A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

- 1 The legislature finds that the procurement SECTION 1. 2 process is in need of reform so that taxpayers dollars are not 3 wasted. Remedial measures can cost taxpayers more money in the 4 long term. The usual principle of awarding contracts to the 5 lowest bidder may lead to substandard work, because contractors 6 may artificially lower bids to gain a contract, in spite of a lack of qualifications or worse a record of poor performance in 7 8 the past. 9 Therefore the purpose of this Act is to: 10 (1)Allow past performance to be factored into the future 11 bid selection of a contractor, including a review of 12 delays, cost overruns, and compliance with project 13 requirements; and 14 (2) 15
 - (2) Include in each bid evaluation a review of assessments from past work from a potential contractor and allow a consideration of assessments of prior work in the awarding of contracts.

16

17

1 SECTION 2. Section 103D-302, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 2 amended by amending subsection (f) to read as follows: 3 "(f) Bids shall be evaluated based on the requirements set 4 forth in the invitation for bids. These requirements may 5 include criteria to determine acceptability such as inspection, 6 testing, quality, workmanship, delivery, and suitability for a 7 particular purpose. Those criteria that will affect the bid 8 price and be considered in evaluation for award shall be 9 [objectively measurable,] fair in the eyes of a reasonably 10 objective taxpayer, such as discounts, transportation costs, 11 [and] total or life cycle costs[-], and the bidder's past 12 performance on projects of similar scope for public agencies, 13 including delays, cost overruns, corrective actions, responses 14 to notices of deficiencies, and assessments of the bidder's prior work. The invitation for bids shall set forth the 15 16 evaluation criteria to be used. No criteria may be used in bid **17** evaluation that are not set forth in the invitation for bids." SECTION 3. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 18 19 amended by amending subsection (g) to read as follows: 20 "(q) Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous, 21 22 taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set

HB1374 HD1 HMS 2013-1968

forth in the request for proposals [-], which shall include the 1 2 offeror's past performance on projects of similar scope for 3 public agencies, including delays, cost overruns, corrective 4 actions, responses to notices of deficiencies, and assessments 5 of the offeror's prior work. No [other factors or] criteria 6 [shall] may be used in the evaluation[-] that are not set forth 7 in the request for proposals. The contract file shall contain the basis on which the award is made." 8 9 SECTION 4. Section 103D-304, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 10 amended by amending subsection (e) to read as follows: "(e) The selection criteria employed in descending order 11 12 of importance shall be: Experience and professional qualifications relevant to 13 (1)the project type; 14 (2) Past performance on projects of similar scope for 15 16 public agencies or private industry, including delays, 17 corrective actions, [and other] responses to notices of deficiencies[+], and assessments of prior work; 18 Capacity to accomplish the work in the required time; 19 (3) 20 and 21 Any additional criteria determined in writing by the (4)

selection committee to be relevant to the purchasing

22

```
1
              agency's needs or necessary and appropriate to ensure
2
              full, open, and fair competition for professional
3
              services contracts."
4
         SECTION 5. Section 103D-310, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
5
    amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
6
               Whether or not an intention to bid is required, the
         "(b)
7
    procurement officer shall determine whether the prospective
8
    offeror has the financial ability, resources, skills,
9
    capability, and business integrity necessary to perform the
10
    work. For this purpose, the officer, in the officer's
11
    discretion, may require any prospective offeror to submit
12
    answers, under oath, to questions contained in a standard form
    of questionnaire to be prepared by the policy board. Whenever
13
14
    it appears from answers to the questionnaire or otherwise, that
15
    the prospective offeror is not fully qualified and able to
    perform the intended work, a written determination of
16
17
    nonresponsibility of an offeror shall be made by the head of the
18
    purchasing agency, in accordance with rules adopted by the
19
    policy board. The offeror shall be required to submit
20
    information, including information on and assessments of prior
21
    work of similar scope for public agencies. The unreasonable
22
    failure of an offeror to promptly supply information in
    HB1374 HD1 HMS 2013-1968
```

H.B. NO. H.D.

- 1 connection with an inquiry with respect to responsibility may be
- 2 grounds for a determination of nonresponsibility with respect to
- 3 such offeror. The decision of the head of the purchasing agency
- 4 shall be final unless the offeror applies for administrative
- 5 review pursuant to section 103D-709."
- 6 SECTION 6. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
- 7 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
- 8 SECTION 7. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
- 9 matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
- 10 begun before its effective date.
- 11 SECTION 8. If any provision of this Act, or the
- 12 application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held
- 13 invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
- 14 applications of the Act that can be given effect without the
- 15 invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions
- 16 of this Act are severable.
- 17 SECTION 9. This Act shall take effect on January 1, 2020.

Report Title:

Procurement; Past Performance; Criteria

Description:

Allows past performance to be factored into future bid selection of a contractor including a review of delays, cost overruns, corrective actions, and responses to notices of deficiencies. Effective January 1, 2020. (HB1374 HD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.