



Maui Region

Hawaii Health Systems Corporation

**Presentation to
Committee on Ways and Means
&
Committee on Finance
August 11, 2008**

Agenda

- Discuss fiscal 2009 situation
- Propose Alternatives to potentially provide intermediate-term relief to financial situation (and potentially short-term relief)
- Discuss Alternative Model for longer-term solution to health care in rural areas of the State



Current Situation

- HHSC \$62 million shortfall
 - Requested \$29 million loan from Administration – *denied*
 - Requesting \$21 million advance on FY09 general fund appropriation to paydown Accounts Payable at Hilo Medical Center, Kona Community Hospital and Maui Memorial Medical Center – *submitted 8/8/08*
- HHSC Accounts Payable at all-time high
 - \$40.3 million as of 8/11/08 (~ 90 days)
 - Facilities working on contingency plans
 - Kona Community Hospital announced layoff of 54 employees
 - Maui Memorial Medical Center at \$15.7 million (~ 100 days)
 - Fighting off vendors to avoid “credit holds”



Historical Perspective

- External financing to provide temporary relief
 - \$11 million loan in FY2008
 - Avoided Emergency Appropriation request for Maui Memorial Medical Center
 - Paid HHSC ~\$5.5 million – to be used by other facilities
 - FY2009 – currently working on \$19 - \$21 million loan
 - This would cover budgeted cash flow deficits for the current fiscal year
 - Assuming loan is closed, the system-wide HHSC deficit is reduced from \$62 million to \$41 - \$43 million



Issues

- In 2007, SB1792 originally proposed the formation of separate regional corporations. The bill that passed, Act 290, did not provide for that...as a result:
 - One of the major commercial payors will not negotiate with MMMC separately. It sees HHSC as one entity, not 12 individual facilities
 - Limits the ability to see other options for working capital and other forms of financing



Proposals

- Enabling Legislation for Acute Care Hospitals to form Separate Corporation(s)
 - Provide for ability to transfer assets into these corporation(s)
- Restructure HHSC to become a network of Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) and Long Term Care facilities (LTC)
 - Fully fund these entities
 - ~ \$30 million per year
- Address issue of Work Rules and cost of benefits in collective bargaining agreements



Proposals

- Regional Boards and Regional Management to examine and determine corporate services and service levels
- Repeal portion of Act 290 (2007) which provides that Regional Chief Executive Officers (CEO) report to Regional Board **and** HHSC CEO as of 1/1/09
- Direct collective bargaining funding support
 - Going back to FY2002, results in additional ~\$18 million to MMMC (through FY2009) annually



Rationale – Enabling Legislation

- HHSC's three acute care hospitals, in total, are only equivalent to one medium-sized hospital
 - Approximately 400 acute care beds
 - Maui Memorial Medical Center is largest at 209 beds
 - Hilo Medical Center – approximately 130 beds
 - Kona Community Hospital – approximately 60 beds
 - Queen's Medical Center has 505 beds
 - Scripps Health System in San Diego, CA is a medium-sized health system in the San Diego market
 - Approximately 1,300 beds in multiple facilities
 - Much better economies of scale
 - Much more critical mass



Rationale – Enabling Legislation

- Questions

- How do we compete and provide care when we are not much more than a medium-sized acute care hospital?
- How can we be neighbor island “centered”?
- Driving factors (Stroudwater & Associates)
 - Historical image leading to outmigration
 - Gaps in management expertise
 - Operating imperatives to increase efficiencies
 - Ability to access capital for facilities and information technology
 - Ability to recruit Medical Staff
- *“Too often, decisions to evaluate affiliation options are made too late....as rural hospital financial performance declines, negotiating position deteriorates”* (Stroudwater & Associates)



Rationale – Enabling Legislation

- Provides additional flexibility to access outside capital
 - Philanthropy
 - Public/Private partnership
 - Joint Venture
 - Ability to look at different regional methods of financing
 - Community Facilities Districts?
 - Tax Increment Financing?
 - Sale of all or portion
- Provides ability to look at different operating models
 - Different affiliations
 - HHSC's three acute care hospitals combined is smaller than Queen's Medical Center
 - Long term management agreements
 - Banner Health System in Colorado
 - Joint Operating Agreements
- Ability to look at other Affiliations



Rationale – Enabling Legislation

- Other benefits
 - Possible ability to have separate collective bargaining agreement(s)
 - Mandates separate Payor contract negotiations based on regional needs
- Flexibility
 - Does not eliminate the ability to work together
 - Group purchasing
 - Information technology
 - Just allows for different solutions to be accessed



Different Possibilities

- Philanthropy
- Different Affiliations/Ownership
 - Sole Member Direct System
 - 100% Asset Sale
 - Joint Venture
 - Lease
 - Joint Operating Agreement
 - Mayo-Clinic Model



Rationale – CAH/LTC Network

- Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) and Long-Term Care facilities (LTC) are “critical, yet underfunded” services
- Appropriate funding will ease the deficits on the acute care hospitals
 - Access to care
 - “Waitlist”
- Improved Focus on CAH’s and LTC should improve operating efficiencies and quality of care
- Delivery of services in CAH’s and LTC are distinctly different than acute care hospitals
 - Clinical Integration
 - Technology Integration
 - Physician Integration
 - Management Support
 - Strategic initiatives



Longer Term Considerations

- Financially getting HHSC facilities out of current financial situation does not solve the problem...
in fact, depending on strategies, it could exacerbate it
- Other consideration is Health Care Reform



Commonwealth Fund – The 2008 National Scorecard on U.S. Health System Performance

** FINDINGS **

- Study finds disturbing evidence that the health system is on the wrong track.
- In nearly every area measured, the health system fares worse than it did two years ago
- The scorecard results make "*a compelling case for change in the way U.S. health care is financed, organized, and delivered*"



State of Hawaii Rural Health Care Issues

** GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS **

- Lack of Access in Rural Areas
- No coordination in care across continuum or across rural communities
 - Lots of duplication
 - Lack of focus on diseases
- Poorer Quality of Care



State of Hawaii Rural Health Care Issues

** REFORM **

- Disease-based focus on delivery of care in rural areas
 - Provide better access
 - Coordination in care
- Providers understood their role in the delivery of care (not trying to be all things to all people)
 - Importance of access
 - More thoughtful delivery of care in rural settings
 - Specialization only where critical mass exists
 - Eliminate redundancies
 - Use of tele-health
- Will Payors (commercial and government) be willing to spread around the money appropriately to pay appropriately, if quality of care and outcomes improved?
- Government (Federal and State) funded capital directly related to improved outcomes



Key Stakeholders

- Government
 - Federal
 - State
 - County
- Commercial Payors
- Community Health Centers
- Hospitals
- Education



Interest (to date)

- **Federal Government**
 - Senator Inouye's office – Jennifer Sabas/Pat Deleon
- **State Government**
 - Senator Roz Baker
- **Commercial Payor's**
 - HMSA – Jim Walsh
 - Aloha Care – John McComas
- **Community Health Centers**
 - Hawaii Primary Care Association – Beth Giesting



Questions?

