

LINDA LINGLE
GOVERNOR

JAMES R. AIONA, JR.
LT. GOVERNOR



KURT KAWAFUCHI
DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

SANDRA L. YAHIRO
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION
P.O. BOX 259
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

PHONE NO: (808) 587-1510
FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

**HOUSE COMMITTEE ON TOURISM & CULTURE AND
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & BUSINESS CONCERNS**

**TESTIMONY REGARDING HB 3196 HD 1
RELATING TO RENTAL MOTOR VEHICLE SURCHARGE TAX**

TESTIFIER: KURT KAWAFUCHI, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION (OR DESIGNEE)

DATE: FEBRUARY 11, 2008

TIME: 9:00AM

ROOM: 325

This bill extends beyond August 31, 2007 the rental motor vehicle surcharge tax of \$3 per day. This bill takes effect upon approval.

The House Committee on Transportation amended the bill by extending the surcharge tax by an additional year, rather than indefinitely.

The Department of Taxation (Department) **supports** this Lingle-Aiona Administration bill; **however requests that the repeal date of the increase be eliminated.**

Currently, there is a rental motor vehicle surcharge of \$3 per day, which will drop to \$2 per day after August 31, 2008. Extending the increase for an additional year is helpful. However, because of the inability to keep pace with expenditures, extending the increase for an additional year may not be sufficient. The Department requests that the increase be made permanent to properly fund the State Highway Fund.

By permanently extending the \$3 per day rental motor vehicle surcharge as provided in this bill, State Highway Fund revenues would be increased by about \$13.3 million in fiscal year 2009 and by about \$2.7 million in fiscal year 2010. There would be no effect on revenue after fiscal year 2010. Maintaining a consistently sufficient revenue stream for the state highways is critical to maintaining Hawaii's infrastructure.

As a result of the foregoing, the Department supports this legislation and respectfully requests this Committee amend the measure to retain the permanent increase.



STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
869 PUNCHBOWL STREET
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

IN REPLY REFER TO:

February 11, 2008

TESTIMONY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

HOUSE BILL No. 3196, HD1

COMMITTEE ON TOURISM AND CULTURE
COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND BUSINESS CONCERNS

We support this bill with concerns.

Currently, Section 251-2(a), HRS, provides for a rental motor vehicle surcharge of \$3.00 per day from September 1, 1999 to August 31, 2008. The bill proposes to extend the sunset date for an additional year. However, the Department of Transportation (DOT) would like to eliminate the sunset date and maintain the \$3 per day surcharge on a permanent basis. This dedicated revenue would support the Highways Division's expenditures for the operation, maintenance and construction of state highways and ensure the health of the State Highway Fund.

Each dollar collected through the rental vehicle surcharge provides approximately \$14 million in annual revenues for the State Highway Fund. If the \$3 per day surcharge is not extended on a permanent basis, the Highways Division will need to cut its maintenance program by almost 10% in future years.

**TAX FOUNDATION
OF HAWAII**

126 Queen Street, Suite 304, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-4415, Telephone 536-4587

FAX TRANSMISSION**Date:** February 8, 2008**Fax:** 586-6011**To:** House of Representatives
(testimony)**From:** Lowell L. Kalapa, President
Tax Foundation of Hawaii**Phone (voice):** 536-4587
(fax): 536-4588**Total Pages(including this sheet):** 3**RE:** HB 3196, HD-1 - Relating to Rental Motor Vehicle Surcharge Tax (2 pages)**TO:** Rep. Ryan Yamane, Chair
House Tourism & Culture CommitteeRep. Kyle Yamashita, Chair
House Economic Development & Business Concerns**Date of Hearing:** Monday, February 11, 2008**Time of Hearing:** 9:00 am**Copies:** 5 copies

Thank you.

L E G I S L A T I V E

TAXBILLSERVICE

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: RENTAL MOTOR VEHICLE AND TOUR VEHICLE SURCHARGE, Extend sunset date

BILL NUMBER: HB 3196, HD-1

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Transportation

BRIEF SUMMARY: Amends HRS section 251-2 to extend the sunset date of the provision repealing the \$3 rental motor vehicle surcharge tax from August 31, 2008 to August 31, 2009.

Requires the department of transportation to study the financial requirements of the state highway fund, with an emphasis of the adequacy and equity of revenues generated by revenue sources pursuant to HRS section 251-2, compared with other revenue sources that could be contributing to the highway fund.

A final report, including proposed legislation for increasing revenue sources for the state highway fund to meet its needs, is to be submitted to the 2009 legislature.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon approval

STAFF COMMENTS: The legislature by Act 263, SLH 1991, adopted a \$2 per day tax on rental motor vehicles as part of the state administration's plan to bail out the state's ailing highway fund. This action was in contrast to a citizen's task force that had been convened in 1988 to address the looming shortfall in the state highway fund that suggested the fuel and weight tax rates be increased as well as continuing to transfer the collections of the general excise tax imposed on the sale of fuel for highway use from the general fund to the state highway fund. This latter source of revenue provided a relatively accurate gauge of highway use given the ease of administration and compliance and represented a user-based activity charge. However, by the time the issue of sustaining the highway fund garnered the attention of the legislature in 1990, there was evidence that the state general fund finances were also in trouble following the burst of the Japanese "bubble."

