SB 2666

.

+

BRIAN SCHATZ LT. GOVERNOR



FREDERICK D. PABLO DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

RANDOLF L. M. BALDEMOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

To: The Honorable Mike Gabbard and Carol Fukunaga, Chairs and members of the Senate Committee on Energy and Environment and the Senate Committee on Economic Development and Technology
Date: Thursday, February 9, 2012
Time: 3:00 P.M.
Place: Conference Room 225, State Capitol
From: Frederick D. Pablo, Director Department of Taxation

Re: S. B. 2666, Relating to Energy

The Department of Taxation appreciates the intent of S.B. No. 2666 and provides the following information and comments for your consideration.

S.B. 2666 creates an income tax credit for development and construction costs for qualifying biofuel production facilities, repeals the January 1, 2017 sunset provision, defines "oil refinery," and clarifies that an environmental assessment is required for an expansion of an existing oil refinery.

The Department defers to the Department of the Attorney General regarding the Constitutionality of the proposed legislation; however, the Department notes that Section (d)(1) of the proposed statute may conflict with the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution in that it requires developers of a biofuel facility to purchase locally grown products, rather than purchasing products from out of state, in order to qualify for the proposed tax credit.

Subsections (c) and (f) of the proposed legislation refers to the date on which a biofuel facility becomes "commercially operational." The Department recommends replacing this term with "placed in service" to bring the proposed measure in line with other tax legislation and eliminate any ambiguity for tax professionals.

Subsection (j) of the measure refers to "qualified production costs," a term not defined in the legislation. The Department recommends that this language be changed to "qualified development and construction costs," similar to the term used in subsection (b) and defined in subsection (n).

Department of Taxation Testimony ENE-EDT SB 2666 February 9, 2012 Page 2 of 2

The Department additionally notes that subsection (n) of the proposed legislation makes reference to the Internal Revenue Code "as it read on March 1, 2004" for the purposes of determining what qualifies as a capital expenditure. Changes to the Internal Revenue Code are frequent. The Department suggests that the legislation be changed to insert the desired language rather than reference a prior version of the Internal Revenue Code in order to ease administration of this tax credit and prevent confusion among both taxpayers and administrators.

۱

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments.

NEIL ABERCROMBIE GOVERNOR OF HAWAI'I



GARY L. HOOSER DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAI'I OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL 235 S BERETANIA ST. SUITE 702 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96813 Tel. (808) 586-4185 Fax. (808) 586-4186 Email: oegc@doh.hawaii.gov

Senate Committee on Energy and Environment Senate Committee on Economic Development and Technology S.B. No. 2666 Relating to Energy

Testimony of Gary Hooser Director of the Office of Environmental Quality Control

February 9, 2012

- 1 Office's Position: Supports section that relates to Chapter 343 HRS
- 2 Fiscal Implications: None
- 3 Purpose and Justification: The Office of Environmental Quality Control supports that portion
- 4 of SB2666, which amends the definition of oil refinery to include the expansion of an existing oil
- 5 refinery.
- 6

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304 TA

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: INCOME, Biofuel production facility tax credit

BILL NUMBER: SB 2666; HB 2669 (Identical)

INTRODUCED BY: SB by Dela Cruz; HB Chang, Cabanilla, Cullen, Hashem, Ichiyama, Ito, Manahan, McKelvey, Mizuno, Tokioka and 2 Democrats

BRIEF SUMMARY: Adds a new section to HRS chapter 235 to allow a taxpayer to claim a biofuel production facility income tax credit of 15% of the qualified development and construction costs of the facility. To qualify for the credit, a facility shall: (1) be located in the state and use locally grown feedstock for at least 75% of its production output; (2) meet the definition of a qualified biofuel production facility; (3) have a production capacity of at least 5 million gallons; (4) have qualified development and construction costs totaling at least \$10 million; and (5) be in production on or before January 1, 2017. The total credits claimed per qualified biofuel facility shall not exceed \$60 million.

Requires the taxpayer to first prequalify for the credit by registering with the department of business, economic development, and tourism (DBEDT) during the development or construction stage. Failure to comply with this provision may constitute a waiver of the right to claim the credit. Requires every taxpayer claiming the credit to submit a written, sworn statement to DBEDT no later than 90 days following the end of a tax year.

