know who the decision makers are at DPP and if they have spent time on the North Shore recently, or, if, as part of their review and decision making process, they will come to the North Shore personally to assess the traffic situation on several different occasions so as to arrive at an accurate conclusion regarding our current infrastructure limitations. It is time for our leaders, lawmakers and appointees to operate and base their decisions upon today's reality, not the reality of 25 years ago. And I don't see how they can do that while sitting in offices in Honolulu. I hope that the myopic view of past administrations will not continue into the current administration. Can the DPP please tell the community and the public how many times DPP decision-makers will come to the North Shore as a part of their due diligence in considering the current proposals? 3.) Any further burden to this two-lane road will be untenable for visitors and residents alike. I often see visitors in their rental cars, in gridlocked North Shore traffic. Frequently after seeing them idle in traffic ahead of me for 30 minutes, I then see these visitors do a U-turn and head back the way they came, probably back to Honolulu. I feel sorry for these poor vacationers coming to Oahu and the North Shore for a an island experience only to be angered and dismayed when faced with traffic that is worse than mainland traffic. People don't come here to get stuck in traffic. If this traffic situation continues, unmitigated, I'm afraid our visitors won't come back. Has the DPP or board of tourism or the developer done any surveys or studies on what effect gridlocked traffic might have on visitor's likeliness to return to our island and the North Shore or recommend our island as a vacation destination? Have there been any studies on the possible economic impact of transforming a destination known as the "Country" into a gridlocked two-lane road with hotels standing where banyan trees and forests once stood and where monk seals came to give birth and turtles nestled in the sand? If those things disappear, will the North Shore and Oahu become a less valuable vacation destination? How will all of these developments and increase in traffic affect our local economy if we are taking away the very thing people come here for, which is the "Country" atmosphere? 4.) The draft SEIS does not address the cumulative affect of all the developments facing the North Shore, nor did it collect data that could lead to accurate conclusions. The study claims that it will only increase traffic by 3% to 4.5%. How can a development increase its occupancy and residences by 325% --a scenario in which the staff at the resort would also increase exponentially to serve the visitors-- but at the same time increase traffic by only by 4.5% at the most? How is this possible? How will DPP and City test the accuracy of the developer's forecast? Furthermore, the way this traffic data was gathered, estimated and then averaged out was not done in a diligent comprehensive manner. It is a misrepresentation. A gross misrepresentation. How will DPP

