### 

LINDA LINGLE



CHIYOME LEINAALA FUKINO, M.D. DIRECTOR OF HEALTH

In reply, please refer to: File:

### COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, CONSUMER PROTECTION, AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING

#### COMMITTEE ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND MILITARY AFFAIRS

### S.B. 2497, RELATING TO MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS

Testimony of Chiyome Leinaala Fukino, M.D. Director of Health

February 6, 2008 9:00 A.M.

- 1 **Department's Position:** The department respectfully opposes this measure.
- 2 Fiscal Implications: The department would be required to transfer personnel positions assigned to the
- 3 regulatory oversight of municipal solid waste landfills, and associated appropriations and equipment to
- 4 the Public Utilities Commission. Two positions and approximately \$120,000 in associated general fund
- 5 appropriations would be affected.
- 6 Purpose and Justification: This measure proposes to accomplish three major actions: (1) prohibit the
- 7 extension of permits on any existing municipal solid waste (MSW) landfill unit after June 30, 2008; (2)
- 8 prohibit the construction of new MSW landfill units within 15 miles of an existing MSW landfill unit in
- 9 counties with a population of more than 500,000; and (3) transfer the jurisdiction and regulatory
- oversight of MSW landfills from the Department of Health to the Public Utilities Commission.
- The first action, the prohibition of extending permits on any existing MSW landfill unit after
- June 30, 2008, would force the closure of all existing MSW landfills within the next five years. This
- action seems to contradict the second proposed action that allows the permitting of new landfills on the

- neighbor islands. If an existing MSW landfill has remaining disposal capacity, that capacity should be
- 2 utilized instead of closing the landfill and constructing a new one. For example, the West Hawaii
- 3 Landfill on the Big Island has remaining permitted capacity of over 40 years. It is inconceivable that the
- 4 Legislature would force the county to close this landfill only to allow construction a new landfill
- 5 elsewhere. Typically the environmental and economical impact of maintaining the landfill at the same
- 6 location is less than that of a new landfill established at a different location.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 The second action, the prohibition of new MSW landfill units within 15 miles of an existing

8 MSW landfill in counties with a population of greater than 500,000 would only apply to the City and

County of Honolulu. The measure did not differentiate between active or closed MSW landfills. If

"existing" landfills applies to both, no new MSW landfill units would be allowed on the island of Oahu.

The third action, the transfer of jurisdiction and regulatory oversight from the Department of Health to the Public Utilities Commission, would be inefficient and ineffective. The department currently provides regulatory oversight to approximately 300 permitted solid waste management facilities, of which ten are active MSW landfills. Of our three environmental engineers and four inspectors assigned to the state's solid waste program, only one engineer and one inspector are assigned to landfills. The two employees, the associated files and equipment are all that would be transferred to the Public Utilities Commission. Our remaining engineers and inspectors will still be tasked with permitting and inspection of transfer stations, recycling and materials recovery, salvage, composting, remediation and special waste facilities. We believe that the segregation of the solid waste program would lead to inefficiencies in the use of personnel in both technical review of permit applications and site inspections particularly during travel to the neighbor islands. We have no solid waste staff on the neighbor islands.

This third action would also make the current regulatory framework ineffective, given the nature of the federal law. The state, through the department's promulgation of rules establishing a permit

1	program for MSW landfills, received a "Determination of Adequacy" from the U.S. Environmental
2	Protection Agency. This determination allows owners and operators of MSW landfills located in states
3	with approved permit programs to use the site-specific flexibility provided by 40 CFR Part 258. This
4	flexibility allows the owners and operators to more appropriately design, construct and operate the
5	landfill in a manner that is reflective of site conditions. This determination of adequacy also provides
6	the regulator flexibility in establishing more appropriate requirements than those generically listed in the
7	federal regulations. If this bill passes, the existing state MSW landfill rules and established permit
8	program would be invalid, and any permits issued to MSW landfills under this program would also
9	become invalid. Until the Public Utilities Commission can establish rules, only the federal MSW
10	landfill regulations would apply. The federal regulations do not include a permit program, but is instead
11	a self-implementing program.
12	For all of these reasons, the department opposes this measure.
13	Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

# TESTIMONY OF CARLITO P. CALIBOSO CHAIRMAN, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE STATE OF HAWAII TO THE

# SENATE COMMITTEE ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND MILITARY AFFAIRS AND THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, CONSUMER PROTECTION, AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING

### **FEBRUARY 06, 2008**

MEASURE: S.B. No. 2497

TITLE: Relating to Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

Chairs Inouye and Kokubun and Members of the Committees:

#### **DESCRIPTION:**

The purpose of the bill is to transfer jurisdiction of the permitting of municipal solid waste landfills from the Department of Health to the Public Utilities Commission ("Commission"), to prohibit extensions on the use of existing landfills, and to prohibit, on Oahu, new landfills from being situated within fifteen miles of an existing landfill.

#### **POSITION:**

The Commission has serious concerns about this bill as it pertains to the transfer of jurisdiction over the permitting of municipal solid waste landfills to the Commission.