Every taxpayer claiming the credit must submit a written statement to DBEDT within 90 days of the close of the tax year of the qualified costs, amount of tax credits claimed and the number of hires related to the development or construction of the facility in a taxable year. Requires DBEDT to maintain records of the taxpayers claiming the credit, obtain and total the aggregate amounts of the construction costs for each facility and provide a letter to the director of taxation delineating the amount of tax credit for each facility and the cumulative amount claimed for all years.

In the case of a partnership, S corporation, estate, or trust, the tax credit allowable shall be for qualified production costs incurred by the entity with the cost upon which the tax credit is computed shall be at the entity level. Distribution and share of the tax credit shall be determined by rule adopted by the director of taxation. If a deduction is taken under section 179 (with respect to election to expense depreciable business assets) of the Internal Revenue Code, no tax credit shall be allowed for those costs for which the deduction is taken. The basis for eligible property for depreciation of accelerated cost recovery system purposes for state income taxes shall be reduced by the amount of credit allowable and claimed.

Credits in excess of tax liability shall be refunded provided such amounts are in excess of \$1. Requires all claims for the credit to be filed before the end of the twelfth month following the end of tax year. The director of taxation shall prepare forms as may be necessary to claim the credit and may adopt rules pursuant to chapter 91.

SB 2666; HB 2669 - Continued

Defines "qualified biofuel production facility" and "qualified development and construction cost" for purposes of the measure.

The credit shall be applicable to qualified development and construction costs incurred on or after July 1, 2011 and before January 1, 2017. Repeals this credit on January 1, 2017 provided that any qualified cost incurred before January 1, 2017 shall be eligible for the credit in the immediately following tax year if not claimed in a prior taxable year or before the repeal date of this act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2012; applicable to tax year beginning after December 31, 2011

STAFF COMMENTS: The legislature by Act 289, SLH 2000, established an investment tax credit to encourage the construction of an ethanol production facility in the state. The legislature by Act 140, SLH 2004, changed the credit from an investment tax credit to a facility tax credit. This measure proposes a similar credit for the production of biofuels.

While it has been almost ten years since the credit for the construction of an ethanol plant in Hawaii was enacted and ground has not broken yet, it appears that there are other far more efficient biofuels that could be developed and, therefore, the existing credit, which is specific to ethanol, might not be available to assist in the development of these other types of fuels.

While the idea of providing a tax credit to encourage such activities may have been acceptable a few years ago when the economy was on a roll and advocates could point to credits like those to encourage construction and renovation activities, what lawmakers and administrators have learned in these past few months is that unbridled tax incentives, where there is no accountability or limits on how much in credits can be claimed, are indeed irresponsible as the cost of these credits go far beyond what was ever contemplated.

As an alternative, lawmakers should consider repealing the existing ethanol facility tax credit and utilize other strategies to encourage the development and use of alternate energy resources such as a loan program or the issuance of special revenue bonds for this purpose or perhaps even a specific appropriation of taxpayer dollars. At least lawmakers would have a better idea of what is being funded and hold the developers of these alternate forms of energy to a deliberate timetable or else lose the funds altogether. A direct appropriation would be preferable to a tax credit as it would provide some accountability for the taxpayers' funds being utilized to support this effort.

Finally, this proposal verifies what has been said all along about legislators latching onto the fad of the month without doing very serious research. While ethanol was the panacea of yesterday, lawmakers have learned that there are more down sides to the use of ethanol than there are pluses. Ethanol production demands more energy to produce than using a traditional petroleum product to produce the same amount of energy and the feedstock that is used to produce ethanol basically redirects demand for that feedstock away from traditional uses, causing those other products to substantially increase in price. Even algae, which was once thought of as a great alternative fuel, has been reported to consume more energy and resources than the energy that is produced from the substance. Lawmakers have a wealth of resource information at their finger tips through the Hawaii Natural Energy Institute upon which to draw and learn more about cutting edge research in this area.

Digested 2/8/12

TESTIMONY OF DR. MELVIN H. CHIOGIOJI OF AINA KOA PONO BEFORE SENATE COMMITTEES ON ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY ON SB2666 FEBRUARY 9, 2012

Mr. Chairman/Ms Chairwoman and members of the Committees on Energy and Environment and Economic Development and Technology.

Thank you for allowing Aina Koa Pono to provide testimony on SB2666. Aina Koa Pono supports strongly all provisions of SB2666. The following are our comments and rationale for support of SB2666.

