HB382 TESTIMONY

STATE OF HAWAI'I OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR

465 S. King Street, Room 500 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813-2917



MARION M. HIGA State Auditor

(808) 587-0800 FAX: (808) 587-0830

TESTIMONY BY MARION M. HIGA, STATE AUDITOR, ON HOUSE BILL NO. 382, HOUSE DRAFT 2, RELATING TO THE AUDITOR

Senate Committee on Judiciary and Labor

March 21, 2011

Good morning Chair Hee and Members of the Committee:

I am Marion Higa, State Auditor, and I am testifying in strong support of House Bill No. 382, House Draft 2, and request that the effective date be amended to reflect "upon approval." This measure provides explicit authority to the Auditor to inspect all accounts, books, records, files, papers, and documents and all financial affairs of the state Department of Taxation (DoTAX). It also requires me to implement internal policies to protect the confidentiality of private personal information contained in tax returns. In addition, this bill provides penalty provisions applicable to the Auditor or Auditor's agent for disclosure of tax information.

As State Auditor, I have the constitutional and statutory responsibility to conduct post-audits of the transactions, accounts, programs and performance of all departments, offices and agencies of the State and its political subdivisions. The state DoTAX is one of these agencies.

In order to carry out my audit responsibilities, Hawai'i Revised Statues ("HRS") Section 23-5 provides me with broad authority to examine and inspect all accounts, books, records, files, papers, and documents and all financial affairs of every department. Apparently, however, this authority is not broad enough to enable my review for audit purposes of tax returns and others records on file with the DoTAX. In my testimony before the House Committees on Legislative Management and Finance, I described our past experience with blocked access to DoTAX records while fulfilling a legislative request to audit the department's contracts.

This bill would amend the Auditor's law to permit my access to DoTAX records for auditing purposes and subjects the Auditor or my agent to the same penalties as DoTAX officials for disclosure of tax information. Unless this measure is passed, the Auditor may be unable to fulfill legislative audit requests of DoTAX, thereby rendering the department unauditable.

We respectfully request that you pass this measure out of committee with the suggested amendment. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in strong support of House Bill No. 382, House Draft 2. I am available for your questions.

NEIL ABERCROMBIE GOVERNOR

> BRIAN SCHATZ LT. GOVERNOR



FREDERICK D. PABLO DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

RANDOLF L. M. BALDEMOR

SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY AND LABOR

PHONE NO: (808) 587-1530 FAX NO: (808) 587-1584

TESTIMONY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION REGARDING HB 382, HD 2 RELATING TO THE AUDITOR

TESTIFIER:

FREDERICK D. PABLO, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION (OR

DESIGNEE)

COMMITTEE:

JDL

DATE:

MARCH 21, 2011

TIME:

8:30AM

POSITION:

SUPPORT INTENT; CONCERNS

This measure expressly authorizes the State Auditor to inspect the records of the Department of Taxation. Amendments made by a previous committee addressed many of the Department's concerns related to the protection of confidential taxpayer information.

Therefore, the Department of Taxation (Department) supports the intent of this bill, however continues to have concerns.

STRONG PUBLIC POLICY FOR PROTECTION OF TAXPAYER INFORMATION—There is a strong public policy for protecting citizens' confidential information included in tax returns as is obvious by the enactment of §235-116, which makes the disclosure of returns unlawful.

The Department, by law, is required to hold all taxpayer information in the strictest confidence. The amendments made in HD1 and HD2 alleviate many of the Department's concerns by subjecting the Auditor to the same confidentiality laws as all other Department personnel.

NO OBJECTION TO STATE AUDITOR REVIEW IN GENERAL—The Department does not object to the general premise that the State Auditor have legitimate access to the Department's records.

ALLOW ACCESS ONLY AFTER POLICIES ARE ADOPTED IN A WRITTEN AGREEMENT WITH THE DEPARTMENT—The Department suggests that this bill be amended to authorize disclosure only pursuant to written agreements with the Department. There are

Department of Taxation Testimony HB 382, HD2 March 21, 2011 Page 2 of 2

several issues relating to disclosure that must be negotiated and not left to the discretion of the Auditor, for example:

- All non-tax officials are required to sign an agreement regarding safeguarding the confidentiality of tax returns and return information;
- All documents should be maintained at secured premises only;
- No documents should be allowed to be copied;
- No documents may leave the premises;
- No documents may be electronically transmitted;
- The Department will likely need to consult with its IRS counterparts to ensure that any disclosure to the Auditor does not run afoul of existing IRS disclosure agreements;
- All documents must be returned and destroyed;

Each of these issues arise in any information sharing situation and must be negotiated. Therefore, the Department requests this bill be amended to require a written agreement between the Auditor and the Department, addressing all of the above issues, before the commencement of an audit.

FINDING AN ACCEPTABLE SOLUTION—In light of the recent audit by the Auditor, the Department will continue to work with the Committee and the Auditor to find an acceptable solution to the issues that confronted the Auditor during the most recent visit.

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATION, Audit of the department of taxation

BILL NUMBER:

HB 382, HD-2

INTRODUCED BY:

House Committee on Finance

BRIEF SUMMARY: Amends HRS section 23-5 to direct the state auditor to inspect all accounts, books, records, files, papers, and documents and all financial affairs of the state department of taxation provided that internal policies to protect the confidentiality of private personal information contained in tax returns shall be implemented.

Amends HRS section 235-116 to provide that the penalty provisions relating to the disclosure of tax return information shall be applicable to the state auditor.

Makes conforming amendments to HRS sections 231-18, 237D-13 and 251-12.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2030

STAFF COMMENTS: While the current law requires the state auditor to review all state departments, this measure would grant explicit authority to the auditor to conduct a review of the state department of taxation and require the auditor to implement policies to insure the confidentiality of tax return information. While the Auditor and the legislature may believe that it is critical to the examination of the operations of the department of taxation, caution should still be exercise as the confidentiality of information on income tax returns is mandated by the federal government should Hawaii wish to continue income tax information sharing between the federal Internal Revenue Service and the department.

Under section 6103(p)(8) of the Internal Revenue Code which was added by the Tax Reform Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-455), the disclosure of federal tax return information to states requiring the filing or reporting of federal tax information would be prohibited after December 31, 1978 if the state did not adopt legal provisions protecting the confidentiality of the federal tax return information.

Until 1978, HRS Section 235-116, in general, made it unlawful for a state employee to intentionally make a disclosure of a return or return information except as authorized by law. Thus, in 1978, upon the advice of the IRS Regional Counsel Western Region, it was their opinion that HRS Section 235-116 did not meet the standards of Internal Revenue Section 6103(p) (8) because it does not specifically provide that returns are confidential. As a result, HRS 235-116 was amended by Act 172, SLH 1978, to specify that disclosure of income tax information was prohibited except specifically authorized by law. Before adopting the amendments made by this measure, lawmakers should check to see if this measure meets the standard of authorization required by the IRS.

Digested 3/18/11