EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS

NEIL ABERCROMBIE

June 14, 2013

The Honorable Donna Mercado Kim,
President
and Members of the Senate
Twenty-Seventh State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 409
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

The Honorable Joseph M. Souki, Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives Twenty-Seventh State Legislature State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kim, Speaker Souki, and Members of the Legislature:

This is to inform you that on June 14, 2013, the following bill was signed into law:

HB1137 HD1 SD2 CD1

RELATING TO FAMILY COURT ACT 103 (13)

NEIL ABERCROMBIE

Governor, State of Hawaii

Approved by the Governor

on <u>JUN 1 4</u> 2013

ORIGINAL

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TWENTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATURE, 2013 STATE OF HAWAII

H.B. NO.

1137 H.D. 1 S.D. 2

C.D. 1

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO FAMILY COURT.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

T		SECT.	r OM	1. AC	L 14:	e, session	Laws	OI F	iawall	2008,	requires	3
2	the	court	to	define	the	requireme	nts to	be	a cour	rt-appo	ointed	

- 2 the state to astrocome the requirements to be a state appointed
- 3 child custody evaluator, the standards of practice, ethics,
- 4 policies, and procedures required of court-appointed child
- 5 custody evaluators in the performance of their duties for all
- 6 courts, and the powers of the courts over child custody
- 7 evaluators to effectuate the best interests of a child in a
- 8 contested custody dispute. Act 149 further provides that where
- ${f 9}$ there is no child custody evaluator available that meets the
- 10 requirements and standards, or any child custody evaluator to
- 11 serve indigent parties, the court may appoint a person otherwise
- 12 willing and available.
- Actions for divorce, separation, annulment, or separate
- 14 maintenance, or any other proceeding where there is at issue a
- 15 dispute as to the custody of a minor child, allow the family
- 16 court, during the pendency of the action, at the final hearing,
- 17 or at any time during the minority of the child, to make an
- order for the custody of the minor child as may be necessary or HB1137 CD1 HMS 2013-3513



- 1 proper. For this purpose, section 571-46, Hawaii Revised
- 2 Statutes, allows the court to appoint a child custody evaluator
- 3 for an investigation and report concerning the care, welfare,
- 4 and custody of any minor child of the parties. The court may
- 5 also hear testimony from any person or expert whose skill,
- 6 insight, knowledge, or experience is such that the person's or
- 7 expert's testimony is relevant to a just and reasonable
- 8 determination of what is for the best physical, mental, moral,
- 9 and spiritual well-being of the child whose custody is at issue.
- 10 The legislature finds that Act 149 needs clarification to
- 11 expedite the establishment of a system of child custody
- 12 evaluators that ensures competent evidence and a fair
- 13 determination in child custody cases.
- 14 The purpose of this Act is to clarify the appointment
- 15 requirements and qualifications for child custody evaluators and
- 16 to require the judiciary to establish a referral process for
- 17 complaints regarding court-appointed child custody evaluators.
- 18 SECTION 2. Chapter 571, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 19 amended by adding a new section to part V to be appropriately
- 20 designated and to read as follows:
- 21 "§571- Child custody evaluators; qualification;
- 22 registry; complaints. (a) A person may be appointed as a child

HB1137 CD1 HMS 2013-3513

1	custody e	valuator for purposes of section 571-46 if the person
2	is active	ly licensed as a:
3	(1)	Physician under chapter 453 and is a board certified
4		psychiatrist or has completed a residency in
5		psychiatry;
6	(2)	Psychologist under chapter 465;
7	<u>(3)</u>	Marriage and family therapist under chapter 451J; or
8	(4)	Clinical social worker under section 467E-7(3).
9	(b)_	A person may be appointed as a child custody evaluator
10	in the ab	sence of a license under subsection (a) if:
11	(1)	The individual has obtained education and training
12		that meet nationally recognized competencies and
13		standards of practice in child custody evaluation;
14		provided that there are no child custody evaluators
15		enumerated under subsection (a) who are willing and
16		available, within a reasonable period of time, to
17		perform child custody evaluations; or
18	(2)	The parties stipulate to a person who does not qualify
19		as a child custody evaluator under subsection (a) and
20		the court approves that person as a fact-finding
21		investigator to the court.