New Biofuel Production Facilities Will Help the State

- Job Growth Large-scale biofuel production facilities will provide hundreds of high-paying permanent jobs for the state. Aina Koa Pono estimates that its planned Ka'u facility will create 400 construction jobs during construction and up to 200 permanent jobs for the next 20 to 30 years. If 5 of the same size plants could be built in the next 3 years, we could create 2000 construction jobs and potentially 1000 permanent jobs.
- Agricultural Land Use Biofuel will return thousands of acres of currently-fallow land to agricultural production. This will help reinvigorate Hawai`i's agricultural economy. There are currently at least 500,000 acres of fallow land suitable for use for biofeedstock production.
- Clean Energy Large biofuel production facilities can be an integral part of Hawai`i's clean energy future.
- Economic Development and Increased Tax Revenues Will create immediate economic development and produce increased tax revenues.
- Economic Impact Hawaii currently imports about 2,000,000,000 gallons of liquid fuel per day at a cost of approximately \$5,000,000,000 per year. If 25% of that fuel could be produced in Hawaii, the direct economic impact would be \$1,250,000,000 feeding directly back into the Hawaiian economy with a total economic impact of approximately \$4,000,000,000 per year.
- Curb Energy Costs Energy costs have escalated by 50% over the past year. In January of last year for example, HECO was paying \$90 per barrel for Low Sulfur Fuel Oil and they are currently paying \$135 per barrel. This has translated into electricity prices in Honolulu going from 27 cents per KWH in January 2011 to approximately 35 cents per KWH today. With world politics as it is particularly in Iran, there are projections of oil going to \$200 per barrel this year. Hawaii cannot afford this and must develop local resources quickly.

A Biofuel Investment Incentive Tax Credit is Needed

• **Investment Incentive Needed** - Large-scale biofuel production facilities will not be built in the near future without an investment incentive tax credit. The technology is too new and the location too remote to attract the large amounts of Mainland capital that are needed.

• **Production Subsidy Not Sufficient** - The biofuel production subsidy proposed in HB2262 is not a sufficient investment incentive. Although helpful, it will not attract enough new capital to Hawai'i for the construction of new large-scale production facilities which are needed for energy self sufficiency.

Investment Incentive Tax Credit Will Not Cost the State

- No Payment Until 2015 Under the proposed investment incentive tax credit, the state will pay no money until 2015 at earliest.
- No Payment Until Plant Operational In addition, the production facility will have to be fully operational before the State is required to pay any money. The jobs will already have been created and the State will have already benefitted before any credits can be taken.
- Bill is revenue positive Over the life of the project, when considering GET and income tax revenues, the bill is revenue positive. Approximately ½ of the tax credit will be received by the State prior to having to pay the credit. The bill is revenue positive by 2019.

Thank you for allowing us to testify on this important bill for the State of Hawaii in enhancing economic development, creating jobs, and moving towards energy independence.

HAWAII RENEWABLE ENERGY ALLIANCE

46-040 Konane Place #3816, Kaneohe, HI 96744 - Telephone/FAX: 247-7753 - Email: wsb@lava.net

Directors

Jody Allione AES-Solar

Kelly King Pacific Biodiesel

Matt Stone Sopogy

Warren S. Bollmeier II WSB-Hawaii

TESTIMONY OF WARREN BOLLMEIER ON BEHALF OF THE HAWAII RENEWABLE ENERGY ALLIANCE BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

SB 2666, RELATING TO ENERGY

February 9, 2012

Chair Gabbard, Vice-Chair English and members of the Committee I am Warren Bollmeier, testifying on behalf of the Hawaii Renewable Energy Alliance (HREA). HREA is an industry-based, nonprofit corporation in Hawaii established in 1995. Our mission is to support, through education and advocacy, the use of renewables for a sustainable, energy-efficient, environmentally-friendly, economically-sound future for Hawaii. One of our goals is to support appropriate policy changes in state and local government, the Public Utilities Commission and the electric utilities to encourage increased use of renewables in Hawaii.

The purposes of SB 2666 are to: (i) create an income tax credit for development and construction costs for qualifying biofuel production facilities, (ii) define "oil refinery," and (iii) clarifie that an environmental assessment is required for an action proposing the expansion of an existing oil refinery.

