


# SB519

Extends the repeal date of Act 88, SLH 2006, from 01/01/2016, to 01/01/2021. Extends tax credits for qualified film production costs incurred before 01/01/2021.



**DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS,  
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TOURISM**

No. 1 Capitol District Building, 250 South Hotel Street, 5th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804  
Web site: [www.hawaii.gov/dbedt](http://www.hawaii.gov/dbedt)

NEIL ABERCROMBIE  
GOVERNOR

RICHARD C. LIM  
DIRECTOR

MARY ALICE EVANS  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Telephone: (808) 586-2355  
Fax: (808) 586-2377

Statement of  
**RICHARD C. LIM**  
Director

Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism  
before the

**SENATE COMMITTEES ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT  
OPERATIONS AND HOUSING AND TECHNOLOGY AND THE ARTS**

Friday, February 08, 2013

3:15 p.m.

State Capitol, Conference Room 016

in consideration of

SB519

**RELATING TO MOTION PICTURES, DIGITAL MEDIA AND FILM PRODUCTION.**

Chair Dela Cruz, Chair Wakai, Vice Chair Slom, Vice Chair Nishihara and Members of the Committees.

The Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism (DBEDT) appreciates SB519 which extends the repeal date of Act 88 SLH 2006 from January 1, 2016 to January 1, 2021. However, we prefer the language in SB1029 and its companion HB799 which extends the sunset date to 2025, amends the cap from \$8 million to \$12 million per production, and includes internet distribution as a qualified expenditure.

From 2006 – 2012 the film production tax credit has helped generate over \$1.4 billion in estimated production revenues and \$2 billion in estimated economic impact. This program has positioned Hawaii as one of the world's top destinations for location filming. Together with infrastructure development, extending the life of the production tax credits will assist Hawaii in maintaining its reputation, stimulate more direct and indirect spending, create jobs and grow support businesses statewide. DBEDT, Creative Industries and the Hawaii Film Office appreciate the Legislature's support to maintain this effective credit which continues to grow our film and digital media sectors.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

**NEIL ABERCROMBIE**  
GOVERNOR

**SHAN TSUTSUI**  
LT. GOVERNOR



**FREDERICK D. PABLO**  
DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

**JOSHUA WISCH**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII  
**DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION**

P.O. BOX 259  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809  
PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540  
FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

**To:** The Honorable Donovan M. Dela Cruz, Chair  
and Members of the Senate Committee on Economic Development, Government  
Operations and Housing

The Honorable Glen Wakai, Chair  
and Members of the Senate Committee on Technology and the Arts

**Date:** Friday, February 8, 2013  
**Time:** 3:15 p.m.  
**Place:** Conference Room 016, State Capitol

**From:** Frederick D. Pablo, Director  
Department of Taxation

**Re:** S.B. 519 Relating to Motion Pictures, Digital Media, and Film Production

The Department of Taxation (Department) supports S.B. 519 and defers to the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism (DBEDT) on the merits of this bill and the Department of Budget and Finance on the revenue impact of this bill to the State's financial plan.

S.B. 519 extends the sunset date of Act 88, Session Laws of Hawaii 2006, from January 1, 2016 to January 1, 2021. Act 88 temporarily replaces the motion picture and film production tax credit with the motion picture, digital media, and film production tax credit which provides a refundable income tax credit amounting to 15% of qualified production costs incurred in any county of Hawaii with a population over 700,000, and 20% in any county of Hawaii with a population fewer than 700,000. DBEDT certifies the production costs qualified for this credit.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments.

**TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM G. MEYER, III**

HEARING DATE/TIME:       Friday, February 8, 2013  
                                  3:15 p.m. in Conference Room 016

TO:     Committee on Economic Development, Government Operations and Housing  
          Committee on Technology and the Arts

RE:     **Testimony in Support of SB519**

Dear Chairs, Vice-Chairs and Committee Members:

My name is William G. Meyer, III. I am a long time local attorney that practices intellectual property and entertainment law. I submit this testimony in strong support of SB519 with the minor caveat that the "excluding Internet-only distribution" language contained within the definition of "Digital Media" be stricken from SB519. Internet distribution of content continues to expand and an "Internet-only distribution exclusion" unduly restricts the type of production activity the State of Hawaii desires to attract. In this regard, Google has recently committed over \$200 million to produce new content for its multi-channel Internet TV (YouTube) platform. Additionally, traditional media content producers are now deploying or "sprouting" new content on the Web and, depending on the success of the same, migrating said content to traditional distribution channels. The State of Hawaii is expanding broadband, wifi, and other forms of "connectivity." In light of such activity, it is ironic that Hawaii's refundable production credit law still has an Internet-only distribution exclusion.

During last year's legislative session, I was one of many voices which advocated an increase in the production credit, an extension of the sunset date, and an increase in the production cap. I also argued at that time that a robust and competitive incentive program would increase production activity and that the local real estate market would respond to this "demand" by producing a "supply" of sound stages to accommodate the increased production activity. In this regard, in the January 17, 2013 issue of Variety the entertainment industry news giant reported that "Incentives inspire growth of studios and crew." Said article notes that the "Sun Center Studios located near Philadelphia and Pittsburgh's 31<sup>st</sup> Street Studios are both buzzing with activity resulting from Pennsylvania's 6-year-old 25% tax credit." The article goes on to note that: "The studios couldn't thrive without the business drawn by the state's 6-year-old production incentive, which features a 25% tax credit with an additional 5% for shooting in qualified studio facilities."