Rather than beginning the process to adjust the growth of state government to available revenues, lawmakers and the administration felt it expedient to "take back" the general excise tax collected on the sale of gasoline by allowing the transfer enacted by Act 239, SLH 1985, to lapse. Given the deleterious impact the lapsing of this transfer of general excise tax revenues may have had on the highway fund and the politically difficult challenge of raising the fuel tax on gasoline, lawmakers devised the rental motor vehicle/tour vehicle surcharge tax which was enacted with Act 263, SLH 1991. Aimed primarily at visitors, the attempt was intended to make this segment of the de facto population pay a larger share of the cost of maintaining the highways. It also allowed lawmakers to avoid raising the tax on gasoline even higher than the additional five cents they adopted with the 1991 legislation.

Since the early 1980's a number of citizens' task forces have been convened to evaluate the fiscal viability of the state highway fund. In all cases, these task forces came to the conclusion that the state motor vehicle tax, fuel and weight taxes would periodically have to be increased because the per unit taxes used to fund the state highway program were based on consumption and are not inflation sensitive like the costs of repairing and maintaining the highway system.

38(a)

HB 3196, HD-1 - Continued

The failing fiscal health of the state became very apparent by 1999 after the legislature began raiding the fund to pay for general fund programs. Over the years since this began, more than \$155 million was taken from the highway fund to keep general fund programs running. The then administration revealed the projected failure of the state highway fund when it submitted its budget in 1999 which forecast that the state highway fund would be in the red to the tune of more than \$70 million by the end of fiscal year 2003. But opportunity also struck that session when the rental car industry sought approval to show out the multitude of fees and user charges imposed by the state on the industry and for concessions at the airports. In return, the industry agreed to a temporary seven-year increase in the per day rental car fee going from \$2 per day to \$3 per day. This deal is embodied in Act 223, SLH 1999, which increased the amount of the surcharge to \$3 between 11/1/99 to 8/31/07. Act 258, SLH 2007, extended the 8/31/07 sunset date to 8/31/08. This measure proposes that the \$3 per day rental motor vehicle and tour vehicle surcharge shall be extended for another year to 2009, to allow the department of transportation to study the financial requirements of the highway fund.

Obviously keeping the burden on non-voting visitors is politically driven especially in the wake of public complaints about the high cost of motor fuel in Hawaii. But is it necessarily the most accountable approach or for that matter transparent? Is this bill doing nothing more than hiding, if not forestalling, the problems facing the state highway fund? Does it perpetuate the inefficiencies that are inherent in a program that is entirely special-fund financed where the majority of the beneficiaries are not being asked to shoulder their fair share of the cost of operating this program?

What would highway users say if, indeed, the fuel tax rates were increased to cover the forecasted shortfalls? Would they demand more accountability from highway officials for the repair and maintenance of the state roads? Would they ask more often why highway users are being asked to pay for so much when so little seems to be done to keep the roadways in good repair? Administration officials and lawmakers may think that visitors will not notice because it is a continuance of the rate that was adopted in 1999, but what will happen when the surcharge doesn't keep up with costs and a substantial hike will be needed in the fuel tax rate regardless of these strategies?

If, indeed, the highway fund is in dire straits, then the money that was taken to supplement the general fund in the 1990's should be returned. Further, small incremental increases in the fuel tax should be undertaken to ease the burden of taxes that will be needed over time to keep the fund solvent. Consideration might be given to reestablishing the transfer of general excise taxes collected on the sale of fuel for highway use to the highway fund as those taxes are paid by highway users. While the \$3 per day rental surcharge may still be needed to balance the fund, it by no means should be the only source to be tapped as it merely postpones the day of reckoning. It should be remembered that unlike the other resources of the state highway fund, the fortunes of the motor vehicle surcharge are highly dependent on the utilization of rental cars which in turn is dependent on the fortunes of the visitor industry and the number of those visitors electing to rent those vehicles. Thus, the motor vehicle rental surcharge is the least reliable of those revenue resources available to the state highway fund.

What this proposal represents is an avoidance on the part of both the legislature and the administration to address the issue of the fiscal crisis in the state highway fund. Both think they can get away by deferring it to another administration or perhaps another legislature. The problem with that is that when and if the problem is addressed in the future the amount that will be needed in the way of a fuel tax rate increase, motor vehicle weight tax increase, or even the rental motor vehicle fee will be so substantial that it will be political suicidal to adopt those measures. And if then the problem is not solved, Hawaii will lose multiple opportunities to secure federal matching grants to repair and maintain the state highway system.

Digested 2/08/08

39(a)