HREA supports the intent of Section 2 (biofuel production facility) and does not take a position Sections 3 and 4 (Chapter 343 issues), and offers the following comments for the Committee's consideration:

- <u>Elements of Biofuels Facility Tax Credit</u>. We support a measure that will leverage private investment in biofuel production facilities. Last year's SB 772 SD2 HD2 (CONF) would do that for <u>liquid biofuels</u>; the subject measure would expand the credit to gaseous biofuels, which we can support. However, there are some issues with the measure.
- <u>Issue 1 (No Production Requirement)</u>. This is strictly an investment credit, which we see as a <u>major flaw</u>, as it only incentivizes <u>construction</u> and <u>not long term production</u> as does SB 772. And the details of how payment for gaseous fuels needs to be worked out.
- 3) <u>Issue 2 (Weak Market Pull Mechanism)</u>. Even If a production requirement, such as in SB 772, was added to this measure, we do not believe a credit level of 15% will be attractive to investors. Specifically, wind and solar credits are at 20% and 35%, and are less risky to develop than biofuel facilities, especially in this case, where feedstocks are to be cultivated by local growers at reasonable prices, and a facility is up and operating by 2017. The credit should be at least 50%.
- 4) <u>Issue 3 (Where's the Market)</u>. If the ethanol mandate is repealed, it is not likely that we will see ethanol production, unless the oil companies seek local suppliers for ethanol in their gasoline blends. In the case of biodiesel, a local supply is already in the transportation market; and given the utility's interest via their RFPs, that helps creates certainty for biodiesel to generate electricity. Likewise, utility or other RFPs could also provide certainty for biomass-to-electricity from anaerobic digestion, gasification, pyrolysis or other processes. However, without a viable path to the market for all biofuels, this measure will fall short.

5) <u>Recommendations</u>. Given the major problems we see with this measure, we recommend that a working group be formed to prepare a proposed SD1 for the committee's consideration. HREA stands ready to participate in said working group.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.





SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT SENATE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY February 9, 2012, 3:00 P.M. Room 225 (Testimony is 1 page long)

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SB 2666

Chairs Gabbard and Fukunaga and members of the Committees:

The Blue Planet Foundation supports SB 2666, a measure that provides a biofuel facility tax credit to incentivize the needed development and construction of such facilities. This policy will provide greater support for Hawaii's diverse biofuel production infrastructure.

Biofuels will likely play a major role in Hawaii's clean energy future—particularly as a substitute for petroleum-based transportation fuels. Transportation fuels in Hawai'i can be made from renewable resources, such as biomass in various forms, algae, and waste products. These materials are neither as scarce nor as expensive as crude oil. Even more importantly, these materials are available here. Hawai'i should set a clear course for a steady, incremental transition to renewable fuels including local and sustainable biofuels.

Blue Planet appreciates that SB 2666 requires that at least 75% of the feedstock for a qualified facility be sourced within the state (Hawaii-grown). This policy should encourage Hawaii's clean, local, renewable energy industries. We want to avoid a distorted outcome where oil crops are being shipped across the Pacific (from potentially destructive sources, like former rainforest land) for use in Hawai'i.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

The Twenty-Sixth Legislature Regular Session of 2012

THE SENATE Committee on Energy and Environment Senator Mike Gabbard, Chair Senator J. Kalani English, ViceChair Committee on Economic Development and Technology Senator Carol Fukunaga, Chair Senator Glenn Wakai, Vice Chair

State Capitol, Conference Room 225 Thursday, February 9, 2012; 3:00 p.m.

STATEMENT OF THE ILWU LOCAL 142 ON S.B. 2666 RELATING TO ENERGY

The ILWU Local 142 supports S.B. 2666, which create an income tax credit for development and construction costs for qualifying biofuel production facilities.

Hawaii has an energy goal of generating 40% of its electricity needs from renewable energy sources by 2030. To achieve this goal, we will need to develop as many renewable energy resources as possible, including biofuel.

The tax credit proposed in this bill will facilitate development of biofuel as a resource as well as provide a viable use for feedstock that can be grown on currently fallow agricultural land. This not only would provide jobs in the construction and operation of the facility but also in agriculture, helping to sustain the community in rural areas.

The ILWU urges passage of S.B. 2666. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

Written Testimony before the Senate Committees on

Energy and Environmental and Economic Development and Technology

S.B. 2666 – Relating to Energy

Thursday, February 9, 2011 3:00 PM, Conference Room 225

By Cecily Barnes Manager, Biofuels Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc.