analyze the traffic study in the developers draft SEIS? What supplemental studies will DPP use for its conclusions? 5.) In response to a letter from a concerned resident, Lee Sichter LLC (the consulting firm to the developer) writes about several issues that seem particularly questionable: Sichter asserts that the developer has "foregone development rights." Is this an accurate statement of fact? It seems like a manipulative assertion designed to convince the public that the resort already has these "rights" and that the developer is voluntarily scaling it back, when in fact the developer has no "foregone rights" and has hardly scaled the project back. Can some legal clarification please be made on the assertion that the developer is in possession of some "foregone rights." 6.) The current proposal increases both rental units and residences by 325%, according to the numbers provided by Lee Sichter LLC . Up to 1000 of these would be rentable units and 750 would be residential units, but a residential unit will not necessarily mean that it is not a rentable unit. On the contrary, it is very possible and even likely that the residential units will be rentable. The developer hopes to add 375 timeshare units. Timeshares are a vastly different animal than hotel units and are not appropriate for the North Shore. Will there be a separate process for the evaluation by DPP of timeshare properties? Also, can the DPP and the developer provide the public with a detailed and accurate description of each type of "unit," "residence," and "lock-off" and "timeshare?" 7.) Sichter writes that the owners of Turtle Bay Resort share the communities concerns about future traffic volumes on Kamehameha Highway. The resort acknowledges that we have a two-lane highway which serves the North Shore and it is not proposing to alter it, nor is it possible to alter it in most places. But the resort says it is committed to finding "workable traffic solutions." However, if we, the public and the lawmakers and appointed officials, are going to operate from the realm of reality, can we agree that with a two lane highway there really are no "workable traffic solutions" other than not increasing cars? Can our lawmakers and decision makers at DPP confront the reality of the limitations of our infrastructure? Can we agree that the only "workable solution" to our traffic problem is to not add any more traffic to the already overburdened highway? If not, would DPP, appointees, lawmakers, and decision makers please provide to the community a detailed description and analysis of what these "workable solutions" might entail? 8.) A few of the resort's proposals for "workable traffic solutions" are: "driving smarter, consolidating trips, ride-sharing, and using alternative transit when possible to name a few." Because traffic is already so out of control, people on the North Shore are already "driving smarter and consolidating trips and ride-sharing." I am curious, the developer says those options are just "to name a few." What are the other few? Could the developer please explain the other few? People are already driving smarter, consolidating trips, and ride-sharing, so how can this be included in the developer's plan as their "workable solution" if it already ISN'T WORKING? What does the developer mean when the developer says "to name a few?" What else is there? Helicopter? Teleportation? Seriously, what? 9.) According to Lee Sichter's letter, Turtle Bay Resort's financial contribution to the total cost of adding turning lanes at Turtle Bay Resort will pay the amount that is equal to the percentage of increase in traffic. But according to the developer's traffic study, that amount is only 3% - 4.5%. Does that mean that the developer's contribution to the expense of turning lanes for Turtle Bay resort will only between 3% and 4.5 % and the rest will be paid for by tax-payers? Thank you for responding to my questions and concerns, which I believe are grounded in reality on the ground here on the North Shore. Please make sure that your responses consider the "big picture" and are based in the realm of reality, not wishful thinking. Furthermore, as almost everyone on the North Shore knows, there is just no way this can work. There are no "workable solutions" with a two-lane highway and exploding traffic. Even a scaled back version will have disastrous consequences and cause irreparable harm to our fragile island home, a home which we enjoy and share with visitors, a home which is irreplaceable. Sincerely, Malia Damon 59-529 Hoalike Rd. Haleiwa, HI 96712 c. 358-8569

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:57 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: colep002@hawaii.rr.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/31/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Sarah Cole	Individual	Support	Yes

Comments: This is a wonderful opportunity to preserve unique and valuable (as a natural, cultural, environmental, recreational, and natural habitat) resource. The public deserves to have the support of our government towards this objective.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Saturday, March 30, 2013 2:15 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: marilynmick@pobox.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Marilyn Mick	Individual	Support	No

Comments: I support this bill.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Saturday, March 30, 2013 12:33 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: brimohi@msn.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Brian Emmons	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Saturday, March 30, 2013 12:26 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: ralphhartman3@gmail.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Ralph Hartman ,MD	Individual	Support	No

Comments: I support this measure.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Saturday, March 30, 2013 10:49 AM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: cwyiii@gmail.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Chip Young	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Saturday, March 30, 2013 1:56 AM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: ddruz@aol.com
Subject: Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/30/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
David S Druz	Individual	Support	No

Comments: I urge you to strongly support conserving these lands for posterity. Once developed there is no going back. They are lost for good.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 11:05 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: joyofpilates@mac.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/29/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Joy Silver	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 6:13 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: eve.wander@gmail.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/29/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Eveline Wander	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 4:06 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: bdshafer@gmail.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/29/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Ben Shafer	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2013 11:17 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: kealadancer@gmail.com
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/28/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
keala fung	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 3:01 PM
To: WTLTestimony
Cc: mendezj@hawaii.edu
Subject: *Submitted testimony for SCR164 on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM*

SCR164

Submitted on: 3/27/2013

Testimony for WTL/JDL on Apr 2, 2013 13:15PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Javier Mendez- Alvarez	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

April 1, 2013

Testimony in favor of Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164

Aloha Chairs Soloman and Hee, Vice-chair Shimabukuro, and Senate Committee Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to **testify IN FAVOR** of Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, that request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay

The public input into the court-ordered Supplement to the existing Environmental Impact Statement has failed to impress upon the owners of Turtle Bay what end-to-end development of the site would mean to North Shore and Koolauloa residents. After broad and persistent pushback from the community, the resort has agreed to come to the table to seriously talk about conservation. Please pass these resolutions and hold them to their word.

