

1/30/11

**The Honorable Robert Herkes**

Consumer Protection and Commerce Committee

Room 325 2:00pm

**Re: Opposition to HB617**

**Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:**

I am an individual in opposition to wording in HB617, specifically in regards to subsection 2(e) of the new, proposed Chapter, USE OF COMPUTERS FOR UNAUTHORIZED PURPOSES, in HRS Title 36:

- (e) A person bringing an action under this section, for each violation may:
- (1) Seek injunctive relief to restrain a violator from continuing the violation;
  - (2) Subject to subsection (f), recover damages in an amount equal to the greater of:
    - (A) Actual damages arising from the violation; or
    - (B) \$100,000 for each zombie used to commit the violation; or
  - (3) Obtain both injunctive relief and damages.

I believe 'zombie' should be changed to 'botnet' in subsection (B). The amount of zombies in a botnet are already factored into any damage caused. As an example, if I threw a pebble at a window the damage (if any) would be negligible. However, if I took a bucket full of pebbles and threw the entire bucket at the window it would break. It is the weight of all the pebbles working in concert that caused the damage.

A botnet of zombies is what causes the actual damage to a system just as it takes a bucket of pebbles to break a window. However, I do not mind a charge for each violation of a botnet if used for different purposes; such as denial of service attacks in addition to destruction of data (wall of pebbles to block customers as well as broken windows.)

If wording is changed, I will be in support of this Bill as long as recoverable damages are reflective of the actual damage caused and not overly excessive.

**Thank you for this opportunity to testify in opposition to HB617.**

Joseph Heaukulani

3177 Holly Pl.

Honolulu, HI 96816

(808) 778-1153

batcavetech@hotmail.com