1 The judiciary shall maintain on its website a publicly 2 accessible registry of child custody evaluators who are qualified pursuant to this section. Professionals who are 3 4 willing and available to perform child custody evaluations shall 5 be responsible for providing the judiciary with relevant 6 information, including contact information, evidence of 7 qualifications, and fees. 8 The judiciary shall establish a referral process to 9 allow parties to file a complaint with the judiciary regarding a 10 court-appointed child custody evaluator. Upon notification by a 11 party of the party's intent to file a complaint against a child 12 custody evaluator appointed under subsection (a), the judiciary may refer the complainant to the appropriate licensing 13 14 authority. The judiciary shall submit to the legislature an annual report regarding the number of complaints against court-15 16 appointed child custody evaluators that are processed through **17** the referral process. 18 (e) A complaint against a court-appointed child custody 19 evaluator not qualified under subsection (a) may be resolved 20 through civil litigation." SECTION 3. Section 571-46, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 21 22 amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows: HB1137 CD1 HMS 2013-3513

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

H.B. NO. H.D. 1 S.D. 2

1	"(a) In actions for divorce, separation, annulment,
2	separate maintenance, or any other proceeding where there is at
3	issue a dispute as to the custody of a minor child, the court,
4	during the pendency of the action, at the final hearing, or any
5	time during the minority of the child, may make an order for the
6	custody of the minor child as may seem necessary or proper. In
7	awarding the custody, the court shall be guided by the following
8	standards, considerations, and procedures:
9	(1) Custody should be awarded to either parent or to both
10	parents according to the best interests of the child,

- (1) Custody should be awarded to either parent or to both parents according to the best interests of the child, and the court also may consider frequent, continuing, and meaningful contact of each parent with the child unless the court finds that a parent is unable to act in the best interest of the child;
- (2) Custody may be awarded to persons other than the father or mother whenever the award serves the best interest of the child. Any person who has had de facto custody of the child in a stable and wholesome home and is a fit and proper person shall be entitled prima facie to an award of custody;
- (3) If a child is of sufficient age and capacity to reason, so as to form an intelligent preference, the

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

l .	child's wishes as to custody shall be considered and
2	be given due weight by the court;

(4)Whenever good cause appears therefor, the court may require an investigation and report concerning the care, welfare, and custody of any minor child of the parties. When so directed by the court, investigators or professional personnel attached to or assisting the court, hereinafter referred to as child custody evaluators, shall make investigations and reports that shall be made available to all interested parties and counsel before hearing, and the reports may be received in evidence if no objection is made and, if objection is made, may be received in evidence; provided the person or persons responsible for the report are available for cross-examination as to any matter that has been investigated; and provided further that the court shall define, in accordance with section 571- , the requirements to be a courtappointed child custody evaluator, the standards of practice, ethics, policies, and procedures required of court-appointed child custody evaluators in the performance of their duties for all courts, and the

1		powers of the courts over child custody evaluators to
. 2		effectuate the best interests of a child in a
3		contested custody dispute pursuant to this section.
4		Where there is no child custody evaluator available
5		that meets the requirements and standards, or any
6		child custody evaluator to serve indigent parties, the
7		court may appoint a person otherwise willing and
8		available[+] in accordance with section 571- ;
9	(5)	The court may hear the testimony of any person or
10		expert, produced by any party or upon the court's own
11		motion, whose skill, insight, knowledge, or experience
12		is such that the person's or expert's testimony is
13		relevant to a just and reasonable determination of
14		what is for the best physical, mental, moral, and
15		spiritual well-being of the child whose custody is at
16		issue;
17	. (6)	Any custody award shall be subject to modification or
18		change whenever the best interests of the child
19		require or justify the modification or change and,
20		wherever practicable, the same person who made the
21	·	original order shall hear the motion or petition for
22		modification of the prior award;

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

H.B. NO.