Aloha,
Kevin Kelly
Waialua, HI

Piikea Tomczyk

From: hawaiianryan1977@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 5:38 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Jesse Ryan Kawela Allen

hawaiianryan1977@yahoo.com
322 aoloa st
Kailua 96734

Piikea Tomczyk

From: ftw808@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 10:01 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Todd Allen

ftw808@yahoo.com

Po 241

Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: alohalaurenjoy@aol.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 11:10 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

lauren achitoff

alohalaurenjoy@aol.com

po 110

Kaaawa 96730

Piikea Tomczyk

From: andreapeatmoss@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:28 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Andrea Anixt

andreapeatmoss@yahoo.com

po box 646

Ka'a'awa 96730

Piikea Tomczyk

From: joanne.amberg@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:21 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Joanne Amberg

joanne.amberg@gmail.com
548 Kaimake Loop
Kailua 96734

Piikea Tomczyk

From: bbila@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 5:02 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Bruce Bila

bbila@sbcglobal.net
57-101 W Kuilima Lp #14
Kahuku 96731

Piikea Tomczyk

From: sailing@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 2:53 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Raymond Beatty

sailing@hawaii.rr.com
59740 Alapio Rd.
Haleiwa 9

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Patriciablair@msn.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:20 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Patricia Blair

Patriciablair@msn.com
25 Aulike St
Kailua 96734

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Shellblaisdell@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:53 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Rochelle Blaisdell

Shellblaisdell@gmail.com

Po box 547

Kahuku 96731

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Kenuhawaii@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:12 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Kenneth Brown

Kenuhawaii@gmail.com
3528 Campbell ave.
Honolulu 96815

Piikea Tomczyk

From: esbunyan@yahoo.pair.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:48 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo, Eric and Patty Bunyan and 2 more voters in this household. Thank you Senator Hee for showing leadership. Anyone who has been stuck in traffic knows all of these plans are untenable. Anyone who has surfed, dived, picnicked or visited these areas knows that any further development will kill the goose that laid the golden egg.

Eric Bunyan

esbunyan@yahoo
41-041 Hihimanu St.
Waimanalo 96795

Piikea Tomczyk

From: burgess96712@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 5:44 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paula Burgess

burgess96712@yahoo.com
PO Box 1132
Haleiwa, Hawaii 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mealaaloha@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:28 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Daniel Bishop

mealaaloha@aol.com
47-146 Pulama Rd
Kaneohe 96744

Piikea Tomczyk

From: vsc@hawaiiantel.net
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 8:51 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Victoria Cannon

vsc@hawaiiantel.net
92-102 Oloa Place
Makakilo 96707

Piikea Tomczyk

From: richfchildress@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 2:20 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Richard

Richard Childress

richfchildress@yahoo.com
3027 Woolsey Place
Honolulu 96822

Piikea Tomczyk

From: mlcarby@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 2:19 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Michelle Carbonneau

mlcarby@yahoo.com
3027 Woolsey Place
Honolulu 96822

Piikea Tomczyk

From: dukeed@me.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 12:53 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Waldemar Duvauchelle

dukeed@me.com
5307-G Kalaniana'ole
Hon 96821

Piikea Tomczyk

From: xidnor@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 9:23 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Ron Dixon

xidnor@yahoo.com
po box 223403
Princeville 96722

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Connie_dlcruz@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:17 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Constance Dela Cruz

Connie dlcruz@yahoo.com
56-193 Pualalea Street
Kahuku 96731

Piikea Tomczyk

From: lapuma7@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:34 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Frederika Ebel

lapuma7@hotmail.com
PO Box 701
Flemington 08822

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Cfumar@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:20 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Cameron Fumar

Cfumar@gmail.com

Honolulu 96825

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Pfaber@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:14 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paul Faber Paul Faber