#### **COMMENTS:**

- The bill's description is inaccurate.
  - The description of the bill states, among other things, that it "transfers jurisdiction of municipal solid waste landfills from the counties to the [Commission]."
  - The statutory amendments in the bill, however, transfer jurisdiction over the permitting of municipal solid waste landfills from the department of health to the Commission. It appears that any county jurisdiction or authority would remain unchanged.
- The Commission has no experience with the regulation and permitting of municipal solid waste landfills.
  - The Commission regulates electric, telecommunications, water, and sewer utilities, as well as motor carriers and water carriers. Additionally, the Commission was recently tasked with administering the petroleum industry monitoring and reporting program. The regulation and permitting of municipal solid waste landfills is a complex area in which the Commission has no experience.

 The Commission does not know the reasons why the proponents of this bill believe the transfer of jurisdiction is warranted, and given its lack of experience in the area, questions whether the transfer would effectively address those reasons.

### • The Commission's regulatory duties should not become so broad ranging as to reduce its effectiveness.

The Commission is concerned that adding the regulation and permitting of municipal solid waste landfills to its current regulatory obligations, could diminish its focus and effectiveness. Our state faces many difficult challenges in areas the Commission is currently deeply engaged in, especially energy. Adding landfill permitting, which can be very complex and contentious issue, to the Commission's duties, would likely distract both staff and the commissioners from some of their current priorities.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Harry Kim Mayor



Bobby Jean Leithead-Todd Director

Nelson Ho
Deputy Director

### County of Hawai'i

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

25 Aupuni Street • Hilo, Hawai'i 96720

(808) 961-8083 · Fax (808) 961-8086 http://co.hawaii.hi.us/directory/dir envmng.htm

February 5, 2008

The Honorable Lorraine R. Inouye, Chair And Members of the Intergovernmental and Military Affairs Committee Hawai'i State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street Honolulu, HI 96813

The Honorable Russell S. Kokubun, Chair And Members of the Commerce, Consumer Protection and Affordable Housing Committee Hawai'i State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street Honolulu, HI 96813

Hearing:

Wednesday, February 6, 2008

Time:

9:00 a.m.

Place:

Conference Room 229

State Capitol

415 South Beretania Street

Re: SB 2497 – Relating to Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

Dear Chairs and Committee Members,

I am Bobby Jean Leithead Todd, Director of the Department of Environmental Management for the County of Hawai'i and am providing testimony opposing SB 2497 which would transfer the jurisdiction and oversight of municipal solid waste landfills from the Department of Health (DOH) to the Public Utilities Commission (PUC).

I apologize that I cannot testify in person but must remain on the Big Island due to the department's and County's ongoing clean-up efforts relating to the ongoing flooding.

Hawai'i County operates two active landfills, in Hilo and Pu'uanahulu, and has two closed landfills in Waimea and Kealakehe.

The Department of Health (DOH) has staff and policies and regulations in place to appropriately handle these applications. The PUC does not. We feel that transferring oversight from DOH to PUC will adversely affect our operations.

We have concerns relating to the purpose and intent of this Bill and ask that it not be sent forward.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our comments in opposition of SB 2497.

Sincerely,

Bobby Jean Leithead Todd

DIRECTOR

cc: Harry Kim, Mayor

Michael Dworsky, SWD Chief



LINDA LINGLE GOVERNOR

JAMES R. AIONA, JR.

### STATE OF HAWAII

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

335 MERCHANT STREET, ROOM 310 P.O. Box 541 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 Phone Number: (808) 586-2850 Fax Number: (808) 586-2856 www.hawaii.gov/dcca LAWRENCE M. REIFURTH

RONALD BOYER

## TO THE SENATE COMMITTEES ON COMMERCE, CONSUMER PROTECTION, AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND MILITARY AFFAIRS

### THE TWENTY-FOURTH LEGISLATURE REGULAR SESSION OF 2008

Wednesday, February 6, 2008 9:00 a.m.

TESTIMONY OF CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS, TO THE HONORABLE SENATORS KOKUBUN AND INOUYE, CHAIRS AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEES

### SENATE BILL NO. 2497 – RELATING TO MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS.

### **DESCRIPTION:**

This measure: (1) Transfers jurisdiction of municipal solid waste landfills from the counties to the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission ("Commission"); (2) Provides no extensions on use of existing landfills; and (3) Provides that new landfills cannot be situated within fifteen miles of an existing landfill in counties with a population greater than 500,000.

### **POSITION:**

The Division of Consumer Advocacy ("Consumer Advocate") understands the intent of this measure, appreciates the complexity of the landfill siting process, and provides comments for these Committees' consideration. We defer to the Commission as to any resources that may be necessary to accomplish the transfer of such jurisdiction.

S.B. No. 2497

Senate Committees on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Affordable Housing and Intergovernmental and Military Affairs Wednesday, February 6, 2008, 9:00 a.m.

#### COMMENTS:

The Consumer Advocate is, <u>ex officio</u>, a party to each and every proceeding before the Commission. We question what role, if any the Consumer Advocate will have if regulation over landfills is transferred to the Commission.

With due respect to the Commission, we doubt that, at present, the Commission has the expertise in land use, siting, permitting, health and safety issues to immediately assume jurisdiction over this complex process. The Consumer Advocate, if given a role in this siting process, will need much more time, staff, and resources to competently make recommendations to the Commission.

Given the role that the Commission and Consumer Advocate play in determining important energy policy issues that are being addressed, it appears imprudent to divert the time and resources of agencies that are already strained for the purpose of addressing new and complex areas that are outside the agencies' areas of expertise.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.