I have attached a copy of said article for your Committees to review and consider.

What was advocated last year is also true for this year. Hopefully this year Hawaii will not miss the boat and will make appropriate and competitive adjustments to Act 88 to help build the local film, television and digital media industries.

Very truly yours.

/S/ William G. Meyer, III

William G. Meyer, III

Attachment

# TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

**SUBJECT:** INCOME, Extend motion picture, digital media and film production credit

**BILL NUMBER:** SB 519; HB 469 (Identical)

**INTRODUCED BY:** SB by Chun Oakland; HB by Ward, Awana, Cheape, Fale, Hanohano, Har, Hashem, 1 Democrat and 1 Republican

**BRIEF SUMMARY:** Amends Act 88, SLH 2006, to extend the motion picture, digital media and film production credit from December 31, 2015 to December 1, 2022.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** Upon approval

**STAFF COMMENTS:** The legislature by Act 107, SLH 1997, enacted an income tax credit of 4% for costs incurred as a result of producing a motion picture or television film in the state and 7.25% for transient accommodations rented in connection with such activity. The credit was adopted largely to address the impost of the state's general excise tax on goods and services used by film producers. The exclusion of income received from royalties was initially established by Act 178, SLH 1999, as an incentive to attract high technology businesses to Hawaii. The original proposal would have applied to royalties and other income received from high technology businesses. This section of the law was later amended in 2000 by Act 297 which added the inclusion of royalties from "performing arts products" and again amended by Act 221, SLH 2001, to include authors of "performing arts products."

The legislature by Act 88, SLH 2006, increased the 4% credit to 15% in a county with a population over 700,000 and to 20% in a county with a population of 700,000 or less. Act 88 also repealed the income tax credit for transient accommodations and expanded the credit to include commercials and digital media productions, and limited the credit to \$8 million per qualified production. While this credit is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2015, this measure extends the credit to December 31, 2020.

These credits have been morphing and expanding into full-blown tax credits since they "got their foot in the door" in 1997. It should be remembered that the perpetuation and expansion of motion picture credits are a drain on the state treasury. It is incredulous how lawmakers can bemoan the fact that there are insufficient resources to catch up on the backlog of school repairs and maintenance, to fund social programs and not being able to provide tax relief to residents and yet they are willing to throw additional public resources at a subsidy of film production and media infrastructure as proposed in this measure. Taxpayers should be insulted that lawmakers can provide breaks for film productions but refuse to provide tax relief for residents, many of whom work two or three jobs just to keep a roof over their head and food on the table.

There is absolutely no rational basis for continuing these tax credits other than that other states are offering similar tax credits. Then again, those states can't offer paradise, year-round good weather during which to film. Instead of utilizing back door subsidies through tax credits, film industry advocates need to promote the beauty that is synonymous with Hawaii.

Income tax credits are designed to reduce the tax burden by providing relief for taxes paid. Tax credits are justified on the basis that taxpayers with a lesser ability to pay should be granted relief for state taxes imposed. Sponsors try to make an argument that Hawaii needs to enact such incentives to compete for this type of business, one has to ask "at what price?" Promoters of the film industry obviously don't give much credit to Hawaii's natural beauty and more recently its relative security. Just ask the actors of "Lost" or "Hawaii 5-0" who have bought homes here if they would like to work elsewhere.

While film producers may moan that they will lose money without the proposed tax credits, is there any offer to share the wealth when a film makes millions of dollars? If promoters of the film industry would just do their job in outlining the advantages of doing this type of work in Hawaii and address some of the costly barriers by correcting them, such tax incentives would not be necessary. From permitting to skilled labor to facilitating transportation of equipment, there are ways that could reduce the cost of filming in Hawaii. Unless these intrinsic elements are addressed, movie makers will probably demand subsidies, such as this incentive. Unfortunately, they come at the expense of all taxpayers and industries struggling to survive in Hawaii. While lawmakers look like a ship of fools, movie producers and promoters are laughing all the way to the bank and the real losers in this scenario are the poor taxpayers who continue to struggle to make ends meet, a scenario akin to the bread and circus of ancient Rome.

So while there may be the promise of a new industry and increased career opportunities, lawmakers must return to the cold hard reality of solving the problems at hand. The long and short of it is that due in large part to the irresponsibility of handling state finances in the past, taxpayers cannot afford proposals like this. Thanks to the gushing generosity of those lawmakers who gave the state's bank away in all sorts of tax incentive schemes in recent years, taxpayers have had to bear increasing tax burdens.

Instead of creating sustainable economic development incentives, the film tax credits waste money that could otherwise create an environment that is nurturing for all business activity, activity that lasts more than the six or eight months of a production. The overall tax burden could be lowered not only for families but for the businesses that provide long-term employment for Hawaii's people.

No doubt the advocates of extending film tax credits will drag out line workers to testify how important the credit is to maintaining their jobs, but do they have a response for their fellow workers in other industries who are on the bench? Sure it would be nice if the taxpayer could subsidize workers in other industries, but it comes at a price and subsidy of the film industry extracts its price in the form of lesser activity for those other industries which don't receive tax credits and other public subsidies. Thus, the vibrancy of the economy shines only on those select few industries such as the film industry that can lure the support of state lawmakers. Meanwhile, other industries suffer under an even heavier burden of taxes and regulations.

Digested 2/6/13