Pfaber@hawaii.rr.com
2719 Aolani pl
Honolulu HI

Piikea Tomczyk

From: laurag@divefish.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 8:33 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Laura Gray

laurag@divefish.com
POB 536
Hauula 96717

Piikea Tomczyk

From: ahuntemer@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 9:20 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

angela huntemer

ahuntemer@aol.com
57-077 Eleku Kuilima Place 136
Kahuku 96731

Piikea Tomczyk

From: claudiajohnstone@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:21 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Claudia Johnstone

claudiajohnstone@gmail.com
905 Pueo St
Honolulu 96816

Piikea Tomczyk

From: paulrodjohnstone@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:22 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paul Johnstone

paulrodjohnstone@gmail.com

905 Pueo St

Honolulu 96816

Piikea Tomczyk

From: lkashinsky@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:02 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

As a long time resident of Ka'a'awa and the Windward side for over 30 years, I am disheartened that there is consideration to develop some of the last undeveloped coastal lands on the island of O'ahu. As an ecopsychologist and career conservationist, I have a deep understanding of the need to maintain the health of our communities requires protecting areas not just for the human residents, but for other species of plants and animals in order to maintain diversity and to protect what makes Hawai'i unique. Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions. As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history. I als call on you and urge you to do whatever you can to protect this place for the people of Hawai'i including future generations.

Mahalo,

Lizabeth Kashinsky

Lizabeth Kashinsky

lkashinsky@gmail.com
5002 Maunalani Cir
Honolulu 96816

Piikea Tomczyk

From: jamiekocher@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 8:56 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

The bottom line is that there is no infrastructure to support this development. How will the state deal with the traffic issue? Imminent domain removal of houses to widen Kam Highway? The state has no money to do this. We who live here have to fight this terrible traffic on weekends, holidays, and all summer long. We do not want this development.

Feel free to contact me for any additional information.

Mahalo,

Jamie Kocher- 35 years on the North Shore
808 780-7778

jamie kocher

jamiekocher@gmail.com
59-633 KE IKI RD
HALEIWA 967129630

Piikea Tomczyk

From: cjkovalcik@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:55 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Chris Kovalcik

cjkovalcik@hotmail.com
5552 Hill Road
Brentwood 37027

Piikea Tomczyk

From: diversifiedgrants@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:44 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Corinne Knutson

diversifiedgrants@gmail.com

reet #305

Honolulu 96822

Piikea Tomczyk

From: con513@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:39 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history. This is such a huge and critical decision that will have such a huge impact on not just the North Shore but all of Oahu. Please do what is right and just for a small community with such limited infrastructure this just cannot happen. Why must the powers that be always sell out to huge corporations and develop its Aina for what? why? Please create the right legacy to follow long after YOU are no longer in office.

Mahalo,

Mark Knutson

Mark Knutson

con513@aol.com

59-602 Kamehameha Hwy

Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: erikknutson808@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:32 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Erik Knutson

erikknutson808@gmail.com
59-602 Kamehameha Hwy
haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: judyknuts@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 7:30 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history. Please, Please do what is right and prove to a community that we do have a voice and that the political process is about what is right for the people.

Mahalo,
Judy Knutson

Judy KNutson

judyknuts@hawaii.rr.com
58-128 Iwai Pl
Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: langerm2@uwm.edu
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 1:35 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Karri Langerman

langerm2@uwm.edu
3059 N Maryland Ave apt. M
Milwaukee WI

Piikea Tomczyk

From: beachhousehawaii@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:43 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Scott Langford

beachhousehawaii@hotmail.com

PO BOX 721

Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: reniewong@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:01 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Renie Lindley

reniewong@yahoo.com
P.O. Box 765
Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: lkmcelheny@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 10:22 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Larry McElheny

lkmcelheny@gmail.com
59-272 Pupukea Road
Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: up2gold@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 10:52 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Stephen Nakamitsu

up2gold@hawaii.rr.com
7340 Kauhako St.
Honolulu 96825

Piikea Tomczyk

From: nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 6:35 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Michele Nihipali

nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com

54-074 A Kam Hwy

Hauula 96717

Piikea Tomczyk

From: sightpacific@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 4:11 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Paul and Judith Nelson

sightpacific@hawaii.rr.com
68-615 Farrington Hwy 23A
Waialua 96791

Piikea Tomczyk

From: wjperry@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 8:25 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

