

HB1150, HD1

Measure Title: RELATING TO CONTRACTS.
 Report Title: Owner's Agreement; Attorney's Fees; Unclaimed Property
 Description: Exempts an owner's agreement with an attorney to file a claim as to identified property or contest the administrator's denial of a claim from the application of section 523A-25, Hawaii Revised Statutes. Effective 07/01/2112. (HD1)
 Companion:
 Package: None
 Current Referral: CPN, JDL
 Introducer(s): NISHIMOTO (Introduced by request of another party)

<u>Sort by Date</u>		<u>Status Text</u>
1/23/2013	H	Pending introduction.
1/24/2013	H	Introduced and Passed First Reading
1/28/2013	H	Referred to CPC, FIN, referral sheet 6
2/7/2013	H	Bill scheduled to be heard by CPC on Monday, 02-11-13 3:30PM in House conference room 325.
2/11/2013	H	The committee(s) on CPC recommend(s) that the measure be deferred until 02-13-13.
2/11/2013	H	Bill scheduled for decision making on Wednesday, 02-13-13 2:00PM in conference room 325.
2/13/2013	H	The committees on CPC recommend that the measure be PASSED, WITH AMENDMENTS. The votes were as follows: 10 Ayes: Representative(s) McKelvey, Kawakami, Belatti, Brower, Cachola, Carroll, Evans, Tsuji, McDermott, Thielen; Ayes with reservations: none; Noes: none; and 5 Excused: Representative(s) Cabanilla, Har, Ito, C. Lee, Yamane.
2/15/2013	H	Reported from CPC (Stand. Com. Rep. No. 510) as amended in HD 1, recommending passage on Second Reading and referral to FIN.
2/15/2013	H	Passed Second Reading as amended in HD 1 and referred to the committee(s) on FIN with none voting aye with reservations; none voting no (0) and Carroll, Coffman, Hanohano, Har, Kawakami, Ward excused (6).
2/20/2013	H	Bill scheduled to be heard by FIN on Friday, 02-22-13 1:30PM in House conference room 308.
2/22/2013	H	The committees on FIN recommend that the measure be PASSED, UNAMENDED. The votes were as follows: 17 Ayes: Representative(s) Luke, Nishimoto, Johanson, Cullen, Hashem, Ing, Jordan, Kobayashi, Lowen, Morikawa, Onishi, Takayama, Tokioka, Woodson, Yamashita, Fukumoto, Ward; Ayes with reservations: none; Noes: none; and Excused: none.
2/28/2013	H	Reported from FIN (Stand. Com. Rep. No. 671), recommending passage on Third Reading.
2/28/2013	H	Passed Third Reading with none voting aye with reservations; none voting no (0) and Coffman, McDermott excused (2). Transmitted to Senate.
3/1/2013	S	Received from House (Hse. Com. No. 122).
3/1/2013	S	Passed First Reading.
3/5/2013	S	Referred to CPN, JDL.
3/7/2013	S	The committee(s) on CPN has scheduled a public hearing on 03-12-13 10:00AM in conference room 229.



Collection Law Section

Chair:
Steven Guttman

Vice Chair:
William J. Plum

Secretary:
Thomas J. Wong

Treasurer:
Ariette S. Harada

Directors:
Lynn Araki-Regan
Marvin S.C. Dang
David C. Farmer
Christopher Shea Goodwin
Steven Guttman
Ariette S. Harada
James Hochberg
Elizabeth A. Kane
William J. Plum
David B. Rosen
Andrew Salenger
Mark T. Shklov
Yuriko J. Sugimura
Thomas J. Wong

Reply to: **STEVEN GUTTMAN, CHAIR**
220 SOUTH KING STREET SUITE 1900
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
TELEPHONE: (808) 536-1900
FAX: (808) 529-7177
E-MAIL: sguttman@kdubm.com

March 9, 2013

Senator Rosalyn H. Baker
Chair, Senate Commerce and Consumer Protection Committee
Hawaii State Capitol
Honolulu, Hawaii

**RE: HB 1150 - RELATING TO CONTRACTS AND ATTORNEY'S
FEES TO ASSIST IN THE RECOVERY OF UNCLAIMED
PROPERTY UNDER HRS CHAPTER 532-A**

**TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF HB 1150
HEARING 3/12/13 @ 10:00 AM**

Dear Senator Baker and Committee Members:

The Collection Law Section ("CLS") of the Hawaii State Bar Association ("HSBA") requests that you support HB 1150 relating to the attorney's fees allowed in the assistance of the recovery of unclaimed property under Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) Chapter 523A.¹ Chapter 523A deals with property that has escheated to the State Department of Finance (the Dept.). Typically, this includes such property as bank accounts, insurance proceeds, proceeds from a decedent's estate, and the like. The reason for the proposed change is to deal with a limitation enacted last year under Act 229 capping fees for *anyone* who assists another in recovering unclaimed funds from the Dept. to 10% of the amount recovered.

While there may be reasons for applying a 10% cap to non-attorneys who provide services in this area, applying a 10% cap to attorneys severely limits the public's ability to engage an attorney to assist them to recover property they believe is theirs. For example, the vast majority of the accounts held by the Dept. are below \$5,000. To obtain property held, a claimant must meet the Dept.'s criteria for release of the property. If any difficulty or complexity is encountered in the claims process, including competing claims for the same property or the need to bring an action for review of the Dept.'s decision in Circuit Court - even the most simple involvement of an attorney is quickly going to go above the 10% limit in all but the very largest of cases. In the end, retaining an attorney by the hour, or on a contingency basis (if the

¹ These comments represent the views of the Collection Law Section only and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Hawaii State Bar Association as a whole.

March 9, 2013

Page 2

claimant does not have the funds to pay up front or if the claimant does not want to take the *risk* involved if no recovery is obtained), is *not* going to be possible for the majority of the public.

Furthermore, there is evidence to suggest that placing a 10% cap in Act 229 on every service provider potentially available to help a claimant obtain a recovery *may* have been an oversight. For instance, HRS §523A-25(a) bars the retention of potential service providers within the first 24 months after the Dept. receives an account, yet the same subsection provides an *exemption* that allows claimants to *retain attorneys* during this period. In other words, the law, as currently written, recognized that attorneys offer a unique set of services and that some people may want, or need, to hire an attorney to assist them with their claim. Those who fall into that class of individuals include the elderly, the less sophisticated, personal representatives of estates, receivers, former Hawaii residents now residing on the mainland or abroad, foreign nationals, or people who, for whatever reason, would simply prefer to have an attorney handle the claims process for them.

Enacting the proposed legislation would allow members of the public more options and greater ability to recover funds than is possible with the current restrictions. **If the idea of the Unclaimed Property Program is to get the funds or other property to the person entitled to receive those funds or property, then passing this proposed legislation will move the law one step closer to achieving that goal.**

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Bill Plum \S\

Bill Plum
Vice Chair
The Collection Law Section
of the Hawaii State Bar
Association

cc: HSBA
Steven Guttman

\hb1150-6.cls