From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov

To: <u>JDLTestimony</u>

Cc: <u>MolokaiMAN@basicisp.net</u>

Subject: Testimony for SB2003 on 2/7/2012 10:15:00 AM

Date: Monday, February 06, 2012 3:28:34 PM

Testimony for JDL 2/7/2012 10:15:00 AM SB2003

Conference room: 016
Testifier position: Support
Testifier will be present: No
Submitted by: George Peabody

Organization: http://www.MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com

E-mail: MolokaiMAN@basicisp.net

Submitted on: 2/6/2012

Comments:

Yes, it is a conflict of interest for police to retain any part of a process server's fee when police do not assist in the service of process. Same for court Clerks and Judges, they too should not be racketeering like that, and should be prosecuted for criminal racketeering.

Process servers have received letters from attorneys instructing them that they cannot serve certain individuals who are clients of the attorney and that is a criminal violation of due process, and the attorney should be prosecuted for the crime. And some of these process servers actually comply with the unlawful instructions thus delaying justice, denying justice, prejudicing a Party. This recently occured on Molokai when Maui attorney Craig Nakamura wrote a letter to process server Henry Kauka that he is prohibited from serving the Office Manager of Puu O Hoku Ranch and owner Lavinia Currier regarding a felony criminal property damage complaint against her. Maui Police also obstructed justice in that case when sending to Maui Prosecutor a report stating that the victim did not want prosecution but only to document the complaint. Lavinia Currier dba Puu O Hoku Ranch have a close relations with MPD through their radio system lease on land of Currier's.