(7)	Reasonable visitation rights shall be awarded to
	parents, grandparents, siblings, and any person
	interested in the welfare of the child in the
	discretion of the court, unless it is shown that
	rights of visitation are detrimental to the best
	interests of the child;

- (8) The court may appoint a quardian ad litem to represent the interests of the child and may assess the reasonable fees and expenses of the guardian ad litem as costs of the action, payable in whole or in part by either or both parties as the circumstances may justify;
- 13 (9)In every proceeding where there is at issue a dispute as to the custody of a child, a determination by the 14 court that family violence has been committed by a 15 parent raises a rebuttable presumption that it is 16 17 detrimental to the child and not in the best interest 18 of the child to be placed in sole custody, joint legal custody, or joint physical custody with the 19 perpetrator of family violence. In addition to other 20 factors that a court shall consider in a proceeding in 21 which the custody of a child or visitation by a parent

1		is at issue, and in which the court has made a finding
2		of family violence by a parent:
3		(A) The court shall consider as the primary factor
4		the safety and well-being of the child and of the
5		parent who is the victim of family violence;
6		(B) The court shall consider the perpetrator's
7		history of causing physical harm, bodily injury,
8		or assault or causing reasonable fear of physical
9		harm, bodily injury, or assault to another
10		person; and
11		(C) If a parent is absent or relocates because of an
12		act of family violence by the other parent, the
13		absence or relocation shall not be a factor that
14		weighs against the parent in determining custody
15		or visitation;
16	(10)	A court may award visitation to a parent who has
17		committed family violence only if the court finds that
18		adequate provision can be made for the physical safety
19		and psychological well-being of the child and for the
20		safety of the parent who is a victim of family
21		violence;
22	(11)	In a visitation order, a court may:

HB1137 CD1 HMS 2013-3513

1	(A)	Order an exchange of a child to occur in a
2		protected setting;
3	(B)	Order visitation supervised by another person or
4		agency;
5	(C)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to
6		attend and complete, to the satisfaction of the
7		court, a program of intervention for perpetrators
8		or other designated counseling as a condition of
9		the visitation;
10	(D)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to
11		abstain from possession or consumption of alcohol
12		or controlled substances during the visitation
13		and for twenty-four hours preceding the
14		visitation;
15	(E)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to pay a
16		fee to defray the costs of supervised visitation;
17	(F)	Prohibit overnight visitation;
18	(G)	Require a bond from the perpetrator of family
19		violence for the return and safety of the child.
20		In determining the amount of the bond, the court
21 .		shall consider the financial circumstances of the
22		perpetrator of family violence;

HB1137 CD1 HMS 2013-3513

1		(H) impose any other condition that is deemed
2		necessary to provide for the safety of the child,
3		the victim of family violence, or other family or
4		household member; and
5		(I) Order the address of the child and the victim to
6		be kept confidential;
7	(12)	The court may refer but shall not order an adult who
8		is a victim of family violence to attend, either
9		individually or with the perpetrator of the family
10		violence, counseling relating to the victim's status
11		or behavior as a victim as a condition of receiving
12		custody of a child or as a condition of visitation;
13	(13)	If a court allows a family or household member to
14	•	supervise visitation, the court shall establish
15		conditions to be followed during visitation;
16	(14)	A supervised visitation center shall provide a secure
17		setting and specialized procedures for supervised
18		visitation and the transfer of children for visitation
19		and supervision by a person trained in security and
20		the avoidance of family violence; and

1	(15)	The court may include in visitation	n awarded pursuant
2		to this section visitation by elect	cronic communication
3		provided that the court shall addit	cionally consider [÷
4		$\frac{(A)}{(A)}$ The the potential for abuse of	or misuse of the
5		electronic communication, inc	luding the equipment
6		used for the communication, by	y the person seeking
7		visitation or by persons who	may be present
8		during the visitation or have	access to the
9		communication or equipment;	
10		(B) Whether whether the person se	eeking visitation
11.		has previously violated a temp	porary restraining
12		order or protective order; and	d
13		(C) Whether adequate pro-	vision can be made
14		for the physical safety and pa	sychological well-
15		being of the child and for the	e safety of the
16		custodial parent.	
17		The court may set conditions	for visitation by
18		electronic communication, including	g visitation
19		supervised by another person or oc	curring in a
20		protected setting. Visitation by	electronic
21		communication shall not be used to	:

1137 H.D. 1 S.D. 2 H.B. NO. C.D. 1

1	(A) R	deplace or substitute an award of custody or
2		physical visitation except where:
3	(i) Circumstances exist that make a parent
4		seeking visitation unable to participate in
5		physical visitation, including military
6		deployment; or
7	(i	i) Physical visitation may subject the child t
8		physical or extreme psychological harm; or
9	(B) J	Sustify or support the relocation of a custodial
10	F	parent."
1	SECTION 4.	Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
12	and stricken. N	New statutory material is underscored.
13	SECTION 5.	This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

JUN

, 2013

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

APPROVED this 14 day of