William Perry

wjperry@sbcglobal.net
57-086 Eleku Kuilima Pl
Kahului 96731

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Vikkipahia@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 9:19 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

V Pahia

Vikkipahia@yahoo.com

54-127 imua pl

Hau'ula 96717

Piikea Tomczyk

From: nimboy44@aol.com
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 9:54 AM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Bill Quinlan

nimboy44@aol.com
58-115 Napoonala Place
Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: djskey@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:43 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Dave Siroskey

djskey@hawaii.rr.com
54-278 Kaipapau Loop
Hauula 96717

Piikea Tomczyk

From: ellarn@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:33 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Ella Siroskey

ellarn@hawaii.rr.com
54-278 Kaipapau Loop
Hauula 96717

Piikea Tomczyk

From: miloliisunrise@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:33 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Hello,

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I am writing in support of these resolutions. The north shore was my playground growing up and I am intimately familiar with the bays and land surrounding the Turtle Bay resort. I can say unequivocally that there is no stretch of undeveloped coastline left on Oahu which matches the rugged beauty of these lands. Losing these lands to development would be a tragedy and it eats away at what the little that is left of old Hawaii. In addition, the traffic on the north shore is horrendous. My grandmother lives at Pupukea and to visit her on the weekends requires sitting in traffic for up to an hour depending on what time I drive out there. I can't imagine what the traffic would be like if Turtle Bay's plans come to fruition. Please work towards a solution which preserves the undeveloped lands around Turtle Bay.

Thank you,
Cory SoonCory Soon

miloliisunrise@yahoo.com
95-1499 Ainamakua Dr. #71
Mililani 96789

Piikea Tomczyk

From: gotonsbs@hawaiiantel.net
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 5:11 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Robert Smith

gotonsbs@hawaiiantel.net
59-220 Alapio Rd.
Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: Jon@caroltuttle.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 3:00 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Jonathan Tuttle

Jon@caroltuttle.com
61-573 Pohaku Loa Way
Haleiwa 97612

Piikea Tomczyk

From: suzannewesterly@earthlink.net
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:15 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDLTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Suzanne Westerly

suzannewesterly@earthlink.net

PO Box 576

Haleiwa 96712

Piikea Tomczyk

From: hawaiiannews@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 10:53 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Toni Yardley

hawaiiannews@hawaii.rr.com
2053 Kula St
Honolulu 96817

Piikea Tomczyk

From: lucialyou@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 10:24 PM
To: All Senators; All Reps; WTLTestimony; JDCTestimony
Subject: Support for SR121 and SCR164

Aloha-

Senate Resolution 121 and Senate Concurrent Resolution 164, request the Governor establish a working group to develop a conservation action plan to explore and identify conservation alternatives for the undeveloped portions of the Turtle Bay Hotel and resort property and surrounding lands with conservation or historic value. I write today in support of passage of these resolutions.

As you may know, the future of Turtle Bay Resort is in question again and the threat of this unfortunate legacy development in our rural communities still looms large. Current plans reflected in the developer's Supreme-court ordered Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) would result in a 300% increase in the development footprint on property and wreak havoc on traffic, native and endangered species, and infrastructure in Ko'olauloa and the North Shore. The State of Hawaii taxpayer would end up picking up the tab for such short-sighted planning and reckless lack of vision.

Your support of SR 121 and SCR 164 enables the State of Hawaii to play a proactive and vital role in solving this dilemma that has been unfolding for over 25 years. These resolutions will help to begin the effort to protect communities in Ko'olau Loa and the North Shore from the dangerous effects of massive development.

I am grateful for your support during this critical time in Oahu's history.

Mahalo,

Lucia You

lucialyou@gmail.com
767 N. Kalaheo Ave
Kailua 96734