Chairs Gabbard and Fukunaga, Vice-Chairs English and Wakai, and Members of the Committees:

My name is Cecily Barnes. I am the Manager of Biofuels for Hawaiian Electric Company. I submit this testimony on behalf of Hawaiian Electric Company and its subsidiary utilities, Maui Electric Company and Hawaii Electric Light Company, hereby referred to collectively as the Hawaiian Electric Companies.

We support S.B. 2666, which creates an income tax credit for development and construction costs for qualifying biofuel production facilities.

The Hawaiian Electric Companies are committed to exploring and using biofuels in its existing and planned generating units. The use of biofuels can reduce the State's dependence on imported oil and increase the amount of renewable energy from sustainable resources. This commitment by the Hawaiian Electric Companies is demonstrated by the following initiatives:

- Installed a nominal 120 MW power plant in 2009 at Campbell Industrial Park that has operating on 100% biodiesel since November, 2010;
- Successfully tested biofuels at Maui Electric Company's Ma'alaea Power Plant and Hawaiian Electric's Kahe Power Plant.
 - Maui Electric Company conducted a 1 million gallon biodiesel demonstration project from April through August 2011 at Ma'alaea Power Plant. Results

indicate that conversion of Maui Electric Company's Mitsubishi class diesel generators from petro-diesel to 100% biodiesel is feasible from operational, maintenance, and air permitting standpoints.

- Hawaiian Electric successfully co-fired 1.5 million gallons of crude palm oil at Unit 3 of the Kahe Generating Station. This test demonstrated that cofiring biofuel with low sulfur fuel oil at various blends up to 100% biofuel was possible and resulted in lower emissions for Nitrous Oxides (NOx), Sulfur Oxides (SOx), and other pollutants.
- Provided 5 years of seed funding to the Hawaii Agriculture Research Center ("HARC") and the agriculture departments at the University of Hawaii's Manoa and Hilo campuses to conduct biofuel crop research with a 6th year of funding to follow this year; and
- Awarded a 20-year contract to Hawaii BioEnergy to purchase 10 million gallons of biofuel annually, stimulating development of local feedstock and biofuel processing on the Island of Kauai. This contract was filed with the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) on November 30, 2011 and is pending approval.
- Awarded a 3-year contract to Pacific Biodiesel to purchase 250,000 gallons of biodiesel annually on the Island of Oahu, stimulating development of local feedstock and biofuel processing in Hawaii. This contract was filed with the PUC on November 30, 2011 and is pending approval.
- Awarded a pilot contract to Phycal to purchase 100,000 to 150,000 gallons of algal oil for a one-time supply to be delivered in 2014, stimulating development of local feedstock and biofuel processing on the Island of Oahu. Phycal intends to develop larger volumes of algal oil upon successful testing of the pilot.
- Awarded a 20-year contract to Aina Koa Pona to purchase 16 million gallons of biofuel annually, stimulating development of local feedstock and biofuel processing on the Island of Hawaii. This contract was filed with the PUC on January 6, 2011 and denied on September 29, 2011. Hawaiian Electric continues discussions with Aina Koa Pono with the intent of negotiating a new contract.

In conclusion, the Hawaiian Electric Companies support S.B. 2666 as a way to stimulate biofuel development In Hawaii. Thank you for the opportunity to present this testimony.

SB 2666

RELATING TO ENERGY

JOEL K. MATSUNAGA CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER & EXECUTIVE VP HAWAII BIOENERGY, LLC

FEBRUARY 9, 2012

Chairs Gabbard and Fukunaga and Members of the Senate Committees on Energy and Environment and Economic Development and Technology.

I am Joel Matsunaga, testifying on behalf of Hawaii BioEnergy in support of SB 2666, "Relating to Energy."

SUMMARY

Hawaii BioEnergy, LLC ("HBE") supports SB 2666, which creates an income tax credit for the development and construction costs for qualifying biofuel production facilities. The proposed measure would help to offset a portion of the upfront costs associated with bioenergy development, which have to date limited the development Hawaii's nascent bio-based economy. This bill would help mitigate the upstream and downstream costs of biomass production and conversion, helping to accelerate the development of Hawaii's biofuel industry while stimulating needed investment in the agricultural, construction and industrial sectors.

HAWAII BENEFITS FROM LOCAL BIOFUELS PRODUCTION

Hawaii BioEnergy is a local company dedicated to strengthening the state's energy future through sustainable biofuel production from locally grown feedstocks. Among its partners are three of the larger land owners in Hawaii. HBE and its partners would like to use significant portions of our land to address Hawaii's existing and growing energy needs.

