

LINDA LINGLE
GOVERNOR

JAMES R. AIONA, JR.
LT. GOVERNOR



KURT KAWAFUCHI
DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

SANDRA L. YAHIRO
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION
P.O. BOX 259
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540
FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

SENATE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TAXATION

TESTIMONY REGARDING SB 2495 RELATING TO INCOME TAX CREDIT

TESTIFIER: KURT KAWAFUCHI, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION (OR DESIGNEE)

DATE: JANUARY 29, 2008

TIME: 1:15PM

ROOM: 224

This bill proposes a one-time tax credit in an unspecified amount to each taxpayer multiplied by the number of exemptions claimed on the taxpayer's return.

The Department of Taxation (Department) **supports the concept of this legislation; however strongly prefers the Lingle-Aiona Administration bill SB 3112.** The Administration measure provides for a one-time tax refund, versus this bill's tax credit.

The Hawaii Constitution requires the Legislature to provide a tax credit or tax refund when the state general fund balance at the close of each of two successive fiscal years exceeds 5% of the general fund revenues for each of two fiscal years. The Department finds that these conditions have been satisfied because of the surplus in general fund revenues realized these past two fiscal years.

I. COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED TAX CREDIT

The Department supports the concept of this legislation, which is to return the excess revenues realized by the State for the past two fiscal years. The Department has the following concepts.

CREDIT vs. REFUND—This bill provides for an income tax credit that may be refunded to the taxpayer if the taxpayer has no tax liability. The Department prefers the Administration measure that provides a refund beginning as soon as December 1, 2008. A credit must be taken against tax liability; whereas a refund provides taxpayers with cash-in-hand. *Given recent events in Congress where a bipartisan effort from both the Democrats and Republicans overwhelmingly support the notion of a cash rebate to taxpayers to assist with a commensurate boost in the economy, rather than a credit on a return, the same should be considered given the economic slowing in Hawaii. Under the proposed legislation, relief will have to wait until April of 2009 at the earliest.*

RELIEF WILL HELP THOSE WITH ADDITIONAL EXEMPTIONS—The Department appreciates that this proposal provides tax relief based upon the number of exemptions a taxpayer may claim. This will ensure that a taxpayer receives commensurate relief based upon the number of persons the taxpayer supports in their household.

RELIEF IS NOT TARGETED—The Department points out that this legislation does not target additional relief to those based upon income earned. The Department would prefer that additional relief be provided to those with lower income levels, rather than a flat amount. A suggested format is provided in the Administration measure, SB 3112, for the Committee's consideration.

II. THE DEPARTMENT PREFERS A ONE-TIME INCOME TAX REFUND FOR QUALIFYING TAXPAYERS, AS PROVIDED IN SB 3112.

TARGETED RELIEF TO THE LOWER AND MIDDLE CLASS—The Department strongly prefers the Administration's one-time tax refund. Contained in SB 3112 is the preferred framework that will allow for a one-time cash refund that can be infused into the economy as soon as December of this year. This refund proposal is a "targeted" refund to those taxpayers who need tax relief the most—the *average* family or individual taxpayer. The Administration measure provides for unspecified amounts. The Department and Administration defer to the Legislature to decide the amount of the refund based upon the budgetary priorities. The framework for the targeted relief is as follows:

<u>Filing Status</u>	<u>Adjusted Gross Income(AGI) Ceiling</u>	<u>Refund</u>
• Single, Married Filing Separately	\$50,000 or less	\$___
• Head of Household	\$75,000 or less	\$___
• Married Filing Jointly	\$100,000 or less	\$___

For all other taxpayers, *i.e.*, those earning more than the amounts shown on the schedule above, will be entitled to a refund in an amount also to be decided by the Legislature.

REFUND INCREASES PER DEPENDENT—The refund amount under SB 3112 is multiplied by the number of qualified exemptions that the taxpayer claimed on the taxpayer's 2006 individual income tax return.

This legislation will result in an indeterminate revenue loss because the amount of the refund is unspecified.

L E G I S L A T I V E

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: INCOME, Tax refund credit**BILL NUMBER:** SB 2153; SB 2495; HB 2099 (Similar)**INTRODUCED BY:** SB 2153 by Baker, Chun Oakland, English, Espero, Fukunaga, Tsutsui, and 1 Democrat; SB 2495 by Baker; HB 2099 by M. Oshiro

BRIEF SUMMARY: Provides that each resident individual taxpayer may claim a general income tax credit in addition to any other credits allowed under HRS chapter 235. The tax credit shall be multiplied by the number of qualified exemptions claimed on the taxpayer's income tax return; but multiple exemptions for age or physical disabilities shall not be recognized. The credit shall be paid to each person who has been a resident of the state for at least nine months as defined in HRS section 235-1, whether or not such qualified resident was physically in the state for nine months. The credit shall be deductible from income tax liability for the 2008 tax year. Stipulates that these measures meet the constitutional provisions of Article VII, section 6, mandating the disposition of excess revenues.

SB 2153 provides that the credit amount shall be based on a taxpayer's adjusted gross income with joint taxpayers receiving between \$160 to \$90; head of households between \$70 and \$140, and individuals or married taxpayers filing separately receiving between \$25 and \$65.

SB 2495 and HB 2099 do not specify a credit amount. SB 2495 further states that the total amount of the credit shall be reasonably calculated to return a total of \$_____ to the people of the state.

EFFECTIVE DATE: SB 2153 tax years beginning after December 31, 2007; SB 2495/HB 2099 - Upon approval

STAFF COMMENTS: The "disposition of excess revenues" provision was adopted in tandem with the general fund expenditure ceiling in the belief that if the state adhered to the spending ceiling, Hawaii's generous tax system would produce substantial surplus revenues. Although the refund provision was not a part of the initial discussion of the ceiling, with the realization that revenues would far exceed the needs under the ceiling, the provision was added to insure the return of the funds rather than allowing bureaucrats to sit on these excess funds.

Under the constitutional provision, whenever the general fund balance at the end of a fiscal year exceeds 5% of the general fund revenues received in that year, for two consecutive years, the legislature at the next regular session following the second consecutive year of excess balances, must provide for a tax refund or credit. This has occurred and a return of excess revenues is necessary.

While the constitutionally mandated tax refund may be viewed as tax relief, it is actually a signal that the state's tax structure is generating more than what is needed to operate state government. It is a signal that more than likely the tax rates and structure are taking too much in tax dollars from the economy and that lawmakers need to address that issue. While the immediate response to an "over productive" income

SB 2153; SB 2495; HB 2099 - Continued

tax structure would be to adjust the income tax rates and brackets, the legislature may wish to implement the recommendation of the Tax Review Commission which is to index the standard deduction, the personal exemption, and the tax brackets for inflation. The Commission found that by the use of indexing, the average income tax bill will not be affected by inflation and income tax revenues will grow automatically with inflation. On the other hand, without indexing, inflation will cause the average income tax bill to increase and income taxes will rise by more than inflation.

Finally, unlike last year's refund, lawmakers need to rethink limiting the return of excess revenues to only those at the lower end of the income scale. All taxpayers contributed to that excess of revenues. And while lawmakers may want to take credit for handing out extraordinary assistance for the poor, they should not forget that many of the middle-income families are also struggling with Hawaii's high cost of living and heavy burden of taxes. Many of those families might have fallen into the "poor" category but for the fact that many are holding two or more jobs just to make ends meet. And that is what is appalling about the legislature's response last year, certainly arrogance if not ignorance of how Hawaii's middle class continues to struggle and in the end many decide to leave the state.

Digested 1/25/08