Understanding the urgency of these needs and anticipating growing demand, HBE has dedicated the last several years to feedstock trials, extensive technology evaluation and detail financial modeling of various production pathways in an effort to ensure HBE's ultimate production is as productive, efficient and sustainable as possible. HBE's own research, development and demonstration (RD&D) efforts have been accelerated by funding from the US government's Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), Office of Naval Research, as well as a Congressional Appropriation administered through the Air Force Research Laboratory. Collectively, this analysis has enabled HBE to clearly understand the production potential and challenges associated with Hawaii's unique natural resource base, geography, climate, market and infrastructure.

While Hawaii holds tremendous potential to produce a range of advanced, high-density biofuels from locally produced feedstocks and innovative next generation technologies, the industry is still in its infancy and faces a myriad of cost and development challenges. Many of these challenges are attributed to the fact that Hawaii's agricultural and otherwise productive lands are relatively small, non-contiguous parcels with varying microclimates and other conditions which limit scale and increase operational costs. Such limitations and cost impacts are particularly pronounced in Hawaii where the cost of doing business is already disproportionately high relative to the mainland. Providing a tax credit for biofuels facilities that utilize locally produced feedstocks would help to offset these costs, improve the competitiveness of production, and attract investment to the agriculture, construction, and industrial sectors while producing renewable fuels that diversify the state's energy resources and help the state achieve its goals under the Renewable Energy Initiative.

Understanding that the state's resources are limited, HBE would also like to underscore that tax incentives such as those proposed by SB 2666 would help to spur needed agroindustrial investment that would create jobs, stimulate economic growth, and generate additional tax revenue for the state.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

HBE is moving forward with advanced, bio-based energy projects from locally grown feedstocks that will help provide a local, renewable source of energy for Hawaii. HBE believes that SB 2666 will help to accelerate and expand Hawaii's bio-based renewable energy economy

and help to reinvigorate the state's agricultural sector more broadly. Based on the aforementioned, Hawaii BioEnergy respectfully requests your support for SB 2666.

.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Testimony for ENE/EDT 2/9/2012 3:00:00 PM SB2666

Conference room: 225 Testifier position: Support Testifier will be present: No Submitted by: Carolyn Knoll Organization: Individual E-mail: <u>clk5356@gmail.com</u> Submitted on: 2/8/2012

Comments:

Hawaii relies on petroleum for nearly 90 percent of its energy needs. Locally grown and processed biofuel can help us reduce the amount of imported petroleum through use in our power plants and diesel automobiles. The bill will help more facilities come on line by providing an income tax credit for development and construction costs for qualifying biofuel production facilities. Also, the expansion of existing oil refineries will require an environmental assessment for any proposed expansion of existing oil refineries. Testimony for ENE/EDT 2/9/2012 3:00:00 PM SB2666

Conference room: 225 Testifier position: Comments Only Testifier will be present: No Submitted by: Frank 'Palani' Cipriani Organization: Individual E-mail: <u>algae@biofarmshawaii.com</u> Submitted on: 2/8/2012

Comments: We plan to extract oil from algae. Would this constitute a "Refinery?" Dear Chair and Committee Members,

The intention of SB266 is admirable, but I think Hawaii would be better served by an increased tax on fossil fuels.

Subsidies often have unintended consequences, they complicate the regulatory burden of business, and they are difficult to repeal. Tax- shifting is nearly always a better way.

Mahalo for your service to the people of Hawaii.

Neil Frazer

Professor of Geophysics Department of Geology and Geophysics School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology (SOEST) University of Hawaii at Manoa Honolulu, HI 96822, USA 808-956-3724 "Professors are not hired to echo the conventional wisdom." http://www.soest.hawaii.edu/GG/FACULTY/FRAZER/pubs frazer n.pdf Testimony for ENE/EDT 2/9/2012 3:00:00 PM SB2666

Conference room: 225 Testifier position: Support Testifier will be present: No Submitted by: Nancy Davlantes Organization: Individual E-mail: <u>ndavlantes@aol.com</u> Submitted on: 2/8/2012

Comments:

With Hawaii currently importing 90% of the fuel we use, companies who are working to supply locally grown and processed biofuel need all the help they can get. I support this bill because it will help more facilities come on line by providing an income tax credit for development and construction costs for qualifying biofuel production facilities. Additionally, I support requiring an environmental assessment for any proposed expansion of existing oil refineries.